

Norfolk County Council

Extraordinary Meeting

Date: **Monday 3 February 2020**

Time: **2.00 p.m**

Venue: **Council Chamber, County Hall, Norwich**

Persons attending the meeting are requested to turn off mobile phones.

WEBCASTING

This meeting will be filmed and streamed live via YouTube on the NCC Democrat Services channel. The whole of the meeting will be filmed, except where there are confidential or exempt items and the footage will be available to view via the Norfolk County Council CMIS website. A copy of it will also be retained in accordance with the Council's data retention policy. Members of the public may also film or record this meeting. If you do not wish to have your image captured, you should sit in the public gallery area. If you have any queries regarding webcasting of meetings, please contact the committee Team on 01603 228913 or email committees@norfolk.gov.uk

Prayers

To call the roll

AGENDA

1. **To receive any announcements from the Chairman**
2. **Members to declare any interests**

If you have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in a matter to be considered at the meeting and that interest is on your Register of Interests you must not speak or vote on the matter. It is recommended that you declare that interest but it is not a legal requirement. If you have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in a matter to be considered at the meeting and that interest is not on your Register of Interests you must declare that interest at the meeting and not speak or vote on the matter.

In either case you may remain in the room where the meeting is taking place. If you consider that it would be inappropriate in the circumstances to remain in the room, you may leave the room while the matter is dealt with.

If you do not have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest you may nevertheless have an Other Interest in a matter to be discussed if it affects:

- your well being or financial position
- that of your family or close friends
- any body-
 - (a) exercising functions of a public nature
 - (b) directed to charitable purposes: or
 - (c) one of whose principal purposes includes the influence of public opinion or policy (including any political party or trade union);

of which you are in a position of general control or management.

If that is the case then you must declare such an interest but can speak and vote on the matter.

3. **To receive any items of business which the Chairman decides should be considered as a matter of urgency**

4. **Norfolk County Council submission for the Boundary Commission Division Arrangements Consultation**

(Page 3)

Report by the Executive Director of Strategy & Governance.

Chris Walton
Head of Democratic Services
County Hall
Martineau Lane
Norwich
NR1 2DH

Date Agenda Published: 23 January 2020

For further details and general enquiries about this Agenda please contact the Assistant Head of Democratic Services:

Greg Insull on 01603 223100 or email greg.insull@norfolk.gov.uk

Report to Council

Item 4

Decision making report title:	Norfolk County Council submission for the Boundary Commission Division Arrangements Consultation
Date of meeting:	3 February 2020
Responsible Cabinet Member:	Cllr Andrew Proctor, Executive Leader
Responsible Director:	Fiona McDiarmid, Executive Director Strategy & Governance

Introduction

The boundary commission is currently reviewing the division arrangements for Norfolk County Council. This review was triggered as a result of over 30% of our current divisions being outside the 10% variance required, leading to elector inequality.

Following on from our successful submission for Norfolk County Council to continue to have 84 councillors we are now due to submit our proposal for the scheme for the 84 divisions.

Executive Summary

The large number of divisions which need to be re-sized has required us to start with a clean sheet and design new schemes to meet the spread of electorate today and in the future (to 2025).

A small team of officers has developed the proposed new schemes, working with specialists across the council, the LGBCE and a Member Working Group. The boundary commission deadline for the submission of proposals is 11 Feb 2020. This paper outlines the proposals with a view to gaining endorsement from full Council prior to submission.

Recommendations

- 1. To approve the submission of the Norfolk County Council electoral division proposal to the Boundary Commission Division Arrangements Consultation**

1. Background and Purpose

- 1.1.** Periodic reviews of county council divisions take place to ensure that the divisions conform and uphold the three criteria laid down by the Local Government Boundary Commission of England (LGBCE):

- Elector equality

- Community identity
- Good governance

Where it appears that an area's electoral arrangements should be changed in order to provide for better representation of an area's electors, a review will give rise to recommendations for changes which are then go before Parliament.

Norfolk County Council meets the Commission's criteria for electoral inequality with 27 divisions having a variance of over 10% of the average for the county and the Commission is therefore conducting a review.

1.2. **Stage 1: Councillor numbers**

The LGBCE review of the divisions for Norfolk County Council commenced in 2019 with Phase 1 to identify how many members should represent the electorate in Norfolk.

The Council agreed the submission of a proposal for 84 members and at the same time they agreed to continue the format of one member per division.

After considering the submissions the LGBCE was minded to recommend that 84 councillors should serve Norfolk County Council in the future – which is no change from the current number of councillors.

1.3. **Stage 2: Division Arrangement Consultation**

Phase 2 of the Review commenced on the 24th September 2019. During this period, interested parties and individuals are encouraged to submit schemes and make suggestions on the location and names of the divisions throughout the County.

Norfolk County Council will be responding to this consultation with a submission that covers the whole County.

2. **Proposals**

2.1. In this part of the review the County Council has worked up a scheme of divisions. A small team of officers has developed the proposed new schemes, working with specialists across the council, the LGBCE and a Member Working Group.

The MWG discussions resulted in changes to the original proposals, which were then approved by the MWG.

These final proposals are in the attached paper as the proposed submission to the LGBCE which has a cut off submission date of the 11th February 2020.

3. **Impact of the Proposal**

3.1. Whenever the LGBCE undertake an electoral review they aim to deliver good electoral equality across a local authority area. This means ensuring that, for any principal council, the ratio of electors to councillors in each electoral ward/division, is as nearly as possible, the same.

The new scheme which is being proposed creates new or amended divisions for 72 of 84 divisions and only 12 will be unchanged.

Based on the forecast growth in the divisions by 2025 the proposal will mean that over 70% of the electorate will have improved elector equality.

4. Evidence and Reasons for Decision

4.1. The boundary commission recommends taking the approach of starting with a blank sheet of paper to develop the divisional schemes rather than amending the current divisions.

The final proposals have been data-driven, based on the best fit to meet the three criteria specified by the boundary commission:

- Elector equality
- Community identity
- Good governance

A former NCC employee who worked on the last review was specifically engaged for this work and he and a small team of officers have worked on all the aspects of the project from working out numbers of electors to drawing maps of the proposed divisions.

The County Council and members have taken a proactive approach to engage in the process and the proposals have been developed with a Member Working Group (appendix A provides the terms of reference and membership).

By engaging with the LGBCE, we have the best chance of producing a scheme, which will 'work,' and has the best opportunity of satisfying local communities. NCC has to provide a scheme for the whole County rather than for just certain areas.

Narratives explaining the rationale for each proposal are included in the proposed submission.

4.2. The Next Stage and Timetable

Once the scheme is approved, possibly with some amendments, by Full Council, the scheme will be submitted to the LGBCE before the cut-off date of the 11th February 2020.

The LGBCE will publish its draft scheme on the 5th May 2020. There then follows a period of consultation until the 13th July 2020. The LGBCE will then publish its final recommendations on the 30th September 2020, which are then enacted in time for the next County Council elections.

5. Alternative Options

5.1. Each District is unique and has been treated as such, for this reason there is a separate narrative for each District. In developing the final proposals a number of options have been considered and the narratives are 'living' documents showing the direction of travel to the final version. This means that in some cases there are contradictions and variations in the different versions, this is to ensure that there is transparency.

6. Financial Implications

- 6.1. There are no financial implications of the proposal.

7. Resource Implications

- 7.1. **Staff:** N/A

- 7.2. **Property:** N/A

- 7.3. **IT:** N/A

8. Other Implications

- 8.1. **Legal Implications - N/A**

- 8.2. **Human Rights implications – N/A**

- 8.3. **Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) (this must be included)**

Not appropriate

- 8.4. **Health and Safety implications** (where appropriate) – N/A

- 8.5. **Sustainability implications** (where appropriate) – N/A

- 8.6. **Any other implications**

9. Risk Implications/Assessment

- 9.1. If a Council submission is not made to the Boundary Commission, there is a risk that local factors will not be taken into account when the boundaries are drawn up.

10. Select Committee comments

- 10.1. The proposed submission has been signed off for recommendation by the Member Working Group.

11. Recommendations

- 11.1. 1. To approve the submission of the Norfolk County Council electoral division proposal to the Boundary Commission Division Arrangements Consultation

12. Background Papers

- 12.1. [190722 Council agenda](#)

Officer Contact

If you have any questions about matters contained in this paper, please get in touch with:

Officer name: Diana Dixon

Tel No.: 01603 228825

Email address: Diana.dixon@norfolk.gov.uk



If you need this report in large print, audio, braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact 0344 800 8020 or 0344 800 8011 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Appendix A

Electoral Review Working Group terms of reference

- 1) Composition
 - a) The Group shall consist of 7 Members appointed in accordance with the rules on political proportionality - 5 Conservative, 1 Labour 1 Liberal Democrat.
 - b) The Group shall appoint a chairman and vice chairman from among its membership.
- 2) Responsibilities
 - a) To oversee the provision of information required by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) in carrying out its Electoral Review of Norfolk, including any consultation arrangements with electors or other stakeholders;
 - b) To update full Council on the progress of the Electoral Review;
 - c) To make recommendations to full Council on proposed submissions to the LGBCE relating to:
 - i) The total number of councillors on Norfolk County Council;
 - ii) The number and boundaries of electoral divisions within Norfolk County Council;
 - iii) The number of councillors to be returned by any electoral division;
 - iv) The name of any electoral division;
 - d) To provide such further advice and support as may be requested by the Council related to or impacted upon by the Electoral Review

Member working Group: membership:

- Cllr Bill Borrett
- Cllr Tom FitzPatrick
- Cllr Ian Mackie
- Cllr Graham Plant
- Cllr Andrew Proctor
- 1 LD*
- 1 Labour*

*Note: opposition members have chosen not to participate

Appendix B: Proposed submission documents

Norfolk County Council

Response to the

Local Government Boundary Commission of
England

Consultation of Electoral Arrangements in
Norfolk

Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Terminology.....	3
3. The Scope of the LGBCE	4
4. Context and Aims	4
5. Experience and Skill	5
6. Consultation.....	5
7. Methodology	6
8. Rules	6
9. The Process	7
10. Size of Divisions	8
11. Steps to Elector Equality.....	9
12. District Narratives	10
13. Breckland	10
14. Broadland	12
15. Great Yarmouth	14
16. King's Lynn & West Norfolk	16
17. North Norfolk	18
18. Norwich	20
19. South Norfolk.....	22
20. Appendices:.....	24

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Periodic reviews of county council divisions take place to ensure that they conform and uphold the three criteria laid down by the LGBCE, these are elector equality, community identity and good governance. The Review for Norfolk commenced in 2019 with Phase 1, a discussion and debate on how many members should represent the electorate in Norfolk.
- 1.2. The Council agreed the submission of a phase 1 proposal for 84 members and agreed to continue the format of one member per division. After considering the submissions the LGBCE was minded to recommend that 84 councillors should serve Norfolk County Council in the future – which is no change from the current number of councillors.
- 1.3. Phase 2 of the Review commenced on the 24th September 2019. During this period, interested parties and individuals are encouraged to submit schemes and make suggestions on the location and names of the divisions throughout the County. NCC will be responding by putting in a submission that covers the whole County.
- 1.4. In this part of the review the County Council worked up a scheme of divisions, which was officer led and involved the discussion and comments of the Members Working Group (MWG) on a regular basis. This resulted in changes to the original proposals, which were then approved by the MWG and subsequently sent to the Full Council for approval prior to submission to the LGBCE by the cut off submission date of the 11th February 2020.

2. Terminology

- 2.1. Several different words and phrases are used to describe the process, for clarity a list and description of keys words and any technical phrases used in this document is provided below.

Word/Phrase	Meaning
Coterminosity	All polling districts within a division must have a border with each other
Division	An area of land identified to represent the electors who reside in it at County level
'Hundreds'	Between Anglo-Saxon times and the nineteenth century Norfolk was divided for administrative purposes into 'hundreds'. (The names of the 'hundreds' have been referred to when naming new divisions).
Ideal Number	The total number of electors in the County divided by the total number members
Member	A person who has been elected to represent a division
Scheme	The process and document, which provides detailed plans for the whole County, which is submitted to the LGBCE.

'Twin Hatter'	A member who is also a District councillor
Variance	A measure of deviation from the 'ideal number.' This can be positive or negative and the aim is to achieve the smallest possible variance
Ward	An area of land identified to represent the electors who reside in it at District level

3. The Scope of the LGBCE

- 3.1. This document is the County Council submission to the LGBCE consultation. The LGBCE make the decisions on how many members represent the County and where the divisions are located. In making their decisions, they first consider all submissions from the public and organisations including NCC. They will also apply knowledge and experience of carrying out similar reviews elsewhere.
- 3.2. After Phase 2 is completed on the 11th February 2020, the LGBCE will consider the submissions and issue their draft scheme on the 5th May 2020. Members of the public and organisations then have until the 13th July 2020 to comment on the LGBCE draft scheme and on the 30th September 2020 the LGBCE will publish their final recommendations, which will then be used until the next review.

4. Context and Aims

- 4.1. The last review by the Boundary Committee for England (BCfE) commenced in 2002 and was published in 2004. This review provided the number and geographic layout for the divisions in use today. The current review has been requested by a slightly different Government body, but its aims and terms of reference are virtually the same.
- 4.2. NCC has provided a scheme for the whole County. The County Council and members have taken a proactive approach to engage in the process and have engaged with the LGBCE to produce a scheme, which will 'work,' and has the best opportunity of satisfying local communities.
- 4.3. The LGBCE provides a technical manual, which is to be used when providing a scheme of divisions. This document lays out three criteria, which must be observed. These criteria are: -
 - 4.4. 'Delivering electoral equality for local voters – this means ensuring that each local councillor represents roughly the same number of people so that the value of your vote is the same regardless of where you live in the local authority area.'
 - 4.5. 'Reflecting the interests and identities of local communities – this means establishing electoral arrangements which, as far as possible, maintain local ties and where boundaries are easily identifiable.'

- 4.6. 'Promoting effective and convenient local government – this means ensuring that the new wards or electoral divisions can be represented effectively by their elected representative (s) and that the new electoral arrangements as a whole allow the local authority to conduct its business effectively. In addition, we must ensure that the pattern of wards [*divisions*] reflects the electoral cycle of the council as shown below.'

(The LGBCE Electoral Reviews Technical Guidance April 2014 page 5)

- 4.7. These three criteria hold equal weight, but they can be conflicting as the LGBCE states below.
- 4.8. 'Occasionally, it will not be possible for us to put forward a boundary proposal that clearly meets all of these principles. In fact, the statutory criteria can sometimes contradict each other, for examples where a proposed ward [*division*] might reflect the shape of local communities but delivers poor levels of electoral equality. In these cases, the Commission will use its discretion – and the quality of evidence presented to it – to come to a conclusion.'

(The LGBCE Electoral Reviews Technical Guidance April 2014 page 5)

- 4.9. There are occasions in this scheme of divisions where judgements have had to be made over conflicting criteria. In each case the issues have been considered on an individual basis but as a general rule, electoral equality has taken first place because it is the criterion, which affects all the electors living in the County.

5. Experience and Skill

- 5.1. A small team of officers has worked on all the aspects of the project from working out numbers of electors to drawing maps of the proposed divisions. Several officers attended a LGBCE workshop in London to discuss the process and there has also been a dialogue with our nominated LGBCE case officer. Additionally, a former NCC employee who worked on the last review was engaged on a temporary basis to work on this one.

6. Consultation

- 6.1. A Members Working Group was set up as a link between members and the officers to steer and guide the process.

