



Norfolk Children's Centre Proposals

Community Impact Assessments



Contents

Norfolk Children’s Centre Proposals	1
Contents	2
1 Introduction	5
2 General findings	7
Acle Children’s Centre	16
Attleborough Children’s Centre	20
Aylsham Children’s Centre	22
Bowthorpe, W. Earlham & Costessey Children’s Centre	25
Broadland (Hoveton) Children’s Centre	27
Caister Children’s Centre	29
Catton Grove Children’s Centre	31
City & Eaton (Vauxhall Centre) Children’s Centre	33
Corpusty & Holt Children’s Centre	35
Cromer Children’s Centre	37
Dereham (Central & South) Children’s Centre	40
Diss Children’s Centre	42
Downham Market Children’s Centre	45
Drayton Taverham Children’s Centre	48
Dussindale Children’s Centre	50
Earlham Children’s Centre	53
East City & Framingham Children’s Centre	55
Emneth Children’s Centre	57

Fakenham Children’s Centre	59
Gorleston & Hopton Children’s Centre	61
Greenacre Children’s Centre.....	64
Great Yarmouth (Priory) Children’s Centre	67
Harleston Children’s Centre.....	70
Hellesdon Children’s Centre.....	72
Heathersett Children’s Centre.....	74
Hunstanton Children’s Centre.....	76
Litcham Children’s Centre.....	79
Loddon Children’s Centre.....	81
Long Stratton Children’s Centre	84
Methwold Children’s Centre.....	87
Mr Bee’s Family (North Lynn) Children’s Centre	89
Mundesley Children’s Centre	91
Nar (St Michaels Road, King’s Lynn) Children’s Centre.....	93
North City (Angel Road Infant) Children’s Centre.....	95
North Walsham Children’s Centre.....	97
Reepham Children’s Centre	99
Seagulls (Gorleston) Children’s Centre	101
Spixworth & Sprowston Children’s Centre	103
Terrington St Clements Children’s Centre.....	105
Stalham & Sutton Children’s Centre	107
Stibbard Children’s Centre.....	109
Swaffham Children’s Centre.....	111

Thetford Children’s Centre	114	
Thetford Drake Children’s Centre	116	
Thorpe Hamlet & Heartsease Children’s Centre		118
Trinity (Martham) Children’s Centre.....		120
Vancouver (Fairstead) Children’s Centre		122
Village Green Children’s Centre.....		125
Watton Children’s Centre		127
Wells Children’s Centre.....		130
West Walton Children’s Centre		132
Wymondham Children’s Centre		135

1 Introduction

- 1.1. These Community Impact Assessments relate to the proposals for the Norfolk early childhood and family service that will significantly change the current Children's Centre offer across Norfolk.
- 1.2. A Community Impact Assessment examines the impact of the proposals on the community as a whole. It is separate from the formal consultation process on the proposals and is designed to provide a wider 'scope' than could be achieved through an Equality Impact Assessment which focuses only upon the impact of a proposal on people with protected characteristics.
- 1.3. Community Action Norfolk has undertaken this research on behalf of Norfolk County Council. Community Action Norfolk's role has been to gather information about the potential positive and negative impacts on communities and report these back.
- 1.4. Whilst the Community Impact Assessment is separate and distinct from the consultation process for the proposals themselves it is impossible to entirely separate people's general feelings about the proposals from the impact assessment process.
- 1.5. The proposal provides a broad outline of the future model. It is therefore difficult to define the exact impact when the future service configuration is not known. What has been clear throughout our research is that the location and nature of outreach provision will be critical in determining the actual impact on the community.
- 1.6. These assessments are not exhaustive of all impacts on the community but aim to highlight the key elements identified through our data analysis and engagement with stakeholders. The depth of analysis is practically constrained by the time and resources available to undertake the research.
- 1.7. We have used six broad elements to provide a framework for understanding and articulating the potential impacts on the community of the proposals.
 - Economic & Employment.
 - Community facilities & Environment.
 - Strength of community.
 - Access to services.
 - Aspirations and positivity.
 - Local people having a say.
- 1.8. Impacts have been identified through a mixture of stakeholder engagement, data analysis and Community Action Norfolk's expert opinion.

- 1.9. 460 stakeholders were contacted as part of the development of the impact assessment ranging from Parish and Town councils through to child-minding businesses. 179 stakeholders inputted into the survey.
- 1.10. A core component of a Community Impact Assessment is consideration of the impacts on the sense of community, aspirations, positivity and degree of agency the community has. These are qualitative feelings that may not correlate to a more objective assessment, changes in services, or provision.
- 1.11. The same element of the proposals may impact different parts of the community differently. For example, the outreach provision within the proposals may enhance the service offering for those in areas where Children's Centres are not currently based however it is likely that this will reduce the service offering for those benefiting from close proximity to one of the current Centres. Similarly, the increased targeting of those most in need proposed should positively impact the service offering.
- 1.12. In as far as it is possible the Impact Assessment is intended to be a neutral document outlining the potential positive and negative impacts of the proposals without passing judgement on the comparative importance or relevance to the decision making process.
- 1.13. Where possible we have attempted to quantify the impact on the community. In most cases this has been done relative to other areas of the county by stating that this is likely to be an area most, more, less or least effected by a certain impact. Where impacts are identified by stakeholders we have attempted to state whether this is an area identified by a few or many stakeholders and the strength of feelings shown.
- 1.14. The first part of this document contains a set of general impacts, these findings apply broadly across all the communities. The second part contains a Community Impact Assessment for each Children's Centre site. Both parts should be considered together.