7. Methodology

- 7.1. LGBCE state that the County Council must provide data on the number of electors in each polling district for 2019. This data is provided by the District councils. The LGBCE then requires an estimate of how many electors will be in the polling districts in 2025. This ensures that firstly any new housing is included and secondly, that over a period of time, the variance reduces ideally to zero.
- 7.2. The methodology to determine the 2025 electorate estimate has been based on assuming that all houses occupied in 2019 will have occupants in 2025 so it follows that if people sell their house, it will be replaced with new people who will then become the electors. Thus for many polling districts, where no housing development is planned, there will be no additional electors between 2019 and 2025.
- 7.3. Norfolk has experienced a huge amount of new housing, with more planned. It has and will have a significant impact in some areas especially at present on the west side of Norwich e.g. Cringleford, Hethersett and Wymondham.
- 7.4. In areas where significant building is taking place or will take place shortly, data has been supplied by NCC's Planning team who work with their district counterparts. This raw data is then used to calculate the increase in accordance with LGBCE guidelines.
- 7.5. A number of members have raised concern that the estimates used are too low. However, the LGBCE has warned us not to be too optimistic about the number of new houses being built or planned and the decision has been taken to heed the comments. This also acknowledges that additional electors can be only counted once the dwelling is completed, sold, the new occupants have moved in, and registered their wish to be included on the electoral role.
- 7.6. More information about the forecasting process is provided in Appendix A

8. Rules

- 8.1. In drawing up a scheme of divisions there are a number of issues that must be taken into consideration. These are: -
 - Polling districts in any division must be coterminous
 - No division can span two different districts
 - No division be a 'doughnut' i.e. completely encircling another division
 - Divisions must contain physical barriers such as lakes, rivers, railways and roads that make it very difficult for members to visit all parts of their division
 - However roads and bridges can be seen as enabling members to visit all of their division.
 - Ideally divisions should have a variance of under 10%, but some are permitted where other factors are more dominant e.g. physical boundaries.

- Variances of over 20% are only permitted in very extraordinary circumstances.

However sometimes it is necessary to come to a compromise on some barriers.

9. The Process

- 9.1. The process starts with dividing the total number of electors by the total number of divisions as the table below demonstrates. It then arrives at the average number of electors per division.

District Council	Current No. Divisions	2019 Electors	Increase in Electorate	2025 Electors	No. Divisions	Allocated	Change in no. divisions	% increase
Breckland	12	104,379	3,538	107,917	12.59	13	1	3%
Broadland	13	101,927	6,344	108,271	12.64	13	0	6%
Great Yarmouth	9	72,471	1,371	73,842	8.62	9	0	2%
KL & WN	14	117,835	4,486	122,321	14.28	14	0	4%
North Norfolk	11	84,054	2,183	86,237	10.06	10	-1	3%
Norwich	13	100,245	5,657	105,902	12.36	12	-1	6%
South Norfolk	12	107,194	8,075	115,269	13.45	13	1	8%
Total	84	688,105	31,654	719,759	84	84	0	5%
Ideal Number		8,192		8,569				


- 9.2. This determines how many divisions are allocated to each district and it shows that the rate of increase in electors is different for each District. Based on 84 members we allocated members firstly based on the integer and then allocating the additional members based on those Districts which have the highest fractional part.
- 9.3. The starting point of the process for working up a scheme is the Polling District (PD). In rural areas this is usually the same as the parish boundary. However, in the main urban areas there are no parishes and just Polling districts are used.
- 9.4. The LGBCE have said that one should start with a 'clean piece of paper' i.e. build the scheme from the bottom up to a complete scheme. However, if current divisions show good electoral equality, then they automatically meet the criteria of an 'identifiable community' and 'good governance' criteria.

- 9.5. It is difficult to prioritise competing criteria, but the decision has been made to rank elector equality at the top because it is the primary reason for carrying out a review and one which the electorate are most likely to understand.
- 9.6. The next ranked priority has been to ensure we meet the rules laid down by the LGBCE regarding boundaries and variance tolerances. Following this, existing arrangements have been taken into consideration so that where possible, the majority of the existing division has been used with a small number of PDs either added or subtracted.
- 9.7. The adherence to using full district 'wards' has been considered as much less important for this exercise, although they are included where practical.
- 9.8. Each District is unique and has been treated as such. For this reason there is a separate narrative for each District. The narratives are, 'living' documents showing the direction of travel to the final version. This is to ensure that there is transparency.
- 9.9. For each district the process starts either at the top or left-hand side of the geographical area, building up divisions. As you progress the task gets harder because there fewer options open to ensure that all the other criteria are met. In most cases there have been several attempts starting in different points on the map to achieve a workable result. In some cases it has been necessary to build up from divisions that 'stick out' where there is no flexibility to redraw the division. The Catton Gove division in Norwich is good example. It is also an aim to try and make the division as compact as possible so that members do not have long distances to travel from one part of the division to the other end.

10. Size of Divisions

- 10.1. Norfolk is a large county and parts of it are very rural and sparsely populated. This means that some divisions will have to be made up of many different Polling districts and that most of them will be separate parishes. A key part of any Members work is being able cascade information from the County and also take back concerns and issues affecting that Parish/Town Council. Obviously, the more parishes in a division, the more work and travelling for the member and for this reason steps have been taken in the process to reduce as far as possible the number of polling districts in a division. In the last review The Brecks division comprised of 20 Polling Districts, making it the division with the highest number of polling districts.
- 10.2. In this review the overall average number of polling districts per division is 10. There are 27 (a third of the County) with more polling districts. The highest number is the Eynsford division which contains 28 polling districts and a total 7 (8%) divisions with over 20 Polling districts. Appendix 2 lists the number of polling districts for each division, with those above the average marked in blue.
- 10.3. In the previous review the 'ideal numbers' of electors per division were approximately 7,550 in 2002 and 7,850 in 2007. In this review the figures are 8,192 in 2019 and 8,569 in 2025.

- 10.4. The chart below shows the increase in electorate since 2002 and the average number of electorate per member ('ideal number'), the graphs also shows the long-term growth trend. The forecast increase in electorate between 2019 and 2025, which has been used for this schedule, assumes an increased growth rate which is higher than the growth trend over the previous years.



- 10.5. The increase in number of electors per division has taken place at the same time as a much greater use of technology, which allows members to engage more effectively with the electorate of their divisions.

11. [Steps to Elector Equality](#)

- 11.1. The existing divisions show very significant variances as shown in Appendix 3. In producing a current scheme of divisions two measurements have been used as a guide to achieve this goal. The first is a summary of variances from the 'ideal number', which is shown in the table below.

Variances	2019		2025	
Over 20%	1	1%	0	0%
Over 10%	9	11%	5	6%
Over 5%	21	25%	23	27%
0.1 to 5%	49	58%	48	57%
Zero	4	5%	8	10%
Total	84		84	

- 11.2. This clearly demonstrates that the range of variances reduces significantly from 2019 to 2025.
- 11.3. The second measurement is measuring the 'trend.' This notes whether the variance reduces, stays the same or increases over the period. This is a useful measurement because in some instances physical barriers prevent being able to design the optimum sized division. However, if a division had a variance of +8% in 2019, for example, and in 2025 the variance is -2%, this can be seen as benefit as it is closer to electoral equality even though there is a variance. Likewise, if the 2019 figure is -2% and the 2025 figure is +3% the trend is actually worse. Using this approach overall the electoral equality improves.
- 11.4. With our proposal nearly two thirds of the divisions are either getting better or have the same variance over the period, more information is shown in Appendix 4.

12. District Narratives

- 12.1. A number of different iterations of the scheme were drawn up in order to arrive at the optimum solution. The following section contains the narrative for each District. Appendix 5 contains the full list of proposed polling districts for each District, with maps showing the proposed and current divisions.

13. Breckland

- 13.1. The geography of the Breckland district is roughly in the shape of a rectangle, which makes it easier to construct a scheme of divisions with good electoral equality because there are no pinch points. However, Breckland is unique because in the centre of the district there is the STANTA army training area. This constitutes a major obstacle which means that all roads must go around the battle area for obvious reasons.
- 13.2. This barrier means that The Brecks division is very large because it encompasses much of the STANTA and the west of the district is very rural. In the new pattern of divisions, The Brecks has a reduction of five parishes to make the task of providing governance slightly easier for the member representing this division. There has also been a conscious decision to allow a larger variance than would otherwise be accepted so the task of providing governance more manageable. Also although some of the Thetford polling districts could theoretically added to improve the electoral equality, this has not been pursued because it is unlikely that the division would then have a sense of community. The Brecks is characterised by sparsely populated villages, whilst Thetford East and West is characterised by dense urban housing so it is unlikely that the rural and urban elements would identify a shared community identity.
- 13.3. The top half of the district has divisions that have not changed very much reflecting that because of the comparatively rural nature of the area, the number of electors has risen at the same rate as the County as a whole.

However as one moves down the district map, there has been an increase in electors due to this area being part of the Thetford – Attleborough A11 corridor. Substantial housing development has occurred not only in the towns of Thetford and Attleborough, but also in the surrounding villages as well. This development is going to continue for the foreseeable future as more rural areas are converted into urban ones.

- 13.4. This growth explains why Breckland is entitled to an extra seat, which is situated in the bottom half of the district and marked, “New.” Nine of the thirteen divisions have an excellent variance of less than + / - 5%. Guiltcross and the New division have variances of -12% and -18% respectively. There has been a conscious decision accept a negative variance because they are in the centre of the new A11 development corridor. It is therefore very likely that in the longer term, these negative variances will be reduced as more houses are built to support the economic development.
- 13.5. It is proposed that the, “New” division is called, “Wayland.” This name has been associated with the area for a long time i.e. it was originally known as the, “Wayland Hundred,” and Wayland wood is situated at the top of the division just below Watton. This was the location of the, “Babes in the Wood,” story. Most of the division is also situated within the old Wayland Rural District, which was set up in the Local Government Act of 1894. Over the years the shape changed to encompass slightly different areas and it was finally abolished in the Local Government Act 1972.
- 13.6. The Members Working Group suggested a number of changes to the divisions, which improve the link to wards, especially in the north of the District. It was also proposed that Yaxham (polling district MA6) was moved in the Yare and All Saints division. It was proposed that Sporle and Palgrave (polling district NA5) was moved to the Swaffham division.
- 13.7. In the original proposal there were two divisions with a variance of over 10%. The result of these changes produces four divisions with a variance of over 10%: Elmham and Mattishall (-11%), Guiltcross (-12%) The Brecks (-11%) and New (-15%).
- 13.8. On further examination, a slight modification to this scheme of divisions, ensures that the number of divisions with a tolerance of over 10% is reduced to only two divisions. It is therefore proposed that Yaxham (polling district MA6) remains in Elmham and Mattishall and Narborough (polling district NA3) is moved from Swaffham to The Brecks division.
- 13.9. The Members Working Group suggested the following names: -

Existing Name	Proposed Name
Necton and Launditch	Launditch
New	Wayland
Yare and All Saints	Yare and Necton

Breckland: Proposed new divisions predicted variances in 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Attleborough	1	7,434	-9%	8,211	-4%
Dereham North	1	8,071	-1%	8,421	-2%
Dereham South	1	8,719	6%	8,925	4%
Elmham and Mattishall	1	8,342	2%	8,342	-3%
Guiltcross	1	7,575	-8%	7,575	-12%
Launditch	1	8,561	5%	8,706	2%
Swaffham	1	7,844	-4%	8,686	1%
The Brecks	1	7,722	-6%	8,156	-5%
Thetford East	1	8,299	1%	8,299	-3%
Thetford West	1	8,657	6%	8,657	1%
Watton	1	8,253	1%	8,681	1%
Wayland	1	7,289	-11%	7,323	-15%
Yare and Necton	1	7,613	-7%	7,936	-7%
	13	104,379		107,917	

14. [Broadland](#)

- 14.1. Although Broadland is broadly rectangular in shape, which theoretically makes it easier to create a scheme of divisions, trying to create a workable pattern of divisions has been extremely difficult in this review. The geography of the District next to the Norwich border is not ideal because of the zigzag nature of the boundary line, which leaves little enclaves such as Hellesdon and Thorpe St. Andrew.
- 14.2. Broadland is entitled to 12.64 divisions, which means that there must be some divisions with a negative variance. The 'ideal' number per division for the County is 8,569 electors. However at a district level Broadland has an ideal number of 108,271 divided by 13 divisions giving an ideal size of 8,329 electors. In normal circumstances it is possible to share this difference across the total number of divisions in order to achieve good electoral equality, but this has been very difficult in the case of Broadland.
- 14.3. Broadland District can be split into three separate blocks. At both ends there are very rural areas with sparse settlements. In the centre section the boundary with the Norwich contains very significant urbanisation, which reduces the further away from the Norwich border. This greatly affects the outcome so Hellesdon division for example, must have a positive variance of 9% and it is impossible to improve unless the boundary between Broadland and Norwich is changed.

- 14.4. All of these issues have contributed in an adverse way to try and create practical and logical divisions, which reflect electoral equality, community identity and good governance. The normal methodology is to start on one side and work through the end creating divisions which adhere to the three criteria mentioned above. In this case of this scheme, three options have considered: a left to right option, right to left and working from the middle outwards.
- 14.5. Sometimes it is possible to add a number of polling districts with small numbers with electors, which can be added to a polling district with a large number of electors to create the 'ideal' division size. However, care has to be taken to ensure that the division represents all of the electors. This means for example, that the electors of Taverham are likely to have different concerns and priorities to say those of Ringland and Attlebridge although the polling districts are coterminous.
- 14.6. The consequence of these barriers one is that one is faced with two options; a) try and spread the shortfall of electors over as many divisions as possible or b) spread the shortfall over just a few divisions. The location of the electors also has a big impact on the shape of the divisions. The west section including Aylsham is very rural and explains why Reepham Division is so large containing 21 polling districts. The centre and south of the District contains very high density housing and thus large number of electors.
- 14.7. A number of different schemes were considered based on the three options listed above. The middle option was chosen because it gave the best electoral variance with only one division with a variance over 10%. Seven of the divisions achieve a variance of +/- 5% or less.
- 14.8. Following discussion with the Member Working Group, a small amendment was made swapping some parishes between Reepham and Taverham. It was also requested that if possible the three polling districts for Sprowston should be included in the same division. This could not be done because the variance would be too great; however it was possible to improve the variance of the Sprowston division in 2025. Unfortunately the Thorpe St Andrews division has an 18% variance and it is not possible to improve this variance without having negative effect on the surrounding divisions.
- 14.9. Members also felt that the Hevingham & Spixworth and Old Catton divisions no longer accurately reflected the areas the new proposed divisions represented. It was queried whether the appropriate "hundred," name could be used instead. Unfortunately both divisions were originally part of the Taverham hundred and there is already another division named, "Taverham."
- 14.10. It is therefore proposed to use existing names that are well recognised by the electorate, putting the biggest number of electors in the division first. Using this formula Old Catton becomes, "Old Catton and Spixworth." Most of the electors in the old Hevingham and Spixworth division are now situated in Sprowston and the next biggest numbers reside in Horsham St Faith. Therefore it is proposed that the division be renamed as, "Sprowston and Horsham St Faith."

- 14.11. After more discussion with the Member Working Group, it was suggested that Hevingham and Spixworth should be called, “Sprowston North,” and Sprowston, “Sprowston South.” They also suggested that Thorpe St Andrew become, “Thorpe St Andrew East,” and Woodside becomes, “Thorpe St Andrew West.”