2 General findings

2.1.1 In undertaking this research we identified a range of general impacts on the communities as a result of the proposals. These findings apply broadly across all the communities. Each community profile should be read in conjunction with the general findings. Where possible within the community profiles we have attempted to indicate the relative impact on the community of each of the general findings based on data and feedback from stakeholders. In addition, the community profiles provide any specific impacts related to those communities where they were identified.

2.1.2 In summarising the community impact assessment there are five key points that require specific consideration:

- Most of the impact of the proposals will be limited to those individuals, families and organisations directly connected to the Children's Centre and its services. The impact on the wider community in most cases will be minimal.
- Childrens' Centres in many locations have become a hub of activity for both community provision and wider service providers. There will be a direct impact on a number of these service providers who utilise the Children's Centre as an operating base and an easy access point to reach their beneficiaries/potential clients. There also may be an impact on collaboration and intelligence sharing that takes place as a result of the Children's Centre functioning as a hub.
- Stakeholders are pessimistic about the ability of the community to take on a more active role. Wider intelligence suggests that the community and VCSE sector are facing growing demand whilst resources both in terms of cash and volunteers are under severe strain. It should not be assumed the community will develop new provision in response to the service proposals. A careful plan needs to be put in place to actively support the development of community provision and understand and mitigate the impact of a lack of alternative community provision.
- There is a balance of stakeholder feedback and intelligence that suggests the need to reconsider the location of the retained centres. On balance it may be best to locate these in areas of higher need, whilst retaining the strong commitment to an outreach focused approach. Areas of higher need often have less in the way of existing alternative community provision or alternative venues and can have lower levels of resilience. As it is likely that a significant proportion of demand for the redesigned service will be in these areas, locating the hubs in these areas will reduce some of the travel time for support staff, potentially improving efficiency.
- A number of market towns with higher levels of deprivation also appear to have low levels of services/community activity compared to their population size. Specific consideration should be given as to how the resilience of these areas can be strengthened as they face the compounding effects or often poor access/connectivity, a range of service reductions and growing or higher levels of need.

2.2 Overview

2.2.2 In general, Children’s Centres, unlike other facilities such as Post Offices, do not seem to have as much wider significance for the community beyond those that use them or have some other form of active connection. As highlighted below when stakeholders are asked about potential impacts on the community those connected to the centre tend to be more negative.

2.2.3 However, it should be noted that in no area do stakeholders of any type regard the proposals as a majority positive impact on the community.

Thinking about the following areas do you think the proposals will impact positively or negatively on your community in general?		STRONG NEGATIVE IMPACT	NEGATIVE IMPACT	NEUTRAL IMPACT	POSITIVE IMPACT	STRONG POSITIVE IMPACT
Income/Funding	All	31.16%	26.09%	36.96%	3.62%	2.17%
	Centre User	41.89%	29.73%	27.03%	0.00%	1.35%
	Not User	17.74%	20.97%	51.61%	6.45%	3.23%
Availability of community venue or other facility	All	43.24%	31.08%	18.24%	5.41%	2.03%
	Centre User	54.88%	35.37%	7.32%	0.00%	2.44%
	Not User	28.13%	26.56%	32.81%	10.94%	1.56%
Sense of community	All	47.62%	33.33%	13.61%	2.04%	3.40%
	Centre User	57.50%	33.75%	6.25%	0.00%	2.50%
	Not User	35.94%	32.81%	21.88%	4.69%	4.69%
Opportunity for people to interact and get to know each other locally	All	54.67%	26.67%	12.00%	3.33%	3.33%
	Centre User	69.88%	21.69%	6.02%	0.00%	2.41%
	Not User	36.92%	32.31%	18.46%	7.69%	4.62%

The physical environment within the community	All	33.57%	28.67%	32.87%	1.40%	3.50%
	Centre User	46.84%	32.91%	17.72%	0.00%	2.53%
	Not User	17.46%	25.40%	49.21%	3.17%	4.76%
Access to services and support	All	62.00%	21.33%	10.00%	3.33%	3.33%
	Centre User	72.29%	20.48%	4.82%	1.20%	1.20%
	Not User	50.77%	20.00%	16.92%	6.15%	6.15%
Employment opportunities	All	26.57%	22.38%	46.15%	2.80%	2.10%
	Centre User	33.33%	26.92%	38.46%	0.00%	1.28%
	Not User	19.05%	15.87%	55.56%	6.35%	3.17%
Level of positivity and aspiration	All	42.18%	28.57%	23.13%	3.40%	2.72%
	Centre User	51.81%	34.94%	10.84%	1.20%	1.20%
	Not User	30.65%	19.35%	38.71%	6.45%	4.84%
Local people have a say in local issues	All	37.41%	27.89%	28.57%	3.40%	2.72%
	Centre User	43.90%	32.93%	20.73%	1.22%	1.22%
	Not User	30.16%	20.63%	38.10%	6.35%	4.76%

2.2.4 In most areas impacted services and organisations are generally those with direct connections to children and families. Although impacts identified on services such as domestic violence support highlight that the impact is not solely limited to direct services to support families and children.

2.2.5 Overall, the proposals are likely to impact those areas with a higher proportion of children the most significantly. Among parents those accessing the universal provision will be most significantly impacted since the proposals withdraw the current free offer. Those ‘just about managing’ below any eligibility criteria for additional service support are likely to be impacted the most negatively.

2.3 Economic & Employment

2.3.2 There is a likely negative impact of the proposals on the finances of parents. Under the proposals, in areas where alternative free provision is not available, parents will experience increased costs as a result of the need to pay for ‘universal provision’. In addition, some parents will experience increased costs as a result of the transport required to access alternative provision or outreach activity. This impact will be felt most significantly by those on lower incomes and with multiple children. A number of stakeholders highlighted how this is likely to be compounded by the roll-out of universal credit. Conversely some parents are likely to experience a reduction in costs where outreach provision is located closer to them.

2.3.3 There is a potential positive economic impact as a result of the opportunities created for businesses and social enterprises to expand their services or create new start-ups in response to the demand from the community. In addition, some service providers highlighted the positive impact of being able to develop their services in a less constrained framework.

2.3.4 Currently, a number of childminders make use of the universal offer as part of the delivery of their commercial service. As this would be removed as a free service under the proposals, this may result in either a reduction in the quality of the provision of childcare or these being replicating via other routes, that is likely at an increased cost to either the business or passed onto parents.

2.3.5 A number of service providers identified a negative impact of the proposals on the expenditure for their own services. Directly services such as smoking cessation advisors, speech and language therapists, child and baby first aid, and domestic violence support all take advantage of Children’s Centres as a free base for outreach activity. This seems unlikely to continue if the proposals are implemented - adding to the costs of their delivery. A number of stakeholders highlighted the likely knock-on demand on their services as a result of the proposals and the negative impact of meeting this; most frequently referenced were NHS services particularly related to support around post-natal depression.

2.3.6 There is likely a positive economic impact on some services and facilities who charge as part of their delivery model due to increase in demand as a result of the proposals. These include providers of services similar to current ‘stay and play’ provision as well as facilities who may host new services.

2.3.7 There is a negative impact on a number of the current providers of children’s centre facilities/venues due to the loss in revenue from hire/lease fees as a result of the proposals.

2.3.8 There is a negative economic impact on the providers of children’s centre services themselves. The proposals will result in less income across all providers. There is some suggestion from stakeholders that whilst the income from children’s centre services do not directly subsidise other provision they do contribute to either the

organisation overheads or provide a strategic anchor making it viable to operate within a given area. It is therefore also likely that there will be a negative impact on other areas of the organisation's operations as a result of the proposals.

- 2.3.9 The proposal will result in a number of job losses. Whilst these are likely to be limited to the direct proposal as opposed to wider knock effect on the economy. There will be a direct negative economic impact on those households effected. This will at least in the short-term have a wider negative economic impact through the local multiplier effect, that is the impact on the wider local economy due to the change in spending of those effected.

2.4 Community Facilities & Environment

- 2.4.2 The proposal may provide a positive impact on the community through increasing the use of other community facilities. This may support greater integration of services across community facilities and improve the economic viability and enable investment that enhances the facilities available.
- 2.4.3 There is significant variation across the county in the availability and quality of alternative venues. The impact on the community of the change of use of the current Children's Centre buildings will vary depending on the quantity and quality of other venues within the local area.
- 2.4.4 There is also significant variation in the physical presence and quality of the current network of Children's Centres. Some buildings are used infrequently for the delivery of services or no service delivery takes place within the named Children's Centre community. In many areas operations have already been consolidated. For those named Children's Centre communities with minimal delivery the proposals are likely to have a neutral impact.
- 2.4.5 The current Children's Centre network of buildings provides a service across all communities in Norfolk but are physically present in the minority of communities, an outreach model may positively impact the facilities outside the current delivery centres.
- 2.4.6 Stakeholders highlighted concerns of the negative impact of using non-specialist community venues as opposed to purpose built Children's Centres as this would result in a reduction in the quality of facilities available. The proposals state the intention of ensuring the repurposing of all current Children's Centres but this does not guarantee the retention of the facilities and it is a requisite of the outreach model that an increased number of non-specialist building will be used as part of delivery.
- 2.4.7 Stakeholders highlighted concerns that if buildings that are ear-marked for change of use are not repurposed quickly they may deteriorate. This would have a negative impact on the physical environment for the community.
- 2.4.8 A number of stakeholders highlighted the assets within the current network of buildings. These include toy libraries, equipment and sensory support assets. It will be important to safeguard their accessibility and use to prevent a negative impact due to the loss of these assets to the community.