Existing Name	Proposed Name
Hevingham & Spixworth	Sprowston North
Old Catton	Old Catton and Spixworth
Sprowston	Sprowston South
Thorpe St Andrew	Thorpe St Andrew East
Woodside	Thorpe St Andrew West

Broadland: Proposed new divisions predicted variances 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Acle	1	7,490	-9%	7,728	-10%
Aylsham	1	8,300	1%	8,608	0%
Blofield and Brundall	1	7,252	-11%	7,825	-9%
Drayton and Horsford	1	7,695	-6%	8,389	-2%
Hellesdon	1	8,810	8%	9,312	9%
Old Catton and Spixworth	1	7,956	-3%	8,823	3%
Reepham	1	8,183	0%	8,307	-3%
Sprowston North	1	8,993	10%	9,024	5%
Sprowston South	1	6,652	-19%	8,573	0%
Taverham	1	8,325	2%	8,325	-3%
Thorpe St. Andrew East	1	6,356	-22%	6,994	-18%
Thorpe St. Andrew West	1	8,044	-2%	8,087	-6%
Wroxham	1	7,871	-4%	8,279	-3%
	13	101,927		108,271	

15. [Great Yarmouth](#)

- 15.1. Redrawing the boundaries for the Great Yarmouth district, presented a number of challenges. Although the number of divisions has not changed, the geography is unique not only in Norfolk, but also wider afield. The area includes Breydon Water, which acts as a substantial barrier and a ‘pinch point’ in the middle of the district. The district contains three separate and very distinct geographical features that can be identified as ‘upper,’ ‘middle,’ and ‘lower.’

- 15.2. The 'upper' area is characterised by a large area of marshy land that is sparsely populated with a thin more densely populated area centring on the village of Caister.
- 15.3. The 'middle' area is hemmed in to a tight space with Breydon Water on one side and the sea on the other. This area includes north Yarmouth, which has some densely urban housing. The river Yare flows through the middle of the area.
- 15.4. The 'lower' area has a mix of rural in the west and urban in the east. Part of this urban area contains some of the historic old town, while the rest is highlighted by new housing to accommodate the growing population of the town.
- 15.5. In developing a scheme for the new divisions, the normal practice is to start either at the top and work through to the bottom or to start on one side and move across to the other side. However in the case of Great Yarmouth it is necessary to also include a third starting off point situated at the mouth of the Yare in South Denes. One has to build divisions from this point because the area is blocked by the river on one side and the bottom and the sea on the other.
- 15.6. A number of different options were examined in drawing up the proposed scheme at the same time trying to improve electoral equality. Unfortunately Breydon division acts as a barrier due its location. This means that there will always be a negative variance for the three divisions in the upper part of the district map. In order to obtain better equality another 4,135 electors would be needed in this area, which due to the predominantly rural nature of the area, is unobtainable.
- 15.7. The bottom half of the District map shows better variance in 2025 than the upper area, but again unfortunately the geography does not help or assist. In theory better equalities could be achieved but this is not possible on the coast side, the polling districts contain high density housing so adding or subtracting an additional polling district to the division creates an unacceptable high variance. This explains why divisions such as Yarmouth Nelson & Southtown have a variance of 5%.
- 15.8. The member working group provided an alternative scheme of divisions based on splitting four of the existing polling districts between two divisions. The split is as follows:

Polling District	Reference	Division 1	Division 2
Bradwell	BS2	Breydon	Lothingland
Unparished	CL1	Breydon	Yarmouth Nelson & Southtown
Unparished	NE1	West Flegg	Yarmouth North & Central
Unparished	YN2	Yarmouth Nelson & Southtown	Yarmouth North & Central

15.9. They also provided evidence of where the splits should take place e.g. using a road as a marker and also provided a rationale for why these particular polling districts should be split. This more radical approach ensures that there is much better elector equality, with only one division (Lothingland) having a variance of more than 10%.

15.10. It is noted that although the LGBCE state that polling districts can be split, they may not accept the proposed splits. Great Yarmouth Borough Council may also need to be contacted to ensure that they agree and accept these modifications to the polling districts, which could have significant impact on such issues as the location of polling stations for elections.

15.11. The Members Working Group suggested that some of the divisions should be renamed to more accurately reflect the area they represent, which are shown below:

Existing Name	Proposed Name
Caister – on – Sea	North Caister and Ormesby
East Flegg	North Flegg
West Flegg	Bure
Yarmouth Nelson and Southtown	Yare

Great Yarmouth: Proposed new divisions predicted variances in 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Breydon	1	8,205	0%	8,502	-1%
Bure	1	8,080	-1%	8,080	-6%
Gorleston St. Andrews	1	8,128	-1%	8,161	-5%
Lothingland	1	7,202	-12%	7,582	-12%
Magdalen	1	8,273	1%	8,314	-3%
North Caister and Ormesby	1	7,982	-3%	8,266	-4%
North Flegg	1	8,165	0%	8,270	-3%
Yare	1	8,132	-1%	8,326	-3%
Yarmouth North and Central	1	8,304	1%	8,342	-3%
	9	72,471		73,842	

16. [King's Lynn & West Norfolk](#)

16.1. The King's Lynn & West Norfolk District (KLWN) is one of the more easy districts to work out a scheme of divisions because it resembles a rectangle.

The only significant barrier is the River Great Ouse, which is situated in the lower half of the map. The District has seen modest growth, and this has been broadly spread over the whole District rather than in a few concentrated specific areas. However, it should be noted that the biggest increase in electors has been in the bottom half of the District map.

- 16.2. The increase in elector numbers has meant that all the division boundaries have changed with the exception of some of the town divisions, which have remained the same. It demonstrates that in addition to obtaining reasonable electoral equality, the other two criteria, sense of community identity and appropriate governance, are also met. A conscious decision has been taken to respect the existing ward boundaries, last reviewed in 2015 wherever possible when working up a scheme of divisions.
- 16.3. In King's Lynn, a number of the polling districts have been split into two or three smaller ones, but in each case the relevant division has included these smaller polling districts as if they were just one, thus ensuring that there is still a sense of community adhesion and also making it easier for governance as members will not have to work with new boundaries in these areas.
- 16.4. It is noted that 8 divisions have a variance of +/- 5% or less, two of which have a zero variance. The rest fall all within the 6 – 10% bracket with the exception of North Coast, which is 11%.
- 16.5. The Members Working Group made a number of suggestions in moving a number of the polling districts to ensure that where parishes for example had more than one polling district, that both polling districts were included in the same division. It was also questioned why Castle Acre (polling district SJ6) and West Acre (SM6) parishes were in the Dersingham division because there was little community identity. These two parishes are part of the Massingham with Castle Acre Ward so there is an existing community identity. Also transferring them to the Gayton and Nar Valley division would the number of electors so that the variance of the division would increase to over 10%.
- 16.6. The final iteration of the scheme of the divisions produces one division (North Coast) with an 11% variance in 2025. Members agreed to accept this version which includes Docking (polling district RA1) in the Docking division and reduces the variance of the North Coast division to 0%.
- 16.7. After further consultation it was suggested that Gar and Nar Valley should be renamed as, "Middleton," to more accurately reflect the location of the division.

Existing Name

Proposed Name

Gayton and Nar Valley

Middleton

Kings Lynn: Proposed new divisions predicted variances in 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Clenchwarton and King's Lynn South	1	9,030	10%	9,139	7%
Dersingham	1	7,734	-6%	7,800	-9%
Docking	1	8,677	6%	9,080	6%
Downham Market	1	8,663	6%	8,743	2%
Feltwell	1	8,291	1%	8,689	1%
Fincham	1	8,389	2%	8,506	-1%
Freebridge Lynn	1	8,731	7%	9,225	8%
Middleton	1	8,101	-1%	8,733	2%
Gaywood North and Central	1	8,558	4%	9,193	7%
Gaywood South	1	7,838	-4%	8,310	-3%
King's Lynn North and Central	1	8,147	-1%	8,625	1%
Marshland North	1	8,379	2%	8,440	-2%
Marshland South	1	9,022	10%	9,244	8%
North Coast	1	8,275	1%	8,593	0%
	14	117,835		122,321	

17. [North Norfolk](#)

- 17.1. The District corresponds to a thin rectangle, which has been bent in the middle, one side bordered by Broadland District, whilst the opposite boundary is the sea. This layout therefore reduces the opportunities to produce a schedule of divisions because it limits the number of polling districts that can be aggregated to create a division, which ideally contains the 8,569 electors.
- 17.2. The District contains two towns inland, Fakenham and North Walsham, and a coastal urban ribbon development that includes Cromer and Sheringham. In these circumstances the aim is to build divisions to encompass these urban areas and then build up divisions from left to right and/or from right to left. Additionally it is also necessary to spread the electorate over one less division.
- 17.3. This approach works for Fakenham and the coastal towns but then has a problem in building up the North Walsham division. The current arrangement splits the town into two on a roughly north south axis. One of the criteria for designing divisions is that they must as far as possible is to represent a local community. There are five polling districts (Polling districts) that cover the town and immediate surrounding area and in total they add up to 10,441 electors, which clearly does not meet the LGBCE guidelines. Thus the only viable solution is to split the town into two with polling district NWW1 separate to the main town. Currently NWW1 polling district is situated in North

Walsham West & Erpingham division and the rest are in North Walsham East division. It should also be noted that significant new housing is planned in this polling district.

- 17.4. When drawing up the divisions, one of the considerations is to try and make them as compact as possible. This enables members to traverse their division in a practical and effective manner. The layout of North Norfolk and the dispersion of electors make this a formidable challenge. Several different schemes have been considered and especially the location of the Melton Constable division, which runs the risk of being so long that it is effectively half of the length of the entire district.
- 17.5. With this in mind, this proposed scheme of divisions has meant that the majority of divisions have new boundaries. At the same time it has allowed divisions to be constructed so that they have excellent electoral equality. There are 3 divisions which have a variance of 0% in 2025 and a total of 9 divisions with a variance of +/- less than 5%. The only division which is worse has a variance +/- 7%.
- 17.6. The member working group felt that the Melton Constable division was rather large and would be difficult to manage because of the geographical layout. It was also noted that Upper Sheringham (polling district SS22) was not part of the Sheringham division.
- 17.7. The new Holt division now includes the village of Briston and it is therefore suggested that it be called, "Holt and Briston." The old Melton Constable division no longer contains the village of Melton Constable so it has been proposed to rename the division as, "Greenhoe." This follows the same approach, which has been adopted for other divisions in Norfolk based on the old, "hundreds" names.
- 17.8. Finally it is proposed that the North Walsham East division be named, "North Walsham."
- 17.9. The member working group reviewed the changes made and this revised scheme of divisions meant that some division names have to change because they either include significant new areas within the division e.g. Fakenham, or have ceded polling districts such as South Smallborough, which is now situated in Hoveton and Stalham.
- 17.10. The name of the division is very important because it reflects the history and community spirit within an area. They should also not be easily confused with Ward names. There were a number of suggestions, some referring to the previous precedence of naming divisions after the old, "hundreds" administrative areas, examples of which are found in other Districts.
- 17.11. The "hundred" names are more appropriate for rural areas because rather than just referring to a village, which could be some considerable distance from other settlements within a division they include sparsely populated areas.

17.12. Eynsford has been chosen to replace Melton Constable because the other option is to call it South Erpingham, but that could be confused with the Erpingham Ward. There are two versions of the spelling of “Eynsford,” i.e. with or without an “e” but the version without the “e,” appears to be the older one.

17.13. Following further discussion the proposals are as follows: -

Existing Name	Proposed Name
Cromer	Cromer
Fakenham	Fakenham and The Raynhams
Holt	Holt
Hoveton and Stalham	Hoveton and Stalham
Melton Constable	Eynsford
Mundesley	Mundesley and Worstead
North Walsham East	North Walsham
Sheringham	Sheringham
South Smallburgh	Happing
Wells	Greenhoe

North Norfolk: Proposed new divisions predicted variances in 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Cromer	1	8,485	4%	8,706	2%
Eynsford	1	7,948	-3%	8,041	-6%
Fakenham and The Raynhams	1	8,378	2%	8,755	2%
Greenhoe	1	8,500	4%	8,572	0%
Happing	1	8,368	2%	8,368	-2%
Holt	1	8,113	-1%	8,965	5%
Hoveton and Stalham	1	8,551	4%	8,571	0%
Mundesley and Worstead	1	8,236	1%	8,515	-1%
North Walsham	1	8,548	4%	8,548	0%
Sheringham	1	8,927	9%	9,197	7%
	10	84,054		86,237	

18. [Norwich](#)

18.1. The geography of Norwich resembles a circle with three bits sticking out. This shape dictates that when designing a scheme of divisions one has to start with these three divisions and then build on from them. Norwich is also faced

with having to lose one division in this review, which means that the majority of the current divisions will change shape.

- 18.2. The polling districts have recently been changed to reflect changes in electorates. This has generated two polling districts with single digit number of electors, two with less than 100 electors and 18 polling districts with under 1,000 electors. At the other end of the scale there are 17 polling districts with over 2,000 electors. The larger the polling district, the harder it is to achieve electoral equality.
- 18.3. Once the size of the three extending divisions was settled, (Bowthorpe, Catton Grove and Chrome), the next aim was to keep existing divisions, which were forecasted to have good electoral equality in 2025: Mile Cross -2%, Town Close – 2% and Wensum - 5%. In addition to achieving good electoral equality they meet the criteria of providing good governance and community identity.
- 18.4. After mapping these divisions a number of different alternatives were examined to try and obtain good electoral equality. The best option provides 6 divisions with a variance of +/- 5% or less and 5 divisions with a variance of +/- 5 – 10%. It was only at the end of the process, that Thorpe Hamlet became the most appropriate division to be removed from Norwich district and its electorate was split between the surrounding divisions.
- 18.5. The Members Working Group did not suggest any alterations.

Norwich: Proposed new divisions predicted variances 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Bowthorpe	1	8,316	2%	8,898	4%
Catton Grove	1	8,047	-2%	8,047	-6%
Crome	1	9,107	11%	9,193	7%
Eaton	1	9,261	13%	9,338	9%
Lakenham	1	7,741	-6%	9,120	6%
Mancroft	1	7,300	-11%	9,561	12%
Mile Cross	1	7,754	-5%	8,365	-2%
Nelson	1	8,450	3%	8,746	2%
Sewell	1	8,810	8%	8,845	3%
Town Close	1	8,360	2%	8,399	-2%
University	1	8,996	10%	9,236	8%
Wensum	1	8,103	-1%	8,157	-5%
	12	100,245		105,902	

19. South Norfolk

- 19.1. Providing a scheme of divisions for South Norfolk is extremely challenging because of a number of factors. First, it is entitled to 13 divisions, which means that it will have one new extra division, which has to be fitted into the present map. This will inevitably lead to some of the existing division boundaries looking very different in the future.
- 19.2. Second, the District has a number of towns and large villages, which due to their size will mean that some of the rural polling districts surrounding them will have to be added in order to achieve practical levels of electoral equality. In the current scheme the divisions of Diss & Roydon and Loddon both have a variance of +/- 2% and so in order to comply with the criteria of community identity and good governance; it would be an advantage to keep them the same if possible.
- 19.3. Thirdly, the area between Wymondham and Norwich has witnessed a huge amount of new house building and this will change existing division boundaries as they have to be as near as possible to match the 'ideal' number of 8,569 electors in 2025. It also means that in the very rural parts of the District such as the area between Diss and Wymondham and the east side of the District it is necessary to join a large number of polling districts together in order to meet the electoral equality criteria as well as meeting the other criteria. It should also be noted that there are a large number of polling districts containing few electors, when compared against the other Districts in Norfolk.
- 19.4. The impact of these unique features and conditions on the layout means that the normal approach of working left to right or top to bottom will not create a satisfactory scheme and so is not applicable. Instead an elector density map has been produced to identify the high-density polling districts and then build divisions around them. The process of building up divisions commenced in the top left corner because this area has seen the greatest increases in elector numbers located in a relatively small area of the District.
- 19.5. This increase in elector numbers in Wymondham and the distribution of electors in the adjacent polling districts, combined with position of district boundaries, means that it is not possible to design any scheme which would allow the whole of Wymondham to be contained within one polling division.
- 19.6. Once these divisions were drawn up, the Diss and Roydon division was worked up and then there was a building up of the West Depwade Division, where the electorate was reduced to 1% variance. Next the Long Stratton was mapped followed by East Depwade. The work up of divisions then continued in a counter clockwise manner finishing with Henstead. This pattern of divisions results in 7 divisions with an electoral variance in 2025 of +/- 5%. The remaining divisions are all under a variance +/- 10%.
- 19.7. Following discussions with the members working group, minor changes were made to the Long Stratton and surrounding divisions. There was also a

request to ensure that polling districts SG1 & SH1 Stoke Holy Cross were in the same division. It was also requested that polling districts ST1 and SU1 were included in the same division because they shared the same Parish Council.