2.4.9 For many, Children’s Centres are recognised as the ‘place to go’ for support. As with changes to any established service provision the proposals will result in a negative impact on the community in terms of ‘knowing where to go’. The severity and duration of this impact is dependent on the success of the communications around the service changes.

2.5 Strength of Community

2.5.2 Across all areas stakeholders were, on balance, pessimistic about the likelihood the community would take on a more active role. This suggests a greater impact on the community due to the loss of universal services as these are less likely to be replaced by the community themselves.

	VERY UNLIKELY	UNLIKELY	LIKELY	VERY LIKELY
Existing groups expand their services to support more children and families	17.65%	43.79%	32.03%	6.54%
New groups form to support more children and families	22.22%	47.06%	24.84%	5.88%
More people volunteer to help out at groups for children and families	35.29%	47.06%	13.73%	3.92%

2.5.3 Norfolk County Council’s data pack identifies that 68% of service users access the universal ‘stay and play’ type offer. There are 41,450 families registered with Children’s Centres, with 23,000 having used them in the last twelve months. This gives a potential group of service users of between 15,640 – 28,168 to be picked up by alternative community and commercial provision. Assuming a group size of 20 children, this would require 782-1,409 sessions, repeated at a suitable frequency. As ‘stay and play’ type activity does not require registration and parents remain responsible for the supervision of their children, there are no specific requirements for volunteer or staffing numbers. There is no specific model in the proposals for how these would be supported in terms of a mixture of staff and volunteers. If we assumed a model that was volunteer based and two volunteers per session, volunteering for only one session this would require between 1,564 – 2,818 volunteers.

2.5.4 The impact of additional volunteer recruitment needs will depend on how volunteers are recruited. The involvement of people not currently volunteering is likely to have a positive impact on the sense of community as well as a range of benefits derived from volunteering itself. In many communities activity is led by a small number of individuals. Placing additional demands on existing community volunteers may negatively impact their own wellbeing. There is also a risk of cannibalising volunteers from other community activities to support the new demands. Both of these scenarios would have a significant negative impact on overall community strength.

2.5.5 Community capacity is varied with some communities having a strong track record of activity. Areas with higher community capacity are likely to be less negatively impacted as they are more able to respond to service changes with community led initiatives. Whilst many factors go into determining community capacity there is a general trend that more deprived areas often have lower levels of community capacity and therefore will be more negatively impacted.

- 2.5.6 Physical hubs, often referred to as “community anchors”, are recognised as being important in the development of a sense of community. Where the proposals result in the loss of physical hubs this is likely to have a negative impact on the sense of community.
- 2.5.7 Where a digital offer results in fewer interactions in the community this has a negative impact on the sense of community of place. Physical interactions are the predominant building block of generating a strong community of place. However, digital interactions may strengthen and develop new online communities of purpose or identity.
- 2.5.8 Stakeholders made frequent reference to the negative impact caused by the reduced level of face to face interaction within the proposal. Many highlighted this face to face interaction as the key value delivered by the current Children’s Centre model.
- 2.5.9 In some areas Children’s Centres provide a key networking location between service delivery organisations. Stakeholder feedback seems to suggest this is very varied between centres. However, this is less likely to occur with fewer physical bases and greater outreach work. There is therefore likely to be a loss of interaction and integration between individuals supporting families at a very local operational level.
- 2.5.10 The proposals, consistent with many other services, will introduce a greater focus on eligibility criteria. This creates a division in the community that will have a negative impact on the sense of shared identity. Segmented provision is also likely to reduce the frequency of interaction between different socio-economic groups within the community, this is likely to negatively impact the shared sense of community as well as have a possible negative impact on the feeling that people from different backgrounds getting on well together, the potential for positive role-models and overall community cohesion.

2.6 Access to services

- 2.6.2 Stakeholders stated that a reduction in access to services and support was the most significant negative impact of the proposals.
- 2.6.3 The proposals would see the end of the current universal offer with no guarantee that provision will be replicated by the community or commercially. This represents a significant reduction in service availability.
- 2.6.4 Uncertainty around the quantity, quality and location of outreach provision was a significant driver of stakeholder views. There is a significant opportunity to see an improvement in service access overall as a result of the use of greater outreach. However, it is likely that for those communities currently well served the service offer will reduce as a result of an outreach-based model.
- 2.6.5 Transport access was another area of significant concern. Two key points were repeatedly made. Firstly, that those from more deprived backgrounds are less likely and less able to travel to access services. Secondly that Norfolk is a large rural county with poor transport infrastructure, in particular, poor public transport infrastructure and that travel to any location outside the immediate community was difficult.