- 19.8. In reworking the scheme, overall divisions of Clavering, Costessy, Diss and Roydon, West Depwade, Loddon, Long Stratton and West Depwade all produced good variances and broadly followed the existing boundaries. However due to the substantial building in and around Wymondham, it was necessary to completely redraw the boundaries from scratch to allow a new division to be included. It is proposed that the new division is called, “Hethersett” because the majority of electors live in the Hethersett parish.
- 19.9. After further consultation a request was received to rename Clavering to, “Waveney Valley.” It was felt that this name more accurately reflected the geographically of the division.

Existing name	Proposed Name
Clavering	Waveney Valley

South Norfolk: Proposed new divisions predicted variances in 2019 & 2025

Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Costessey	1	8,899	9%	9,050	6%
Diss and Roydon	1	8,315	2%	8,729	2%
East Depwade	1	8,971	10%	9,279	8%
Forehoe	1	8,094	-1%	9,009	5%
Henstead	1	7,824	-4%	8,882	4%
Hethersett	1	6,982	-15%	8,674	1%
Hingham	1	7,956	-3%	9,168	7%
Humbleyard	1	7,476	-9%	8,078	-6%
Loddon	1	9,196	12%	9,300	9%
Long Stratton	1	8,342	2%	8,551	0%
Waveney Valley	1	8,481	4%	8,607	0%
West Depwade	1	8,490	4%	8,654	1%
Wymondham	1	8,168	0%	9,289	8%
	13	107,194		115,270	

20. [Appendices:](#)

Appendix 1 – Note on Forecasting Future Electorate

Appendix 2 – Proposed Divisions Ranked by Number of Polling Districts

Appendix 3 – Summary of Variances for the Existing Divisions

Appendix 4 – Summary of Variances of Proposed Divisions.

Appendix 5 – Tables and maps of Polling Districts for proposed the schedule

Appendix 1 – Note on Forecasting Future Electorate

Note on Norfolk County Council's approach to forecasting future electorate: -

The Boundary Commission's Electoral Forecasting user guidance requires a cautious approach to housing growth as forecasts can be over-optimistic. It states:

"Simply identifying where new housing may be built is not adequate: it will be the completion and occupation of new housing which will add to the electorate of an area. Forecasters will need to identify which of the identified potential housing developments are likely to come to fruition in the period for which forecasts are prepared. We have seen how those preparing forecasts are more likely to over-estimate, rather than under-estimate the number of new dwellings which will be built and occupied".

In accordance with this requirement, we have applied a consistent approach to housing growth across the County using five-year land supply data prepared and provided by the district councils. These land supply studies identify which sites are expected to be built in a five-year period. They are reasonably robust as they need to stand up to rigorous scrutiny at Local Plan examinations and planning appeals.

To further reduce the risk of overestimating growth, we used the five-year supply data which cover the period up to 2023 to cover the six-year period to the boundary review end date of 2025. The principal advantage of using data for the shorter period to 2023 is the greater certainty of the elimination of optimism bias. However, it also removes any significant issues relating to delay between the completion of homes and their occupation; and, it helps control for any return to a trend of falling household size (e.g. if housing growth allows a greater number of young adults already living in the area to form their own households).

Development sites were allocated to the appropriate polling district. Where a site overlaps a boundary, growth was allocated to the correct district using any published phasing plan or reasonable judgement (for example - the site would be developed out from its access point).

Post-2023 growth in polling districts was also supplied so the Boundary Commission can see where significant growth is planned in the medium and longer term.

The forecast method is as follows:

1. Registered electorate for South Norfolk sourced from here <https://www.south-norfolk.gov.uk/sites/default/files/website%202019%20Polling%20district%20and%20polling%20places%20review.xlsx>
2. Housing growth forecasts are sourced as above.
3. With a very few exceptions the analysis only includes larger sites of 10 or more dwellings as small scale growth will have negligible impact at the very local level. This gives an expected total number of built and occupied dwellings for 2025 of 19,243

4. The additional number of electors due to the additional number of dwellings is estimated as the number of dwellings multiplied by the electorate to dwelling ratio that is derived from ONS published data and the valuation office – see table below This gives a total additional electorate of 31,653
5. Where there are fewer than 10 dwellings being built then between 2019 and 2025 we are assuming that the numbers of electors leaving a polling district balance the number of electors entering a polling district (e.g. number who die is about equal to the number who attain, number who move out is about equal to the number who move in).
6. The forecast electorate for a polling district is the current 2019 electorate plus the estimated number of additional electors due to additional dwellings being built in the polling district.

Area Name	Total Electors 2018	Total Properties 2018	Ratio of electors per dwelling to one decimal place	Current Electoral Division Count	Electorate 2019
Breckland	100,602	60,720	1.7	12	104,379
Broadland	99,732	57,650	1.7	13	101,927
Great Yarmouth	71,439	47,780	1.5	9	72,471
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	116,501	72,850	1.6	14	117,835
North Norfolk	82,575	54,610	1.5	11	84,054
Norwich	99,147	65,930	1.5	13	100,245
South Norfolk	106,822	60,740	1.8	12	107,194
Norfolk	676,818	420,290	1.6	84	688,105

Appendix 2: Proposed Divisions ranked by number of Polling Districts

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025	Number of Polling Districts	Rank
North Norfolk	Eynsford	1	7,948	-3%	8,041	-6%	28	1
North Norfolk	Greenhoe	1	8,500	4%	8,572	0%	26	2
Breckland	Launditch	1	8,561	5%	8,706	2%	24	3
South Norfolk	Waveney Valley	1	8,481	4%	8,607	0%	23	4
South Norfolk	Loddon	1	9,196	12%	9,300	9%	22	5
Breckland	The Brecks	1	7,722	-6%	8,156	-5%	21	6
Broadland	Reepham	1	8,183	0%	8,307	-3%	21	7
Breckland	Elmham and Mattishall	1	8,342	2%	8,342	-3%	18	8
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Fincham	1	8,389	2%	8,506	-1%	18	9
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	North Coast	1	8,275	1%	8,593	0%	18	10
Breckland	Wayland	1	7,289	-11%	7,323	-15%	16	11
North Norfolk	Happing	1	8,368	2%	8,368	-2%	16	12
North Norfolk	Holt	1	8,113	-1%	8,965	5%	16	13
South Norfolk	West Depwade	1	8,490	4%	8,654	1%	16	14
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Dersingham	1	7,734	-6%	7,800	-9%	15	15
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Middleton	1	8,101	-1%	8,733	2%	15	16
South Norfolk	Humbleyard	1	7,476	-9%	8,078	-6%	15	17
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Feltwell	1	8,291	1%	8,689	1%	14	18
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Docking	1	8,677	6%	9,080	6%	11	19
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Marshland South	1	9,022	10%	9,244	8%	11	20
South Norfolk	Forehoe	1	8,094	-1%	9,009	5%	11	21
South Norfolk	Long Stratton	1	8,342	2%	8,551	0%	11	22
Breckland	Guiltcross	1	7,575	-8%	7,575	-12%	10	23
Breckland	Yare and Necton	1	7,613	-7%	7,936	-7%	10	24
North Norfolk	Hoveton and Stalham	1	8,551	4%	8,571	0%	10	25
North Norfolk	Mundesley and Worstead	1	8,236	1%	8,515	-1%	10	26
South Norfolk	Henstead	1	7,824	-4%	8,882	4%	10	27
Broadland	Wroxham	1	7,871	-4%	8,279	-3%	9	28
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn South	1	9,030	10%	9,139	7%	9	29
South Norfolk	East Depwade	1	8,971	10%	9,279	8%	9	30
Great Yarmouth	North Flegg	1	8,165	0%	8,270	-3%	8	31
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Freebridge Lynn	1	8,731	7%	9,225	8%	8	32
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Marshland North	1	8,379	2%	8,440	-2%	8	33
North Norfolk	Cromer	1	8,485	4%	8,706	2%	8	34
North Norfolk	Fakenham and The Raynhams	1	8,378	2%	8,755	2%	8	35
Norwich	Lakenham	1	7,741	-6%	9,120	6%	8	36
Norwich	University	1	8,996	10%	9,236	8%	8	37
Norwich	Wensum	1	8,103	-1%	8,157	-5%	8	38
Broadland	Acle	1	7,490	-9%	7,728	-10%	7	39
Broadland	Aylsham	1	8,300	1%	8,608	0%	7	40
Broadland	Sproston North	1	8,993	10%	9,024	5%	7	41
Great Yarmouth	Bure	1	8,080	-1%	8,080	-6%	7	42
North Norfolk	Sheringham	1	8,927	9%	9,197	7%	7	43
Breckland	Swaffham	1	7,844	-4%	8,686	1%	6	44
Breckland	Thetford West	1	8,657	6%	8,657	1%	6	45
Great Yarmouth	Gorleston St. Andrews	1	8,128	-1%	8,161	-5%	6	46
Great Yarmouth	Yare	1	8,132	-1%	8,326	-3%	6	47
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Gaywood South	1	7,838	-4%	8,310	-3%	6	48
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	King's Lynn North and Central	1	8,147	-1%	8,625	1%	6	49
North Norfolk	North Walsham	1	8,548	4%	8,548	0%	6	50
Norwich	Bowthorpe	1	8,316	2%	8,898	4%	6	51
Norwich	Catton Grove	1	8,047	-2%	8,047	-6%	6	52
Norwich	Crome	1	9,107	11%	9,193	7%	6	53
Norwich	Nelson	1	8,450	3%	8,746	2%	6	54
Norwich	Town Close	1	8,360	2%	8,399	-2%	6	55
Broadland	Blofield and Brundall	1	7,252	-11%	7,825	-9%	5	56
Broadland	Thorpe St. Andrew East	1	6,356	-22%	6,994	-18%	5	57

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025	Number of Polling Districts	Rank
Great Yarmouth	Lothingland	1	7,202	-12%	7,582	-12%	5	58
Great Yarmouth	Magdalen	1	8,273	1%	8,314	-3%	5	59
Great Yarmouth	North Caister and Ormesby	1	7,982	-3%	8,266	-4%	5	60
Great Yarmouth	Yarmouth North and Central	1	8,304	1%	8,342	-3%	5	61
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Gaywood North and Central	1	8,558	4%	9,193	7%	5	62
Norwich	Eaton	1	9,261	13%	9,338	9%	5	63
Norwich	Mancroft	1	7,300	-11%	9,561	12%	5	64
Norwich	Mile Cross	1	7,754	-5%	8,365	-2%	5	65
Norwich	Sewell	1	8,810	8%	8,845	3%	5	66
South Norfolk	Costessey	1	8,899	9%	9,050	6%	5	67
South Norfolk	Hingham	1	7,956	-3%	9,168	7%	5	68
Breckland	Attleborough	1	7,434	-9%	8,211	-4%	4	69
Breckland	Dereham South	1	8,719	6%	8,925	4%	4	70
Breckland	Thetford East	1	8,299	1%	8,299	-3%	4	71
Breckland	Watton	1	8,253	1%	8,681	1%	4	72
Broadland	Taverham	1	8,325	2%	8,325	-3%	4	73
Great Yarmouth	Breydon	1	8,205	0%	8,502	-1%	4	74
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Downham Market	1	8,663	6%	8,743	2%	4	75
South Norfolk	Diss and Roydon	1	8,315	2%	8,729	2%	4	76
South Norfolk	Wymondham	1	8,168	0%	9,289	8%	4	77
Breckland	Dereham North	1	8,071	-1%	8,421	-2%	3	78
Broadland	Drayton and Horsford	1	7,695	-6%	8,389	-2%	3	79
Broadland	Old Catton and Spixworth	1	7,956	-3%	8,823	3%	3	80
Broadland	Thorpe St. Andrew West	1	8,044	-2%	8,087	-6%	3	81
Broadland	Hellesdon	1	8,810	8%	9,312	9%	2	82
Broadland	Sprowston South	1	6,652	-19%	8,573	0%	2	83
South Norfolk	Hethersett	1	6,982	-15%	8,674	1%	2	84
Norfolk		84	688,105		719,760		753	

Appendix 3 - Variances for the Existing Divisions

This refers to the pattern of divisions set up in 2004 with the existing and future forecast of electors. It shows the current elector inequality.

a) Breckland

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Attleborough	1	9,511	16%	10,288	20%
Dereham North	1	8,071	-1%	8,421	-2%
Dereham South	1	8,719	6%	8,925	4%
Elmham and Mattishall	1	9,133	11%	9,278	8%
Guiltcross	1	8,586	5%	8,586	0%
Necton and Launditch	1	8,817	8%	8,817	3%
Swaffham	1	8,443	3%	9,285	8%
The Brecks	1	9,056	11%	9,490	11%
Thetford East	1	6,906	-16%	6,906	-19%
Thetford West	1	10,050	23%	10,050	17%
Watton	1	9,198	12%	9,660	13%
Yare and All Saints	1	7,889	-4%	8,212	-4%
Total		104,379		107,917	

b) Broadland

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Acle	1	6,904	-16%	7,142	-17%
Aylsham	1	8,300	1%	8,608	0%
Blofield and Brundall	1	7,847	-4%	8,729	2%
Drayton and Horsford	1	8,275	1%	8,969	5%
Hellesdon	1	8,810	8%	9,312	9%
Hevingham and Spixworth	1	7,379	-10%	7,549	-12%
Old Catton	1	6,703	-18%	7,400	-14%
Reepham	1	6,833	-17%	6,957	-19%
Sprowston	1	9,293	13%	9,293	8%
Taverham	1	7,636	-7%	7,636	-11%
Thorpe St. Andrew	1	8,103	-1%	8,474	-1%
Woodside	1	7,625	-7%	9,546	11%
Wroxham	1	8,219	0%	8,658	1%
Total	13	101,927		108,271	

c) Great Yarmouth

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Breydon	1	8,687	6%	8,884	4%
Caister-on-Sea	1	7,303	-11%	7,303	-15%
East Flegg	1	7,546	-8%	7,935	-7%
Gorleston St. Andrews	1	7,599	-7%	7,632	-11%
Lothingland	1	9,094	11%	9,669	13%
Magdalen	1	8,096	-1%	8,137	-5%
West Flegg	1	6,301	-23%	6,301	-26%
Yarmouth Nelson and Southtown	1	8,869	8%	9,007	5%
Yarmouth North and Central	1	8,976	10%	8,976	5%
Total	9	72,471		73,842	