- 2.6.6 Outreach based provision may positively impact transport issues by locating services in more accessible locations, although stakeholder perceptions tended to be negative.
- 2.6.7 Many stakeholders highlighted that depending on the public transport routes accessing provision in close proximity to the community was more difficult than accessing larger centres further away.
- 2.6.8 Travel time was also highlighted as an area of concern. This was both in terms of the likely drop off in access rates the longer it takes to get to a service and the loss of productive time caused by increased staff travel in an outreach model. Particular reference was made to the location of the retained Children’s Centre’s saying that these should be in locations of highest need to improve access to service users and minimise staff travel time and additional venue costs.
- 2.6.9 A number of stakeholders highlighted the positive impact of the proposals stating that current centres have mixed usage and a more targeted approach will be beneficial improving service provision for those who most needed it.
- 2.6.10 A number of stakeholders highlighted the positive opportunity of embedding outreach provision in existing community provision strengthening the overall offer.
- 2.6.11 A number of service providers identified negative impacts on access to their own services. Services such as smoking cessation advisors, speech and language therapy, child and baby first aid, and domestic violence support all take advantage of Children’s Centres as a base of operations. It is likely that as a result of the repurposing of many Children’s Centre buildings, these services will no longer be available in those locations.
- 2.6.12 A number of stakeholders highlighted the likely knock-on demand on their services as a result of the proposals and the negative impact of meeting this; most frequently referenced were NHS services particularly related to support around post-natal depression.
- 2.6.13 Many stakeholders were pessimistic about the effectiveness of an online offer. A comprehensive online offer does provide a positive impact on service access when combined with the infrastructure, equipment and skills that enable service users to access it. In general, more deprived groups and more isolated communities are less likely to be able to access online provision.
- 2.6.14 Many stakeholders highlighted the benefit of the current Children Centre model in providing an easy and accessible ‘one stop shop’ for both service users and professionals, combining a trusted, safe location with a collective source of local knowledge. The accessibility of these ‘one stop shops’ varies significantly across Norfolk.
- 2.6.15 The loss of this ‘one stop shop’ physical point would negatively impact service access even if provision was replicated via an alternative model. There is currently a significant gap in understanding the detailed local service offer without physically visiting a Children’s Centre. To illustrate; a search of Norfolk Community

Directory for things to do for babies and toddlers highlighted eight items, four of which were based within Children's Centres. Whilst this clearly does not indicate the level of alternative provision, it does indicate the difficulty in accessing information about that provision. To avoid a significant negative impact of the proposals on service access significant effort must be put into capturing and disseminating alternative service information.

2.7 Aspirations and positivity

- 2.7.2 The largest group of stakeholders highlighted a negative impact as a result of the proposals on aspirations and positivity. For many it was seen in the context of multiple service reductions or service losses whilst local needs had increased.
- 2.7.3 A number of service users indicated they felt that as a result of the proposals they were being less supported, highlighting feelings of abandonment.
- 2.7.4 Stakeholders highlighted the importance of the lack of stigma associated with the current Children's Centre model and the proposal, which would result in service users being perceived more negatively.
- 2.7.5 A large number of stakeholders highlighted the positive impact on the regular, easy to access, face to face contact within the current model on mental health, indicating the proposals would reduce this.
- 2.7.6 A small number of stakeholders saw the proposals as an opportunity to develop something better.

2.8 Local people having a say

- 2.8.2 The largest group of stakeholders highlighted a negative impact as a result of the proposals on local people having their say. This was generally articulated as a feeling that local people had not been engaged in the development of the proposals and that the proposals were a *fait accompli* with minimal ability for local people to impact the results.
- 2.8.3 A small number of stakeholders expressed a positive impact as a result of the proposals on local people having their say. This was generally articulated as the opportunity for communities to take control of local services and facilities rather than these being dictated to them.

Data used within the report

Data has been drawn from a number of sources to support the development of the community impact assessment. Each individual community impact assessments contains a number of data boxes to highlight key data. These boxes are colour coded to provide an indicator of the likely significance of the impact on the community based on that piece of data. Red indicates those areas where the data suggests the impact will be most significant, orange more significantly impacted areas, yellow less impacted areas and blue those areas the data suggests will be impacted the least.

Least significantly impacted	Less significantly impacted	More significantly impacted	Most significantly impacted
------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

With the exception of the deprivation, to determine the significance of the impact the data is separated into four segments each containing 25% of the data set, with a rank assigned based on this. Therefore; rank 1 = 25% lowest values, rank 2 = 26% to 50%, rank 3 51% to 75% with rank 4 the 25% highest values. Depending on the data set the direction of the rank varies, so having more households with no cars is considered negative (rank 4 would be red), whilst having more community building is considered positive (rank 4 would be blue).

Site ownership - Data supplied by supplied by Norfolk County Council

Population Ages - Taken from 5 Year Age Structure in terms of ‘Childrens Centre Reach’, Norfolk Insight

No car ownership - Households with no care or van for lower super output area (LSOA) Children’s Centre is based in, Norfolk Insight

Childcare provision - Norfolk Community Directory search services within 5 miles category ‘Childcare’ based on Children’s Centre postcode

Community Venues - Community Action Norfolk database of community venues. Venues within 10 min drive time of current Children’s Centre postcode, calculated using Power BI, ArcGIS plugin.

Deprivation - Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) and Index of Multiple Deprivation, 2015 values for lower super output area (LSOA) Children’s Centre is based in. Shown as decile – areas divided into ten segments 10% most deprived areas to 10% least deprived areas, Norfolk Insight. Decile 1-3 classified as red, 4-5 orange, 6-8 yellow, 9-10 blue.

Registered Charities – Charity Commission data extract, registered charities based within the medium super output area (MSOA) for the Childrens Centre. ‘Which benefit Children’ refers to those charities which identify their beneficiaries as including children and young people. The location information is based on registered address this for

community organisations is often a good indicator of whether they deliver services but this is not always the case . This data is used to provide an indication of the strength of local VCSE activity rather than an indicator of the availability of services.

Acle Children’s Centre

Acle Library, Bridewell Lane,
Norwich NR13 3RA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 2	Rank: 4
11	22
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:3
15.8%	29min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
8 th Decile	9 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:3
5.1%	5.3%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:3	Rank:3
26	18
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

At least one community building in the area has identified itself as having capacity and being suitability as an alternative venue.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

Stakeholders made reference to feeling that the change of use of the current Children Centre would result in there being no-where safe to take children particularly for people on low incomes.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings.

Attleborough Children's Centre

Attleborough Community and Enterprise Centre, 2 Church Street, Attleborough NR17 2AH

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:2 18.5% No car ownership	Rank:1 9min Travel time by public transport to town centre
-------------------------------------	--

Rank:2 5.1% 0-4 Population	Rank:3 5.6% 5-19 Population
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 3 14 Childcare Provision	Rank: 3 16 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 7 th decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 6 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation
---	--

Rank:2 20 Registered Charities	Rank:1 8 Which Benefit Children
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

The impact on loneliness and isolation was the most significant area of concern expressed by stakeholders highlighting the loss of opportunities for people to interact.

Access to services

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders highlighted that service provision for Attleborough is low comparative to its size. Suggesting a greater negative impact as a result of any additional service reductions.

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Aylsham Children’s Centre

The Pavilion, Sir Williams Lane,
Aylsham, Norwich, Norfolk NR11
6AW

This is a leasehold site

Rank:3 27.6% No car ownership	Rank:1 10min Travel time by public transport to town centre
-------------------------------------	---

Rank:1 4.5% 0-4 Population	Rank:2 5.1% 5-19 Population
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 1 7 Childcare Provision	Rank: 4 25 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 6 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 4 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation
---	--

Rank: 3 26 Registered Charities	Rank: 1 9 Which Benefit Children
---------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Aylsham Children Centre was highlighted as renting offices currently from the recreation ground and also makes use of additional community premises. This was highlighted as a loss of income to these community facilities.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the opportunity for other venues to grow their offer and increase participation.

Stakeholders provided a mixed response with some sighting the potential loss of the Children's Centre as the biggest area of impact with others stating the current centre is underutilised and that this may be an opportunity for other venues within the town.

The reduction in use of the recreation ground and pavilion premises was highlighted as potentially giving rise to greater vandalism as both premises are rented by the Children's centre

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Bowthorpe, W. Earlham & Costessey Children's Centre

Humbleyard, Clover Hill, Norwich
NR5 9BN

This is a leasehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 3
70	17
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:4
39.3%	33min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	2 nd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 4	Rank: 3
6.6%	5.6%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank: 2	Rank: 1
16	6
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

Stakeholders particularly emphasised the impact on parents on low incomes who are less likely to be able to afford travel or the cost of paid provision

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner (Norwich City Council).

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

Stakeholder emphasized the community members were less likely to be willing to travel to provision outside their immediate community.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders specifically highlighted a likely increased impact on NHS services as a result of not being able to get immediate in person support locally for a range of physical and mental health issues.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

The mental health of parents was highlighted specifically by stakeholders as a major area of negative impact as they saw no alternatives within the proposals for the support they were currently receiving.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Broadland (Hoveton) Children’s Centre

Broadlands Youth and Community Centre, Stalham Road, Hoveton NR12 8DJ

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:2 17% No car ownership	Rank:4 40min Travel time by public transport to town centre
-----------------------------------	---

Rank: 2 4.5% 0-4 Population	Rank: 2 4.6% 5-19 Population
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Rank: 2 13 Childcare Provision	Rank: 2 15 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 5 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 5 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation
---	--

Rank: 4 53 Registered Charities	Rank:4 30 Which Benefit Children
---------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Provision is limited at this site to less than a day a week. The overall impact of the proposals is likely to be significantly less than other areas.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Caister Children’s Centre

Caister Infant and Nursery School,
Kingston Avenue, Great Yarmouth
NR30 5ET

School Freehold site

Rank:2	Rank:2
22.3%	18min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre

Rank:1	Rank:1
4.3%	4.8%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank: 3	Rank: 2
20	11
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
4 th Decile	5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 1	Rank: 1
11	6
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Catton Grove Children’s Centre

Hunter Road, Norwich NR3 3PY

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
76	30
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:3
20.2%	30min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
4 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
8.1%	6.5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 2	Rank:2
21	12
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

Stakeholders particularly emphasised the impact on parents on low incomes who are less likely to be able to afford travel or the cost of paid provision

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Other local community buildings stated that they already signposted people to the Children Centre as they do not have capacity to support them.