d) King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Clenchwarton and King's Lynn South	1	7,868	-4%	7,982	-7%
Dersingham	1	8,847	8%	8,889	4%
Docking	1	7,734	-6%	8,137	-5%
Downham Market	1	8,663	6%	8,743	2%
Feltwell	1	9,768	19%	10,166	19%
Fincham	1	8,748	7%	8,822	3%
Freebridge Lynn	1	7,503	-8%	7,828	-9%
Gayton and Nar Valley	1	7,950	-3%	8,748	2%
Gaywood North and Central	1	6,917	-16%	7,192	-16%
Gaywood South	1	9,992	22%	10,824	26%
King's Lynn North and Central	1	8,198	0%	8,676	1%
Marshland North	1	8,126	-1%	8,187	-4%
Marshland South	1	10,281	26%	10,569	23%
North Coast	1	7,240	-12%	7,558	-12%
Total	14	117,835		122,321	

e) North Norfolk

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Cromer	1	8,270	1%	8,474	-1%
Fakenham	1	8,378	2%	8,755	2%
Holt	1	7,330	-11%	8,296	-3%
Hoveton and Stalham	1	7,640	-7%	7,660	-11%
Melton Constable	1	7,717	-6%	7,822	-9%
Mundesley	1	7,607	-7%	7,670	-10%
North Walsham East	1	8,562	5%	8,562	0%
North Walsham West and Erpingham	1	7,563	-8%	7,832	-9%
Sheringham	1	6,903	-16%	7,041	-18%
South Smallburgh	1	7,265	-11%	7,265	-15%
Wells	1	6,819	-17%	6,861	-20%
Total	11	84,054		86,237	

f) Norwich

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Bowthorpe	1	8,316	2%	8,898	4%
Catton Grove	1	8,047	-2%	8,047	-6%
Crome	1	7,235	-12%	7,235	-16%
Eaton	1	7,231	-12%	7,308	-15%
Lakenham	1	7,183	-12%	7,183	-16%
Mancroft	1	8,455	3%	10,545	23%
Mile Cross	1	7,754	-5%	8,365	-2%
Nelson	1	6,944	-15%	6,944	-19%
Sewell	1	7,720	-6%	7,755	-10%
Thorpe Hamlet	1	9,206	12%	11,137	30%
Town Close	1	8,360	2%	8,399	-2%
University	1	5,691	-31%	5,931	-31%
Wensum	1	8,103	-1%	8,157	-5%
Total	12	100,245		105,902	

g) South Norfolk

Name of Division	Number of Members Per Division	Electorate	Variance	Electorate	Variance
		2019	2019	2025	2025
Clavering	1	8,860	8%	9,009	5%
Costessey	1	11,890	45%	12,263	43%
Diss and Roydon	1	8,315	2%	8,729	2%
East Depwade	1	7,833	-4%	8,101	-5%
Forehoe	1	8,921	9%	10,293	20%
Henstead	1	8,441	3%	9,499	11%
Hingham	1	6,720	-18%	7,046	-18%
Humbleyard	1	10,148	24%	12,627	47%
Loddon	1	8,601	5%	8,700	2%
Long Stratton	1	7,941	-3%	8,132	-5%
West Depwade	1	9,063	11%	9,211	7%
Wymondham	1	10,461	28%	11,660	36%
Total	12	107,194		115,269	

Appendix 4 Summary of variances of Proposed Divisions

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Breckland	Attleborough	1	7,434	-9%	8,211	-4%
Breckland	Dereham North	1	8,071	-1%	8,421	-2%
Breckland	Dereham South	1	8,719	6%	8,925	4%
Breckland	Elmham and Mattishall	1	8,342	2%	8,342	-3%
Breckland	Guiltcross	1	7,575	-8%	7,575	-12%
Breckland	Launditch	1	8,561	5%	8,706	2%
Breckland	Swaffham	1	7,844	-4%	8,686	1%
Breckland	The Brecks	1	7,722	-6%	8,156	-5%
Breckland	Thetford East	1	8,299	1%	8,299	-3%
Breckland	Thetford West	1	8,657	6%	8,657	1%
Breckland	Watton	1	8,253	1%	8,681	1%
Breckland	Wayland	1	7,289	-11%	7,323	-15%
Breckland	Yare and Necton	1	7,613	-7%	7,936	-7%
Breckland		13	104,379		107,917	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Broadland	Acle	1	7,490	-9%	7,728	-10%
Broadland	Aylsham	1	8,300	1%	8,608	0%
Broadland	Blofield and Brundall	1	7,252	-11%	7,825	-9%
Broadland	Drayton and Horsford	1	7,695	-6%	8,389	-2%
Broadland	Hellesdon	1	8,810	8%	9,312	9%
Broadland	Old Catton and Spixworth	1	7,956	-3%	8,823	3%
Broadland	Reepham	1	8,183	0%	8,307	-3%
Broadland	Sprowston North	1	8,993	10%	9,024	5%
Broadland	Sprowston South	1	6,652	-19%	8,573	0%
Broadland	Taverham	1	8,325	2%	8,325	-3%
Broadland	Thorpe St. Andrew East	1	6,356	-22%	6,994	-18%
Broadland	Thorpe St. Andrew West	1	8,044	-2%	8,087	-6%
Broadland	Wroxham	1	7,871	-4%	8,279	-3%
Broadland		13	101,927		108,271	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Great Yarmouth	Breydon	1	8,205	0%	8,502	-1%
Great Yarmouth	Bure	1	8,080	-1%	8,080	-6%
Great Yarmouth	Gorleston St. Andrews	1	8,128	-1%	8,161	-5%
Great Yarmouth	Lothingland	1	7,202	-12%	7,582	-12%
Great Yarmouth	Magdalen	1	8,273	1%	8,314	-3%
Great Yarmouth	North Caister and Ormesby	1	7,982	-3%	8,266	-4%
Great Yarmouth	North Flegg	1	8,165	0%	8,270	-3%
Great Yarmouth	Yare	1	8,132	-1%	8,326	-3%
Great Yarmouth	Yarmouth North and Central	1	8,304	1%	8,342	-3%
Great Yarmouth		9	72,471		73,842	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn South	1	9,030	10%	9,139	7%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Dersingham	1	7,734	-6%	7,800	-9%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Docking	1	8,677	6%	9,080	6%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Downham Market	1	8,663	6%	8,743	2%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Feltwell	1	8,291	1%	8,689	1%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Fincham	1	8,389	2%	8,506	-1%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Freebridge Lynn	1	8,731	7%	9,225	8%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Middleton	1	8,101	-1%	8,733	2%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Gaywood North and Central	1	8,558	4%	9,193	7%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Gaywood South	1	7,838	-4%	8,310	-3%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	King's Lynn North and Central	1	8,147	-1%	8,625	1%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Marshland North	1	8,379	2%	8,440	-2%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Marshland South	1	9,022	10%	9,244	8%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk	North Coast	1	8,275	1%	8,593	0%
King's Lynn and West Norfolk		14	117,835		122,321	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
North Norfolk	Cromer	1	8,485	4%	8,706	2%
North Norfolk	Eynsford	1	7,948	-3%	8,041	-6%
North Norfolk	Fakenham and The Raynhams	1	8,378	2%	8,755	2%
North Norfolk	Greenhoe	1	8,500	4%	8,572	0%
North Norfolk	Happing	1	8,368	2%	8,368	-2%
North Norfolk	Holt	1	8,113	-1%	8,965	5%
North Norfolk	Hoveton and Stalham	1	8,551	4%	8,571	0%
North Norfolk	Mundesley and Worstead	1	8,236	1%	8,515	-1%
North Norfolk	North Walsham	1	8,548	4%	8,548	0%
North Norfolk	Sheringham	1	8,927	9%	9,197	7%
North Norfolk		10	84,054		86,237	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
Norwich	Bowthorpe	1	8,316	2%	8,898	4%
Norwich	Catton Grove	1	8,047	-2%	8,047	-6%
Norwich	Crome	1	9,107	11%	9,193	7%
Norwich	Eaton	1	9,261	13%	9,338	9%
Norwich	Lakenham	1	7,741	-6%	9,120	6%
Norwich	Mancroft	1	7,300	-11%	9,561	12%
Norwich	Mile Cross	1	7,754	-5%	8,365	-2%
Norwich	Nelson	1	8,450	3%	8,746	2%
Norwich	Sewell	1	8,810	8%	8,845	3%
Norwich	Town Close	1	8,360	2%	8,399	-2%
Norwich	University	1	8,996	10%	9,236	8%
Norwich	Wensum	1	8,103	-1%	8,157	-5%
Norwich		12	100,245		105,902	

District	Name of division	Number of members per division	Electorate 2019	Variance compared to 2019	Electorate 2025	Variance compared to 2025
South Norfolk	Costessey	1	8,899	9%	9,050	6%
South Norfolk	Diss and Roydon	1	8,315	2%	8,729	2%
South Norfolk	East Depwade	1	8,971	10%	9,279	8%
South Norfolk	Forehoe	1	8,094	-1%	9,009	5%
South Norfolk	Henstead	1	7,824	-4%	8,882	4%
South Norfolk	Hethersett	1	6,982	-15%	8,674	1%
South Norfolk	Hingham	1	7,956	-3%	9,168	7%
South Norfolk	Humbleyard	1	7,476	-9%	8,078	-6%
South Norfolk	Loddon	1	9,196	12%	9,300	9%
South Norfolk	Long Stratton	1	8,342	2%	8,551	0%
South Norfolk	Waveney Valley	1	8,481	4%	8,607	0%
South Norfolk	West Depwade	1	8,490	4%	8,654	1%
South Norfolk	Wymondham	1	8,168	0%	9,289	8%
South Norfolk		13	107,194		115,270	

Appendix 5 - Tables and maps of the Polling Districts for the proposed schedule

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Breckland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
ATB2	Attleborough-Burgh & Haverscroft (West) CP	Attleborough	1,950	0	0	1,950
ATQ1	Besthorpe CP	Attleborough	587	0	0	587
ATQ2	Attleborough-Queens (East) CP	Attleborough	1,426	145	247	1,673
ATQ3	Attleborough-Queens (West) CP	Attleborough	3,471	312	530	4,001
DEN1	Dereham-Neatherd (East) CP	Dereham North	3,943	206	350	4,293
DEW1	Dereham-Withburga (North) CP	Dereham North	1,935	0	0	1,935
SH2	Scarning CP	Dereham North	2,193	0	0	2,193
DEN2	Dereham Neatherd (West) CP	Dereham South	1,808	31	53	1,861
DET1	Dereham Toftwood (East) CP	Dereham South	690	0	0	690
DET2	Dereham-Toftwood (West) CP	Dereham South	3,690	90	153	3,843
DEW2	Dereham-Withburga (South) CP	Dereham South	2,531	0	0	2,531
MA1	East Tuddenham CP	Elmham and Mattishall	388	0	0	388
MA4	Mattishall CP	Elmham and Mattishall	2,226	0	0	2,226
MA6	Yaxham CP	Elmham and Mattishall	683	0	0	683
UP1	Bawdeswell CP	Elmham and Mattishall	629	0	0	629
UP10	Hockering CP	Elmham and Mattishall	605	0	0	605
UP11	Lyng CP	Elmham and Mattishall	662	0	0	662
UP12	North Elmham CP	Elmham and Mattishall	1,114	0	0	1,114
UP13	North Tuddenham CP	Elmham and Mattishall	280	0	0	280
UP14	Sparham CP	Elmham and Mattishall	261	0	0	261
UP15	Twyford CP	Elmham and Mattishall	18	0	0	18
UP2	Billington CP	Elmham and Mattishall	193	0	0	193
UP3	Bintree CP	Elmham and Mattishall	256	0	0	256
UP4	Brisley CP	Elmham and Mattishall	259	0	0	259
UP5	Bylaugh CP	Elmham and Mattishall	58	0	0	58
UP6	Elsing CP	Elmham and Mattishall	220	0	0	220
UP7	Foxley CP	Elmham and Mattishall	252	0	0	252
UP8	Gateley CP	Elmham and Mattishall	66	0	0	66
UP9	Guist CP	Elmham and Mattishall	172	0	0	172
AL7	Quidenham CP	Guiltcross	405	0	0	405
ATB1	Attleborough-Burgh & Haverscroft (East) CP	Guiltcross	2,077	0	0	2,077
GU1	Blo Norton CP	Guiltcross	214	0	0	214
GU2	Kenninghall CP	Guiltcross	843	0	0	843
GU3	Garboldisham CP	Guiltcross	602	0	0	602
GU4	North Lopham CP	Guiltcross	521	0	0	521
GU5	South Lopham CP	Guiltcross	314	0	0	314
TB1	Banham CP	Guiltcross	1,157	0	0	1,157
TB2	New Buckenham CP	Guiltcross	400	0	0	400
TB3	Old Buckenham CP	Guiltcross	1,042	0	0	1,042

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Breckland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
HE1	Colkirk CP	Launditch	500	0	0	500
HE2	Horningtoft CP	Launditch	134	0	0	134
HE3	Mileham CP	Launditch	494	0	0	494
HE4	Tittleshall CP	Launditch	289	0	0	289
HE5	Weasenham All Saints CP	Launditch	149	0	0	149
HE6	Weasenham St Peter CP	Launditch	140	0	0	140
HE7	Wellingham CP	Launditch	25	0	0	25
HE8	Whissonsett CP	Launditch	383	0	0	383
HE9	Stanfield CP	Launditch	134	0	0	134
LA1	Beeston with Bittering CP	Launditch	473	0	0	473
LA2	Fransham CP	Launditch	354	0	0	354
LA3	Great Dunham CP	Launditch	270	0	0	270
LA4	Lexham CP	Launditch	99	0	0	99
LA5	Litcham CP	Launditch	484	0	0	484
LA6	Little Dunham CP	Launditch	235	0	0	235
LA7	Kempstone CP	Launditch	13	0	0	13
LA8	Newton By Castle Acre CP	Launditch	32	0	0	32
LA9	Rougham CP	Launditch	97	0	0	97
LI1	Beetley CP	Launditch	1,209	0	0	1,209
LI2	Gressenhall CP	Launditch	870	0	0	870
LI3	Hoe CP	Launditch	199	0	0	199
LI4	Longham CP	Launditch	199	0	0	199
LI5	Swanton Morley CP	Launditch	1,534	85	145	1,679
LI6	Wendling CP	Launditch	245	0	0	245
NA1	Beachamwell CP	Swaffham	290	0	0	290
NA2	Narford CP	Swaffham	31	0	0	31
NA3	Narborough CP	Swaffham	1,020	50	85	1,105
NA4	South Acre CP	Swaffham	28	0	0	28
SW1	Swaffham (North) CP	Swaffham	3,065	185	315	3,380
SW2	Swaffham (South) CP	Swaffham	3,410	260	442	3,852