Stakeholders suggested that other community venues within the area had very limited availability or would provide a poorer quality facility than current provision.

Based on stakeholder feedback despite the number of community buildings locally, capacity may be significantly limited, increasing the impact of the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

Stakeholder made specific reference to the fact that due to the areas level of deprivation people were less likely to travel to access services.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

City & Eaton (Vauxhall Centre) Children’s Centre

The Vauxhall Centre, Johnson Place,
Norwich NR2 2SA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
73	35
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:2
55.1%	15min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank: 2 nd Decile	Rank: 1 st Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 2	Rank: 1
5%	4.4%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:3
35	14
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Corpusty & Holt Children’s Centre

Charles Road, Holt NR25 6DA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 1	Rank: 4
5	22
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:1
24.5%	Holt 9 min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank: 3 rd Decile	Rank: 4 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:1
3.4%	4.8%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 3	Rank:3
28	18
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

There is currently no Children’s Centre delivery in Corpusty, provision is located mainly in Holt with some session in other areas.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision. However, it must be noted that this Children's centre covers a large rural area that is not as well connected.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Cromer Children's Centre

Cromer provision is:

Mill Road, NR27 0AD

Benjamin Court NR27 0EU

Rank:3	Rank:2
27.1%	16min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre

Rank:4	Rank:4
3.5%	4.0%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank: 1	Rank:2
7	14
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
4 th Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 3	Rank: 3
28	14
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The Children's Centres is based in Mundesley with programme delivery two days a week in Cromer. There is potentially less impact on this community as a result of the proposals due to the levels of current provision.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Stakeholders particularly emphasised the impact on parents on low incomes who are less likely to be able to afford travel or the cost of paid provision

As there are third party owned buildings used as part of the provision there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision. However, it should be noted that this Children's Centre covers a large rural area.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders specifically identified the negative impact of the likely loss of joint working facilitated through the Children's Centre

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Dereham (Central & South) Children’s Centre

18 London Road, East Dereham
NR19 1AS

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:3 28% No car ownership	Rank:1 10 min Travel time by public transport to town centre
-----------------------------------	--

Rank:3 5.9% (central) 5.3% (south) 0-4 Population	Rank:3 5.6% (central) 5.3% (south) 5-19 Population
Rank:4 39 Registered Charities	Rank:3 17 Which Benefit Children

Rank: 3 16 Childcare Provision	Rank: 3 21 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 3 rd Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 2 nd Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation
---	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

However, stakeholder feedback highlighted concerns over the suitability of alternative venues for delivery of services for children.

Some stakeholders highlighted the opportunity for making enhanced use of their facilities.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision. However, it should be noted that this Childrens' Centre covers a large rural area that will not be as well connected.

Stakeholders specifically highlighted that the surrounding areas served by the centre suffer from poor bus-routes and high numbers of none drivers.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders highlighted the critical importance of current outreach provision to Robertson Barracks and the specific impacts on the forces community. Longer deployment times for forces personnel is likely to increase isolation. Delivery and access to an MOD base is restricted, getting access approval can be challenging. This may limit opportunities for community led provision and act as a barrier to more ad-hoc or flexible outreach provision.

Some stakeholders highlighted the lack of capacity in existing other services

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

Some stakeholders highlighted that they were interested in developing new services but were unclear who to entre into discussions with.

Diss Children’s Centre

Diss Infant and Nursery School,
Fitzwalter Road, Diss IP22 4PU

Schools based Freehold site

Rank: 2	Rank: 1
8	6
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:1
33.2%	9 min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:2
4.9%	5.2%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank: 3	Rank: 3
31	14
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision. However, it should be noted that this Childrens' Centre covers a large rural area that will not be as well connected.

Stakeholders specifically highlighted concerns that the community is not well connected and has poor service access.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Downham Market Children’s Centre

Snape Lane, Paradise Road,
Downham Market PE38 9JE

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:2
7	13
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank: 2
21.5%	14min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
4 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:2
5.7%	5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 2	Rank: 2
20	10
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

The potential impact on the pre-school based in the centre was highlighted. Stakeholders regard its relocation as particularly difficult, potentially impacting its viability. The SWAN Youth service based in the building currently providing a 'move on' facility were also concerned the proposals may negatively impact their services.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision. However, it should be noted that this Children's Centre covers a large rural area that will not be as well connected.

Stakeholders specifically highlighted concerns that the community is not well connected and has poor service access, referencing the large rural area the centre serves.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries, this area has **less** existing VCSE provision, which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings.