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Breckland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
AS1	Ashill CP	The Brecks	1,225	0	0	1,225
AS2	Great Cressingham CP	The Brecks	214	0	0	214
AS3	Little Cressingham CP	The Brecks	124	0	0	124
AS4	Hilborough CP	The Brecks	167	0	0	167
AS5	North Pickenham CP	The Brecks	413	0	0	413
AS6	South Pickenham CP	The Brecks	71	0	0	71
BE1	Cockley Cley CP	The Brecks	116	0	0	116
BE2	Cranwich CP	The Brecks	41	0	0	41
BE3	Didlington CP	The Brecks	30	0	0	30
BE4	Foulton CP	The Brecks	338	0	0	338
BE5	Gooderstone CP	The Brecks	305	0	0	305
BE6	Mundford CP	The Brecks	1,184	0	0	1,184
BE7	Ickburgh CP	The Brecks	204	0	0	204
BE8	Oxborough CP	The Brecks	205	0	0	205
FO1	Brettenham CP	The Brecks	286	0	0	286
FO2	Croxton CP	The Brecks	364	255	434	798
FO3	Kilverstone CP	The Brecks	47	0	0	47
FO4	Lynford CP	The Brecks	94	0	0	94
FO5	Stanford CP	The Brecks	7	0	0	7
FO6	Weeting with Broomhill CP	The Brecks	1,430	0	0	1,430
NA5	Sporle with Palgrave CP	The Brecks	857	0	0	857
TBO2	Thetford-Boudica CP	Thetford East	2,652	0	0	2,652
TBU1	Thetford-Burrell (North) CP	Thetford East	1,393	0	0	1,393
TCA1	Thetford Castle (East) CP	Thetford East	2,513	0	0	2,513
TCA2	Thetford-Castle (West) CP	Thetford East	1,741	0	0	1,741
TBO1	Thetford-Anne Bartholomew CP	Thetford West	948	0	0	948
TBU2	Thetford-Burrell (South) CP	Thetford West	2,801	0	0	2,801
TPR1	Thetford-Priory (East) CP	Thetford West	881	0	0	881
TPR2	Thetford-Priory (West) CP	Thetford West	1,971	0	0	1,971
TPR3	Thetford-Priory (South) CP	Thetford West	1,418	0	0	1,418
TPR4	Thetford-Vicarage Road CP	Thetford West	638	0	0	638
SA2	Carbrooke CP	Watton	1,693	108	184	1,877
SA3	Ovington CP	Watton	204	0	0	204
WA1	Watton (West) CP	Watton	2,764	0	0	2,764
WA2	Watton (East) CP	Watton	3,592	144	245	3,837


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Breckland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
AL1	Caston CP	Wayland	401	0	0	401
AL10	Stow Bedon CP	Wayland	253	0	0	253
AL11	Thompson CP	Wayland	311	0	0	311
AL12	Wretham CP	Wayland	296	0	0	296
AL13	Snetterton CP	Wayland	171	0	0	171
AL2	Great Ellingham CP	Wayland	990	0	0	990
AL3	Little Ellingham CP	Wayland	200	0	0	200
AL4	Griston CP	Wayland	544	20	34	578
AL5	Hockham CP	Wayland	521	0	0	521
AL6	Merton CP	Wayland	99	0	0	99
AL8	Rocklands CP	Wayland	592	0	0	592
AL9	Shropham CP	Wayland	327	0	0	327
HA1	Bridgham CP	Wayland	292	0	0	292
HA2	Harling CP	Wayland	1,941	0	0	1,941
HA3	Riddlesworth CP	Wayland	114	0	0	114
HA4	Roudham & Larling CP	Wayland	237	0	0	237
MA2	Garvestone CP	Yare and Necton	572	0	0	572
MA3	Hardingham CP	Yare and Necton	248	0	0	248
MA5	Whinburgh and Westfield CP	Yare and Necton	328	0	0	328
NE1	Holme Hale CP	Yare and Necton	427	0	0	427
NE2	Necton CP	Yare and Necton	1,762	0	0	1,762
SA1	Bradenham CP	Yare and Necton	583	0	0	583
SA4	Saham Toney CP	Yare and Necton	1,337	0	0	1,337
SA5	Scoulton CP	Yare and Necton	191	0	0	191
SH1	Cranworth CP	Yare and Necton	378	0	0	378
SH3	Shipdham CP	Yare and Necton	1,787	190	323	2,110
Breckland		Total	104,379	2,081	3,538	107,917

Proposed Electoral Divisions



Current Electoral Divisions




List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Broadland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BA1	Acle CP	Acle	2,273	107	182	2,455
BD2	Cantley, Limpenhoe and Southwood CP	Acle	586	0	0	586
BE1	Lingwood and Burlingham CP	Acle	2,141	0	0	2,141
BP1	Beighton CP	Acle	351	0	0	351
BP2	Freethorpe CP	Acle	754	9	15	769
BP3	Halvergate CP	Acle	461	0	0	461
BP4	Reedham CP	Acle	924	24	41	965
BB1	Aylsham CP	Aylsham	5,773	181	308	6,081
BB2	Blickling CP	Aylsham	88	0	0	88
BB3	Burgh and Tuttington CP	Aylsham	269	0	0	269
BB4	Marsham CP	Aylsham	540	0	0	540
BB5	Oulton CP	Aylsham	163	0	0	163
BF1	Brampton CP	Aylsham	151	0	0	151
BF2	Buxton with Lammas CP	Aylsham	1,316	0	0	1,316
BC1	Blofield CP	Blofield and Brundall	1,954	256	435	2,389
BC2	Blofield CP	Blofield and Brundall	1,016	36	61	1,077
BC3	Hemblington CP	Blofield and Brundall	296	0	0	296
BD1	Brundall CP	Blofield and Brundall	3,482	25	43	3,525
BD4	Strumpshaw CP	Blofield and Brundall	504	20	34	538
BH1	Drayton CP	Drayton and Horsford	2,242	0	0	2,242
BJ1	Drayton CP	Drayton and Horsford	1,996	65	111	2,107
BN2	Horsford CP	Drayton and Horsford	3,457	343	583	4,040
HC1	Hellesdon CP	Hellesdon	4,618	270	459	5,077
HD1	Hellesdon CP	Hellesdon	4,192	25	43	4,235
BT2	Spixworth CP	Old Catton and Spixworth	3,006	100	170	3,176
HE1	Old Catton CP	Old Catton and Spixworth	3,000	406	690	3,690
HE2	Old Catton CP	Old Catton and Spixworth	1,950	4	7	1,957
BK1	Cawston CP	Reepham	1,246	0	0	1,246
BK2	Foulsham CP	Reepham	782	0	0	782
BK3	Themelthorpe CP	Reepham	54	0	0	54
BK4	Guestwick CP	Reepham	106	0	0	106
BK5	Heydon CP	Reepham	104	0	0	104
BK6	Salle CP	Reepham	67	0	0	67
BK7	Wood Dalling CP	Reepham	174	0	0	174
BL10	Great Witchingham CP	Reepham	516	0	0	516
BL11	Honingham CP	Reepham	303	0	0	303
BL12	Ringland CP	Reepham	181	0	0	181
BL2	Morton on the Hill CP	Reepham	77	0	0	77
BL3	Weston Longville CP	Reepham	256	0	0	256
BL4	Alderford CP	Reepham	39	0	0	39
BL5	Booton CP	Reepham	103	0	0	103
BL6	Brandiston CP	Reepham	60	0	0	60
BL7	Haveringland CP	Reepham	230	7	12	242
BL8	Little Witchingham CP	Reepham	27	0	0	27
BL9	Swannington CP	Reepham	248	6	10	258
BM2	Hevingham CP	Reepham	976	0	0	976
BM3	Stratton Strawless CP	Reepham	483	0	0	483
BS1	Reepham CP	Reepham	2,151	60	102	2,253

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Broadland

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BF3	Frettenham CP	Sprowston North	629	0	0	629
BG2	Crostown CP	Sprowston North	52	18	31	83
BM1	Hainford CP	Sprowston North	832	0	0	832
BT1	Beeston St. Andrew CP	Sprowston North	23	0	0	23
BT3	Horsham St. Faith and Newton St. Faith CP	Sprowston North	1,430	0	0	1,430
HE3	Sprowston CP	Sprowston North	1,753	0	0	1,753
HF1	Sprowston CP	Sprowston North	4,274	0	0	4,274
HG1	Sprowston CP	Sprowston South	1,633	1,130	1,921	3,554
HG2	Sprowston CP	Sprowston South	5,019	0	0	5,019
BL1	Attlebridge CP	Taverham	109	0	0	109
BN1	Felthorpe CP	Taverham	580	0	0	580
BW1	Taverham CP	Taverham	3,982	0	0	3,982
BX1	Taverham CP	Taverham	3,654	0	0	3,654
BD3	Postwick with Witton CP	Thorpe St. Andrew East	305	182	309	614
BR1	Great and Little Plumstead CP	Thorpe St. Andrew East	309	102	173	482
BR2	Great and Little Plumstead CP	Thorpe St. Andrew East	1,276	91	155	1,431
BR3	Great and Little Plumstead CP	Thorpe St. Andrew East	990	0	0	990
HL1	Thorpe St. Andrew CP	Thorpe St. Andrew East	3,476	0	0	3,476
HK1	Thorpe St. Andrew CP	Thorpe St. Andrew West	2,776	0	0	2,776
HK2	Thorpe St. Andrew CP	Thorpe St. Andrew West	3,216	0	0	3,216
HL2	Thorpe St. Andrew CP	Thorpe St. Andrew West	2,052	25	43	2,095
BC4	South Walsham CP	Wroxham	654	21	36	690
BC5	Upton with Fishley CP	Wroxham	592	0	0	592
BC6	Woodbastwick CP	Wroxham	319	0	0	319
BG1	Coltishall CP	Wroxham	1,186	0	0	1,186
BG3	Horstead with Stanninghall CP	Wroxham	874	0	0	874
BY1	Belaugh CP	Wroxham	90	0	0	90
BY2	Rackheath CP	Wroxham	1,496	219	372	1,868
BY3	Salhouse CP	Wroxham	1,282	0	0	1,282
BY4	Wroxham CP	Wroxham	1,378	0	0	1,378
Broadland		Total	101,927	3,732	6,344	108,271

Proposed Electoral Divisions



Districts

Housing Dev Point

Polling District

Housing Dev Area

Date created:
 22/01/2020
 Scale @ A3:
 1:130,000


Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Proposed distribution - Broadland District

Norfolk County Council
 The line depicting a right of way does not define the precise route, centre or edge of the path but only indicates its location. Please consult the definitive map for the right of way.
 © Crown copyright and database rights
 2011 Ordnance Survey 100019340

58

Current Electoral Divisions



Districts

• Housing Dev Point

• Polling District

Housing Dev Area

Date created:

08/01/2020

Scale @ A3:

1:130,000

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Current distribution - Broadland District


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Great Yarmouth

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BN	Bradwell CP	Breydon	5,205	0	0	5,205
BS2 North		Breydon	1,186	130	194	1,380
LO2		Breydon	939	0	0	939
CL1 South		Breydon	875	68	103	978
CS1	Caister-on-Sea CP	Bure	3,527	0	0	3,527
CS2	West Caister CP	Bure	146	0	0	146
FL1	Filby CP	Bure	705	0	0	705
FL3	Mautby CP	Bure	350	0	0	350
FL4	Stokesby with Herringby CP	Bure	275	0	0	275
YN1		Bure	2,238	0	0	2,238
YN2 North		Bure	839	0	0	839
GO1	Hopton-on-Sea CP	Gorleston St. Andrews	1,262	0	0	1,262
GO2		Gorleston St. Andrews	2,479	0	0	2,479
GO3		Gorleston St. Andrews	324	0	0	324
MA3		Gorleston St. Andrews	529	0	0	529
SA1		Gorleston St. Andrews	1,455	22	33	1,488
SA2		Gorleston St. Andrews	2,079	0	0	2,079
BS2 South	Hopton-on-Sea CP	Lothingland	1,734	189	284	2,018
BS3		Lothingland	2,063	0	0	2,063
LO1		Lothingland	2,929	64	96	3,025
LO3		Lothingland	242	0	0	242
LO4		Lothingland	234	0	0	234
BS1	Bradwell CP	Magdalen	706	0	0	706
CL3		Magdalen	1,257	0	0	1,257
CL4		Magdalen	1,637	0	0	1,637
MA1		Magdalen	2,413	27	41	2,454
MA2		Magdalen	2,260	0	0	2,260
CN	Caister-on-Sea CP	North Caister and Ormesby	3,630	0	0	3,630
OR1	Ormesby St. Margaret with Scratby CP	North Caister and Ormesby	2,330	0	0	2,330
OR2	Ormesby St. Michael CP	North Caister and Ormesby	256	0	0	256
OR3	Ormesby St. Margaret with Scratby CP	North Caister and Ormesby	977	189	284	1,261
WF4	Rollsby CP	North Caister and Ormesby	789	0	0	789
EF1	Hemsby CP	North Flegg	2,676	70	105	2,781
EF2	Somerton CP	North Flegg	221	0	0	221
EF3	Winterton-on-Sea CP	North Flegg	1,086	0	0	1,086
FL2	Fleggburgh CP	North Flegg	863	0	0	863
WF1	Ashby with Oby CP	North Flegg	44	0	0	44
WF2	Martham CP	North Flegg	2,821	0	0	2,821
WF3	Repps with Bastwick CP	North Flegg	351	0	0	351
WF5	Thurne CP	North Flegg	103	0	0	103

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Great Yarmouth

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
CL1 North		Yare	571	45	67	638
CL2		Yare	1,097	18	27	1,124
NE1 South		Yare	1,531	11	16	1,547
NE2		Yare	1,852	10	15	1,867
NE3		Yare	1,242	0	0	1,242
SC2		Yare	1,839	46	69	1,908
CE1		Yarmouth North and Central	2,601	0	0	2,601
CE2		Yarmouth North and Central	2,876	0	0	2,876
NE1 North		Yarmouth North and Central	617	4	6	623
SC1		Yarmouth North and Central	1,788	21	32	1,820
YN2 South		Yarmouth North and Central	422	0	0	422
Great Yarmouth		Total	72,471	914	1,371	73,842

Proposed Electoral Divisions



List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
PG1		Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	2,240	0	0	2,240
PH1		Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	1,269	44	70	1,339
PK1		Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	872	0	0	872
ST1	Clenchwarton CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	1,807	10	16	1,823
SV2	Tilney All Saints CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	479	0	0	479
SX1	Tilney St. Lawrence CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	1,075	14	22	1,097
SY1	Tilney St. Lawrence CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	192	0	0	192
XF1	Wiggenhall St. Germans CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	876	0	0	876
XF2	Wiggenhall St. Germans CP	Clenchwarton and King's Lynn	220	0	0	220
RD6	Anmer CP	Dersingham	42	0	0	42
RE1	Dersingham CP	Dersingham	4,000	10	16	4,016
RF1	Ingoldisthorpe CP	Dersingham	684	0	0	684
RG6	Sherborne CP	Dersingham	52	0	0	52
RX6	Sandringham CP	Dersingham	247	0	0	247
RX7	Flitcham with Appleton CP	Dersingham	11	0	0	11
RY6	Sandringham CP	Dersingham	92	0	0	92
SA1	Flitcham with Appleton CP	Dersingham	157	0	0	157
SB1	Great Massingham CP	Dersingham	778	16	26	804
SB7	Little Massingham CP	Dersingham	73	0	0	73
SC1	Harpley CP	Dersingham	265	0	0	265
SD6	Hillington CP	Dersingham	265	0	0	265
SE7	Congham CP	Dersingham	203	0	0	203
SJ6	Castle Acre CP	Dersingham	706	15	24	730
SM6	West Acre CP	Dersingham	159	0	0	159
RA1	Docking CP	Docking	711	110	176	887
PU1	Heacham CP	Docking	3,956	132	211	4,167
PW1	Snettisham CP	Docking	2,243	0	0	2,243
RA8	Docking CP	Docking	105	0	0	105
RB6	Fring CP	Docking	51	0	0	51
RC1	Sedgeford CP	Docking	460	0	0	460
RP7	Bagthorpe with Barmer CP	Docking	43	0	0	43
RR6	Bircham CP	Docking	383	10	16	399
RS6	East Rudham CP	Docking	486	0	0	486
RS7	West Rudham CP	Docking	176	0	0	176
RT6	Houghton CP	Docking	63	0	0	63
WA1	Downham Market CP	Downham Market	2,302	0	0	2,302
WA2	Downham Market CP	Downham Market	2,168	0	0	2,168
WA3	Downham Market CP	Downham Market	2,121	18	29	2,150
WA4	Downham Market CP	Downham Market	2,072	32	51	2,123

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
WB6	Barton Bendish CP	Feltwell	173	0	0	173
WH6	Boughton CP	Feltwell	194	0	0	194
WJ6	Stoke Ferry CP	Feltwell	941	64	102	1,043
WK6	Wereham CP	Feltwell	534	10	16	550
WL6	Wretton CP	Feltwell	322	0	0	322
XB6	Hilgay CP	Feltwell	327	0	0	327
XC1	Southery CP	Feltwell	1,086	19	30	1,116
XH1	Feltwell CP	Feltwell	1,717	46	74	1,791
XJ6	Feltwell CP	Feltwell	60	0	0	60
XK1	Hockwold cum Wilton CP	Feltwell	909	0	0	909
XL1	Methwold CP	Feltwell	1,133	110	176	1,309
XM6	Methwold CP	Feltwell	22	0	0	22
XN1	Northwold CP	Feltwell	692	0	0	692
XP1	Northwold CP	Feltwell	181	0	0	181
TF1	Marshland St. James CP	Fincham	1,049	41	66	1,115
WA6	Ryston CP	Fincham	39	0	0	39
WC6	Fincham CP	Fincham	422	0	0	422
WC7	Stradsett CP	Fincham	52	0	0	52
WF7	Shouldham Thorpe CP	Fincham	128	0	0	128
WG2	Tottenham CP	Fincham	160	0	0	160
WM1	Denver CP	Fincham	748	0	0	748
WM6	Fordham CP	Fincham	61	0	0	61
WM7	Ryston CP	Fincham	49	0	0	49
WN6	West Dereham CP	Fincham	376	0	0	376
WP6	Crimplesham CP	Fincham	212	0	0	212
WR1	Wimbotsham CP	Fincham	596	0	0	596
WS6	Stow Bardolph CP	Fincham	297	0	0	297
WS7	Stow Bardolph CP	Fincham	212	0	0	212
XA6	Hilgay CP	Fincham	751	0	0	751
XD1	Runcton Holme CP	Fincham	589	0	0	589
XE1	Watlington CP	Fincham	2,036	32	51	2,087
XG6	Wiggenhall St. Mary Magdalen CP	Fincham	612	0	0	612
RU1	South Wootton CP	Freebridge Lynn	3,481	176	282	3,763
RV6	Castle Rising CP	Freebridge Lynn	179	0	0	179
RW1	North Wootton CP	Freebridge Lynn	1,912	0	0	1,912
SE1	Grimston CP	Freebridge Lynn	718	0	0	718
SF1	Grimston CP	Freebridge Lynn	912	27	43	955
SF2	Roydon CP	Freebridge Lynn	301	0	0	301
SG1	Gayton CP	Freebridge Lynn	1,159	106	170	1,329
SG2	Gayton CP	Freebridge Lynn	69	0	0	69
PA1		Gaywood North and Centre	1,497	0	0	1,497
PA2		Gaywood North and Centre	592	225	360	952
PC1		Gaywood North and Centre	3,580	61	98	3,678
PC2		Gaywood North and Centre	2,770	111	178	2,948
PC3		Gaywood North and Centre	119	0	0	119


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
PB1		Gaywood South	1,667	295	472	2,139
PB2		Gaywood South	567	0	0	567
PD1		Gaywood South	4,344	0	0	4,344
PD2		Gaywood South	86	0	0	86
PL1		Gaywood South	815	0	0	815
PL2		Gaywood South	359	0	0	359
PE1		King's Lynn North and Central	2,084	82	131	2,215
PF1		King's Lynn North and Central	2,171	45	72	2,243
PJ1		King's Lynn North and Central	2,188	172	275	2,463
PJ2		King's Lynn North and Central	308	0	0	308
PJ3		King's Lynn North and Central	300	0	0	300
PM1		King's Lynn North and Central	1,096	0	0	1,096
SU1	Terrington St. Clement CP	Marshland North	3,477	18	29	3,506
SW6	Terrington St. John CP	Marshland North	732	0	0	732
TA6	Walpole CP	Marshland North	343	0	0	343
TB6	Walpole Cross Keys CP	Marshland North	434	10	16	450
TC6	Walpole Highway CP	Marshland North	625	0	0	625
TD6	Walpole CP	Marshland North	1,140	10	16	1,156
TE1	West Walton CP	Marshland North	1,451	0	0	1,451
TG7	Walsoken CP	Marshland North	177	0	0	177
TG6	Walsoken CP	Marshland South	1,040	0	0	1,040
TH6	Emneth CP	Marshland South	2,282	119	190	2,472
TJ1	Outwell CP	Marshland South	1,797	20	32	1,829
TK6	Upwell CP	Marshland South	1,706	0	0	1,706
TL6	Upwell CP	Marshland South	423	0	0	423
TM6	Upwell CP	Marshland South	259	0	0	259
WT6	Downham West CP	Marshland South	244	0	0	244
WT7	Denver CP	Marshland South	2	0	0	2
WU6	Nordelph CP	Marshland South	349	0	0	349
WV6	Welney CP	Marshland South	440	0	0	440
WW6	Stow Bardolph CP	Marshland South	480	0	0	480
SH6	Leziate CP	Middleton	520	0	0	520
SH7	Bawsey CP	Middleton	176	0	0	176
SK6	East Walton CP	Middleton	61	0	0	61
SL6	Pentney CP	Middleton	448	0	0	448
SN1	East Winch CP	Middleton	584	0	0	584
SN2	East Winch CP	Middleton	105	0	0	105
SP1	Middleton CP	Middleton	1,229	0	0	1,229
SR1	North Runcton CP	Middleton	360	0	0	360
SS1	West Winch CP	Middleton	2,070	17	27	2,097
SS2	West Winch CP	Middleton	174	0	0	174
SS6	North Runcton CP	Middleton	147	350	560	707
WD6	Marham CP	Middleton	754	28	45	799
WE1	Marham CP	Middleton	695	0	0	695
WF6	Shouldham CP	Middleton	470	0	0	470
WG6	Wormegay CP	Middleton	308	0	0	308


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
PN1	Hunstanton CP	North Coast	3,748	175	280	4,028
PP1	Old Hunstanton CP	North Coast	357	0	0	357
PQ1	Brancaster CP	North Coast	444	12	19	463
PQ7	Titchwell CP	North Coast	72	0	0	72
PR6	Brancaster CP	North Coast	346	12	19	365
PS1	Holme Next the Sea CP	North Coast	212	0	0	212
PT6	Thornham CP	North Coast	357	0	0	357
PV1	Ringstead CP	North Coast	261	0	0	261
RA7	Choseley CP	North Coast	17	0	0	17
RH6	Burnham Market CP	North Coast	690	0	0	690
RH7	Burnham Norton CP	North Coast	72	0	0	72
RJ6	Burnham Overy CP	North Coast	242	0	0	242
RK6	Burnham Thorpe CP	North Coast	125	0	0	125
RL1	North Creake CP	North Coast	297	0	0	297
RM1	South Creake CP	North Coast	433	0	0	433
RN6	Stanhoe CP	North Coast	159	0	0	159
RN7	Barwick CP	North Coast	47	0	0	47
RP6	Syderstone CP	North Coast	396	0	0	396
King's Lynn and West Norfolk		Total	117,835	2,804	4,486	122,321


Proposed Electoral Divisions



Current Electoral Divisions



King's Lynn Detail



List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in North Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
CT1	Cromer Town East CP	Cromer	1,262	0	0	1,262
CT2	Cromer Town West CP	Cromer	1,713	0	0	1,713
CT3	Cromer Town South CP	Cromer	1,088	68	102	1,190
PO1	Northrepps CP	Cromer	893	0	0	893
PO2	Overstrand CP	Cromer	866	11	17	883
PO3	Sidestrand CP	Cromer	81	0	0	81
PO4	Trimingham CP	Cromer	313	0	0	313
SP1	Suffield Park CP	Cromer	2,269	68	102	2,371
ER1	Alby with Thwaite CP	Eynsford	198	0	0	198
ER2	Aldborough CP	Eynsford	482	0	0	482
ER3	Colby CP	Eynsford	409	0	0	409
ER4	Erpingham CP	Eynsford	506	24	36	542
ER5	Hanworth CP	Eynsford	137	0	0	137
ER6	Ingworth CP	Eynsford	82	0	0	82
ER7	Itteringham CP	Eynsford	114	0	0	114
ER8	Sustead CP	Eynsford	179	0	0	179
ER9	Wickmere CP	Eynsford	125	0	0	125
GR1	Aylmerton CP	Eynsford	391	0	0	391
GR10	West Beckham CP	Eynsford	198	0	0	198
GR2	Baconsthorpe CP	Eynsford	173	0	0	173
GR3	Bodham CP	Eynsford	400	16	24	424
GR4	East Beckham CP	Eynsford	29	0	0	29
GR5	Gresham CP	Eynsford	329	0	0	329
GR6	Hempstead CP	Eynsford	137	0	0	137
GR7	Little Barningham CP	Eynsford	107	0	0	107
GR8	Matlaske CP	Eynsford	143	0	0	143
GR9	Plumstead CP	Eynsford	107	0	0	107
RO1	Felbrigg CP	Eynsford	149	0	0	149
RO3	Roughton CP	Eynsford	810	0	0	810
RO4	Southrepps CP	Eynsford	714	0	0	714
RO5	Thorpe Market CP	Eynsford	265	0	0	265
STO3	Corpusty and Saxthorpe CP	Eynsford	586	0	0	586
STO4	Edgefield CP	Eynsford	336	22	33	369
TR1	Antingham CP	Eynsford	287	0	0	287
TR2	Felmingham CP	Eynsford	443	0	0	443
TR4	Suffield CP	Eynsford	112	0	0	112
LN1	Fakenham (North) CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	1,890	120	180	2,070
LS1	Fakenham (South) CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	4,376	37	56	4,432
RA1	Dunton CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	100	0	0	100
RA2	Helhoughton CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	315	34	51	366
RA3	Hempton CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	430	0	0	430
RA4	Pudding Norton CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	204	0	0	204
RA5	Raynham CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	290	60	90	380
RA6	Tattersett CP	Fakenham and The Raynham	773	0	0	773

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in North Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
CO1	Blakeney CP	Greenhoe	605	0	0	605
CO4	Morston CP	Greenhoe	71	0	0	71
CO6	Stiffkey CP	Greenhoe	177	0	0	177
PR1	Gunthorpe (North) CP	Greenhoe	89	0	0	89
PR2	Binham CP	Greenhoe	235	28	42	277
PR3	Field Dalling & Saxlingham CP	Greenhoe	250	0	0	250
PR4	Gunthorpe (South) CP	Greenhoe	96	0	0	96
PR5	Hindringham CP	Greenhoe	409	0	0	409
PR6	Langham CP	Greenhoe	316	0	0	316
PR7	Thursford CP	Greenhoe	175	0	0	175
PR8	Warham CP	Greenhoe	163	0	0	163
PR9	Wighton CP	Greenhoe	187	0	0	187
ST1	Fulmodeston CP	Greenhoe	393	0	0	393
ST2	Great Ryburgh CP	Greenhoe	538	0	0	538
ST4	Kettlestone CP	Greenhoe	169	0	0	169
ST5	Little Ryburgh CP	Greenhoe	31	0	0	31
ST6	Stibbard CP	Greenhoe	296	0	0	296
ST9	Wood Norton CP	Greenhoe	190	0	0	190
WA1	Barsham CP	Greenhoe	200	0	0	200
WA2	Great Snoring CP	Greenhoe	144	0	0	144
WA3	Great Walsingham CP	Greenhoe	287	0	0	287
WA4	Little Snoring CP	Greenhoe	486	20	30	516
WA5	Little Walsingham CP	Greenhoe	373	0	0	373
WA6	Sculthorpe CP	Greenhoe	597	0	0	597
WH1	Holkham CP	Greenhoe	178	0	0	178
WH2	Wells-Next-The-Sea CP	Greenhoe	1,845	0	0	1,845
BA3	Walcott CP	Happing	565	0	0	565
BA4	Witton CP	Happing	278	0	0	278
HA1	Brumstead CP	Happing	48	0	0	48
HA2	East Ruston CP	Happing	451	0	0	451
HA3	Happisburgh CP	Happing	627	0	0	627
HA4	Honing CP	Happing	271	0	0	271
HA5	Ingham CP	Happing	312	0	0	312
HA6	Lessingham CP	Happing	493	0	0	493
HI1	Hickling CP	Happing	825	0	0	825
HI2	Horseý CP	Happing	55	0	0	55
HI3	Potter Heigham CP	Happing	884	0	0	884
HI4	Sea Palling with Waxham CP	Happing	426	0	0	426
HT3	Dilham CP	Happing	273	0	0	273
STA1	Catfield CP	Happing	793	0	0	793
STB1	Horning CP	Happing	968	0	0	968
STB2	Ludham CP	Happing	1,099	0	0	1,099


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in North Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BR1	Briston CP	Holt	2,050	12	18	2,068
CO2	Cley-Next-The-Sea CP	Holt	350	0	0	350
CO3	Kelling CP	Holt	131	0	0	131
CO5	Salthouse CP	Holt	116	0	0	116
CO8	Wiveton CP	Holt	103	0	0	103
HO1	Holt CP	Holt	2,973	556	834	3,807
HO2	High Kelling CP	Holt	467	0	0	467
HO3	Letheringsett and Glandford CP	Holt	187	0	0	187
ST3	Hindolveston CP	Holt	453	0	0	453
ST7	Swanton Novers CP	Holt	184	0	0	184
ST8	Thurning CP	Holt	56	0	0	56
STO1	Briningham CP	Holt	94	0	0	94
STO2	Brinton CP	Holt	185	0	0	185
STO5	Melton Constable CP	Holt	457	0	0	457
STO6	Stody CP	Holt	145	0	0	145
STO7	Thornage CP	Holt	162	0	0	162
HT1	Ashmanhaugh CP	Hoveton and Stalham	154	0	0	154
HT2	Barton Turf CP	Hoveton and Stalham	419	0	0	419
HT4	Hoveton CP	Hoveton and Stalham	1,796	13	20	1,816
HT5	Neatishead CP	Hoveton and Stalham	492	0	0	492
HT6	Sloley CP	Hoveton and Stalham	220	0	0	220
HT7	Smallburgh CP	Hoveton and Stalham	439	0	0	439
HT8	Tunstead CP	Hoveton and Stalham	596	0	0	596
STA2	Stalham CP	Hoveton and Stalham	2,730	0	0	2,730
STA3	Sutton CP	Hoveton and Stalham	957	0	0	957
WO1	Scottow CP	Hoveton and Stalham	748	0	0	748
MU1	Mundesley CP	Mundesley and Worstead	2,240	31	47	2,287
NWW1	North Walsham (West) CP	Mundesley and Worstead	2,876	155	233	3,109
RO2	Gimingham CP	Mundesley and Worstead	360	0	0	360
TR3	Knapton CP	Mundesley and Worstead	326	0	0	326
TR5	Swafeld CP	Mundesley and Worstead	235	0	0	235
TR6	Trunch CP	Mundesley and Worstead	790	0	0	790
WO2	Skeyton CP	Mundesley and Worstead	184	0	0	184
WO3	Swanton Abbott CP	Mundesley and Worstead	371	0	0	371
WO4	Westwick CP	Mundesley and Worstead	70	0	0	70
WO5	Worstead CP	Mundesley and Worstead	784	0	0	784

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in North Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BA1	Bacton CP	North Walsham	1,016	0	0	1,016
BA2	Paston CP	North Walsham	200	0	0	200
NWE1	North Walsham (East) CP	North Walsham	3,812	0	0	3,812
NWW2	North Walsham (North (f)) CP	North Walsham	1,392	0	0	1,392
NWX1	North Walsham (Town Centre East (g)) CP	North Walsham	1,344	0	0	1,344
NWX2	North Walsham (Town Centre West (h)) CP	North Walsham	784	0	0	784
BE1	Beeston Regis CP	Sheringham	835	0	0	835
BE2	East Runton CP	Sheringham	619	0	0	619
BE3	West Runton CP	Sheringham	751	0	0	751
CO7	Weybourne CP	Sheringham	446	0	0	446
SN1	Sheringham (North) CP	Sheringham	2,077	92	138	2,215
SS1	Sheringham (South) CP	Sheringham	3,991	0	0	3,991
SS2	Upper Sheringham CP	Sheringham	208	88	132	340
North Norfolk		Total	84,054	1,455	2,183	86,237

Proposed Electoral Divisions



Districts

Polling District

Housing Dev Point


Housing Dev Area

Date created:
 16/01/2020
 Scale @ A3:
 1:149,900

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Proposed distribution - North Norfolk District

Current Electoral Divisions



Districts

Polling District

Housing Dev Point

Housing Dev Area

Date created:
 08/01/2020
 Scale @ A3:
 1:149,900

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Current distribution - North Norfolk District


List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Norwich

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BO1		Bowthorpe	1,694	0	0	1,694
BO2		Bowthorpe	2,564	0	0	2,564
BO3		Bowthorpe	1,037	0	0	1,037
BO4		Bowthorpe	1,487	374	561	2,048
UN1A		Bowthorpe	554	0	0	554
UN6A		Bowthorpe	980	14	21	1,001
CG1		Catton Grove	2,176	0	0	2,176
CG2		Catton Grove	649	0	0	649
CG3		Catton Grove	1,485	0	0	1,485
CG4		Catton Grove	1,972	0	0	1,972
CG5		Catton Grove	1,758	0	0	1,758
MX1B		Catton Grove	7	0	0	7
CR1		Crome	1,512	0	0	1,512
CR2		Crome	2,211	0	0	2,211
CR3		Crome	1,740	0	0	1,740
CR3(S)		Crome	3	0	0	3
CR4		Crome	1,769	0	0	1,769
TH3		Crome	1,872	57	86	1,958
EA1		Eaton	2,036	0	0	2,036
EA2		Eaton	1,286	0	0	1,286
EA3		Eaton	1,261	0	0	1,261
EA4		Eaton	2,648	51	77	2,725
LA1		Eaton	2,030	0	0	2,030
LA2		Lakenham	1,331	0	0	1,331
LA2A		Lakenham	78	0	0	78
LA3		Lakenham	1,545	0	0	1,545
LA3A		Lakenham	225	0	0	225
LA3B		Lakenham	202	0	0	202
LA4		Lakenham	2,277	0	0	2,277
MA4A		Lakenham	717	61	92	809
TH1		Lakenham	1,366	858	1,287	2,653
MA2		Mancroft	1,228	403	605	1,833
MA3		Mancroft	1,656	594	891	2,547
MA4		Mancroft	535	199	299	834
TH2		Mancroft	1,440	266	399	1,839
TH4		Mancroft	2,441	45	68	2,509
MX1		Mile Cross	2,786	57	86	2,872
MX1A		Mile Cross	14	0	0	14
MX2		Mile Cross	2,352	0	0	2,352
MX3		Mile Cross	1,167	350	525	1,692
MX4		Mile Cross	1,435	0	0	1,435

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in Norwich

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
MA1		Nelson	1,381	105	158	1,539
MA5		Nelson	1,359	92	138	1,497
NE1		Nelson	1,833	0	0	1,833
NE3		Nelson	1,806	0	0	1,806
NE5		Nelson	774	0	0	774
WE2A		Nelson	1,297	0	0	1,297
CR5(S)		Sewell	1,090	0	0	1,090
SE1		Sewell	1,567	0	0	1,567
SE2		Sewell	1,909	23	35	1,944
SE3		Sewell	1,873	0	0	1,873
SE4		Sewell	2,371	0	0	2,371
EA3A		Town Close	481	0	0	481
TC1		Town Close	2,477	26	39	2,516
TC2		Town Close	1,703	0	0	1,703
TC3		Town Close	1,989	0	0	1,989
TC4		Town Close	489	0	0	489
TC5		Town Close	1,221	0	0	1,221
NE2		University	1,966	0	0	1,966
NE4		University	1,339	0	0	1,339
UN1		University	789	0	0	789
UN2		University	913	160	240	1,153
UN3		University	1,569	0	0	1,569
UN4		University	952	0	0	952
UN5		University	1,280	0	0	1,280
UN6		University	188	0	0	188
NE1A		Wensum	324	0	0	324
UN1B		Wensum	264	0	0	264
UN4A		Wensum	336	0	0	336
UN5A		Wensum	114	0	0	114
WE1		Wensum	2,406	0	0	2,406
WE2		Wensum	302	0	0	302
WE3		Wensum	1,485	36	54	1,539
WE4		Wensum	2,872	0	0	2,872
Norwich		Total	100,245	3,771	5,657	105,902

Proposed Electoral Divisions



Date created:
08/01/2020


Scale @ A3:
1:40,000

- Districts
- Housing Developments Point
- Polling Districts
- Housing Developments Area

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Proposed distribution - Norwich

Current Electoral Divisions



Date created:
08/01/2020
Scale @ A3:
1:40,000

Districts
Polling Districts
Housing Developments Point
Housing Developments Area

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts Current distribution - Norwich

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in South Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
LU1	Costessey CP	Costessey	2,068	0	0	2,068
LV1	Costessey CP	Costessey	2,236	0	0	2,236
LW1	Costessey CP	Costessey	810	0	0	810
NE1	Costessey CP	Costessey	1,966	45	81	2,047
NF1	Costessey CP	Costessey	1,819	39	70	1,889
ES1	Diss CP	Diss and Roydon	1,631	52	94	1,725
ET1	Diss CP	Diss and Roydon	3,515	136	245	3,760
EU1	Diss CP	Diss and Roydon	1,207	0	0	1,207
PS1	Roydon CP	Diss and Roydon	1,962	42	76	2,038
AS1	Pulham Market CP	East Depwade	853	10	18	871
AT1	Pulham St Mary CP	East Depwade	742	0	0	742
AU1	Starston CP	East Depwade	253	0	0	253
DR1	Dickleburgh & Rushall CP	East Depwade	1,138	22	40	1,178
HU1	Redenhall with Harleston CP	East Depwade	4,024	139	250	4,274
RS1	Brockdish CP	East Depwade	424	0	0	424
RT1	Brockdish CP	East Depwade	129	0	0	129
RU1	Needham CP	East Depwade	264	0	0	264
RV1	Scole CP	East Depwade	1,144	0	0	1,144
DC1	Cringleford CP	Forehoe	1,260	400	720	1,980
DH1	Keswick CP	Forehoe	388	0	0	388
LF1	Bracon Ash CP	Forehoe	344	15	27	371
LG1	East Carleton CP	Forehoe	277	0	0	277
LH1	Ketteringham CP	Forehoe	206	0	0	206
LJ1	Mulbarton CP	Forehoe	2,964	44	79	3,043
LK1	Swardeston CP	Forehoe	551	39	70	621
ME1	Flordon CP	Forehoe	230	0	0	230
MF1	Newton Flotman CP	Forehoe	1,100	0	0	1,100
MG1	Swainsthorpe CP	Forehoe	298	0	0	298
MH1	Wreningham CP	Forehoe	476	10	18	494
NS1	Framingham Earl CP	Henstead	894	11	20	914
NT1	Framingham Pigot CP	Henstead	129	0	0	129
NU1	Poringland CP	Henstead	3,886	319	574	4,460
PF1	Bramerton CP	Henstead	325	0	0	325
PJ1	Kirby Bedon CP	Henstead	148	0	0	148
SE1	Caistor St Edmund & Bixley CP	Henstead	118	0	0	118
SF1	Caistor St Edmund & Bixley CP	Henstead	236	16	29	265
SG1	Stoke Holy Cross CP	Henstead	431	12	22	453
SH1	Stoke Holy Cross CP	Henstead	992	60	108	1,100
SJ1	Trowse with Newton CP	Henstead	665	170	306	971
DD1	Cringleford CP	Hethersett	1,988	369	664	2,652
JT1	Hethersett CP	Hethersett	4,994	571	1,028	6,022

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in South Norfolk


Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
KE1	Deopham CP	Hingham	433	0	0	433
KG1	Hingham CP	Hingham	2,073	0	0	2,073
UV1	Morley CP	Hingham	461	0	0	461
UX1	Wicklewood CP	Hingham	786	7	13	799
WC1	Wymondham CP	Hingham	4,203	666	1,199	5,402
DB1	Bawburgh CP	Humbleyard	408	10	18	426
DF1	Colney CP	Humbleyard	106	0	0	106
DJ1	Little Melton CP	Humbleyard	782	27	49	831
DK1	Little Melton CP	Humbleyard	27	0	0	27
GF1	Barford CP	Humbleyard	431	10	18	449
GG1	Easton CP	Humbleyard	1,103	140	252	1,355
GH1	Great Melton CP	Humbleyard	134	0	0	134
GJ1	Marlingford & Colton CP	Humbleyard	153	0	0	153
GK1	Marlingford & Colton CP	Humbleyard	159	0	0	159
GL1	Wrampingham CP	Humbleyard	97	0	0	97
NG1	Costessey CP	Humbleyard	2,991	123	221	3,212
NH1	Costessey CP	Humbleyard	195	0	0	195
UT1	Barnham Broom CP	Humbleyard	458	24	43	502
UU1	Kimberley CP	Humbleyard	119	0	0	119
UW1	Brandon Parva, Coston, Runhall & Welborn CP	Humbleyard	313	0	0	313
BS1	Bergh Apton CP	Loddon	387	11	20	407
BT1	Brooke CP	Loddon	1,070	13	23	1,093
BU1	Howe CP	Loddon	56	0	0	56
BV1	Kirstead CP	Loddon	210	0	0	210
BW1	Mundham CP	Loddon	141	0	0	141
BX1	Seething CP	Loddon	300	0	0	300
CS1	Ashby St Mary CP	Loddon	242	0	0	242
CT1	Carleton St Peter CP	Loddon	32	0	0	32
CU1	Chedgrave CP	Loddon	901	0	0	901
CV1	Claxton CP	Loddon	196	0	0	196
CW1	Langley with Hardley CP	Loddon	342	0	0	342
CX1	Thurton CP	Loddon	477	0	0	477
HH1	Hales CP	Loddon	385	13	23	408
HJ1	Heckingham CP	Loddon	173	0	0	173
KT1	Loddon CP	Loddon	2,301	0	0	2,301
KU1	Sisland CP	Loddon	38	0	0	38
PE1	Alpington CP	Loddon	423	0	0	423
PG1	Hellington CP	Loddon	75	0	0	75
PH1	Holverston CP	Loddon	26	0	0	26
PK1	Rockland St Mary CP	Loddon	657	21	38	695
PL1	Surlingham CP	Loddon	617	0	0	617
PM1	Yelverton CP	Loddon	147	0	0	147

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in South Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
JF1	Hempnall CP	Long Stratton	1,044	23	41	1,085
JG1	Morningthorpe and Fritton CP	Long Stratton	226	0	0	226
JH1	Shelton & Hardwick CP	Long Stratton	223	0	0	223
JJ1	Raveningham	Long Stratton	401	10	18	419
SS1	Long Stratton CP	Long Stratton	3,425	83	149	3,574
ST1	Tharston & Hapton CP	Long Stratton	129	0	0	129
SU1	Tharston & Hapton CP	Long Stratton	664	0	0	664
SV1	Wacton CP	Long Stratton	279	0	0	279
TF1	Saxlingham Nethergate CP	Long Stratton	572	0	0	572
TG1	Shotesham CP	Long Stratton	472	0	0	472
TH1	Tasburgh CP	Long Stratton	907	0	0	907
FE1	Broome CP	Waveney Valley	416	0	0	416
FF1	Ditchingham CP	Waveney Valley	1,521	24	43	1,564
FG1	Hedenham CP	Waveney Valley	130	0	0	130
FH1	Thwaite CP	Waveney Valley	71	0	0	71
FS1	Alburgh CP	Waveney Valley	341	0	0	341
FT1	Denton CP	Waveney Valley	331	0	0	331
FU1	Earsham CP	Waveney Valley	685	16	29	714
FV1	Topcroft CP	Waveney Valley	223	0	0	223
FW1	Wortwell CP	Waveney Valley	462	0	0	462
HE1	Ellingham CP	Waveney Valley	483	0	0	483
HF1	Geldeston CP	Waveney Valley	314	0	0	314
HG1	Gillingham CP	Waveney Valley	566	0	0	566
HK1	Kirby Cane CP	Waveney Valley	309	0	0	309
HL1	Clavering ED	Waveney Valley	121	0	0	121
HM1	Stockton CP	Waveney Valley	52	0	0	52
JE1	Bedingham CP	Waveney Valley	179	0	0	179
UE1	Aldeby CP	Waveney Valley	353	0	0	353
UG1	Haddiscoe CP	Waveney Valley	408	0	0	408
UH1	Norton Subcourse CP	Waveney Valley	225	0	0	225
UJ1	Thurlton CP	Waveney Valley	642	30	54	696
UK1	Toft Monks CP	Waveney Valley	316	0	0	316
UL1	Wheatacre CP	Waveney Valley	96	0	0	96
UM1	Burgh St Peter CP	Waveney Valley	237	0	0	237

List of Polling Districts for Proposed Divisions in South Norfolk

Polling District	Parish	Proposed Division	Electorate 2019	Estimated Additional Dwellings	Estimated Additional Electors	Electorate 2025
BE1	Bressingham CP	West Depwade	726	0	0	726
BF1	Burston and Shimpling CP	West Depwade	461	0	0	461
BG1	Gissing CP	West Depwade	228	0	0	228
BH1	Shelfanger CP	West Depwade	330	0	0	330
BJ1	Winfarthing CP	West Depwade	401	0	0	401
BK1	Heywood CP	West Depwade	174	0	0	174
CE1	Aslacton CP	West Depwade	375	0	0	375
CF1	Bunwell CP	West Depwade	846	0	0	846
CG1	Carleton Rode CP	West Depwade	631	0	0	631
CH1	Tibenham CP	West Depwade	399	0	0	399
DT1	Spooner Row CP	West Depwade	565	31	56	621
EG1	Great Moulton CP	West Depwade	629	8	14	643
EK1	Tivetshall CP	West Depwade	467	0	0	467
GT1	Ashwellthorpe and Fundenhall CP	West Depwade	649	31	56	705
GU1	Forncett CP	West Depwade	948	0	0	948
GV1	Tacolneston CP	West Depwade	661	21	38	699
WA1	Wymondham CP	Wymondham	2,492	0	0	2,492
WB1	Wymondham CP	Wymondham	2,343	0	0	2,343
WD1	Wymondham CP	Wymondham	1,423	0	0	1,423
WE1	Wymondham CP	Wymondham	1,910	623	1,121	3,031
South Norfolk		Total	107,194	4,486	8,075	115,270



Proposed Electoral Divisions

Districts

● Housing Dev Point

■ Polling District


■ Housing Dev Area

Date created:
20/01/2020

Scale @ A3:
1:135,000

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Proposed distribution - South Norfolk District



Current Electoral Divisions

Districts

Housing Dev Point

Polling District

Housing Dev Area

Date created:
08/01/2020

Scale @ A3:
1:135,000

Electoral Divisions and Polling Districts

Current distribution - South Norfolk District