Drayton Taverham Children's Centre

Drayton Infant School, School Road,
Drayton NR8 6EP

School based Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
54	35
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1 7.8% No car ownership	Rank:4 44min Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank: 8 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 9 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3 5.2% 0-4 Population	Rank: 4 5.8% 15-19 Population
Rank: 1 14 Registered Charities	Rank: 1 7 Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the impact on other centre users who rent space within the children centre.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Dussindale Children’s Centre

Witard Road, NR7 9XD

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
58	26
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:2
15.2%	19min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 1	Rank: 4
4.5%	5.7%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 1	Rank:1
10	9
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

This location is used only once a week for only used once a week for stay and play delivery, there is likely **overall minimal impact** on the community as a result of the proposals.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals. There is an unusually high disparity between the number of 0-4 and 5-19.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Earlham Children’s Centre

Motum Road, Norwich NR5 8EG

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
73	26
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:3
31.3%	29min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
1 st Decile	1 st Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:4
5.7%	9.1%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 1	Rank: 2
13	10
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Stakeholders report that the breastfeeding café has already shut, due staff reductions and difficulty in finding volunteers to take on the service. General feedback suggest this is an are where it is harder to establish community led activity.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

Stakeholders report that this centre operates as a hub for a range of activity. As a result there will be a more significant impact on general service provision if as a result of the proposal the building ceases to be useable for these activities.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

East City & Framingham Children’s Centre

Duckett Close, Norwich NR1 2LR

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
73	30
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:2
31.8%	22min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
1 st Decile	2 nd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:2
5.7%	5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 2	Rank: 2
19	10
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders identified the possibility of using other local venues with some other sites welcoming the opportunity to host activities.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

The mental health of parents was highlighted specifically by stakeholders as a major area of negative impact. Stakeholders also highlighted a local safe environment as particularly key in addressing loneliness and isolation.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Emneth Children’s Centre

Hollycroft Road, Emneth, Wisbech
PE14 8AY

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 1	Rank: 1
3	6
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:3
6.8%	28min
No car ownership	Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	4 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:2
5.1%	5.2%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank: 3	Rank: 2
25	10
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

Stakeholders particularly referenced the areas isolated nature and the increased impact on both service access issues and individuals at risk of isolation.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Fakenham Children's Centre

Norwich Road, Fakenham NR21
8HN

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:3 23.9% No car ownership	Rank:1 13min Travel time by public transport to town centre
Rank: 4 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 4 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2 5% 0-4 Population	Rank:1 4.6% 5-19 Population
Rank: 2 23 Registered Charities	Rank:2 12 Which Benefit Children

Rank: 1 6 Childcare Provision	Rank: 2 12 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders made reference to the fact that after two rooms at the centre were taken over for use by the school the previous users tried to find alternative accommodation but to date have been unsuccessful. This suggests the potential loss of the site as a venue may have a greater impact on the community. Stakeholders highlighted very limited capacity at other venues and poor suitability for delivery of services for children and young people.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders made particular reference to the rural areas that this Children Centre serves. Stakeholders highlighted that poor transport links for the area and therefore the enhanced difficulty of accessing services. Stakeholders emphasised how the existing poorly served nature of the area would increase the impact of the loss of the Childrens Centre service.

Stakeholders highlighted the poor broadband and phone signal across the area and therefore the greater negative impact of being reliant on an online service offer.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Gorleston & Hopton Children's Centre

Gorleston Library, Lowestoft Road,
Gorleston, Norfolk NR31 6SG

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:3 29.7% No car ownership	Rank:1 1, 13min (Claydon) 1, 6min (St Andrews) Travel time
Rank: 2 nd Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 3 rd Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3 5.4% 0-4 Population	Rank:3 5.5% 5-19 Population
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Rank: 3 22 Childcare Provision	Rank: 1 6 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Rank: 2 16 Registered Charities	Rank: 1 6 Which Benefit Children
---------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Gorleston was described as a stronger community than other in the areas by some stakeholders indicating that it was more likely community volunteer would develop new activities. Although other stakeholders highlighted the areas deprivation questioning whether the community would be able to develop its own initiatives.

Stakeholders highlighted the number of parents working in the offshore industry. This was linked to an increased risk of isolation and increased impact of the loss of communal activities.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Greenacre Children's Centre

Great Yarmouth Community Centre,
Peggotty Road, Great Yarmouth
NR30 3EF

Third party leasehold

Rank:4 50.3% No car ownership	Rank:1 1, 10min (central and north gate) 1, 13min (nelson) Travel time
Rank: 1 st Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 1 st Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4 8.1% 0-4 Population	Rank:4 6.8% 15-19 Population
----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Rank: 3 22 Childcare Provision	Rank: 1 4 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Rank: 1 10 Registered Charities	Rank: 1 7 Which Benefit Children
---------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Great Yarmouth (Priory) Children’s Centre

The Priory Centre, Priory Plain, Great Yarmouth NR30 1NW

Third party leasehold

Rank:4 60.7% No car ownership	Rank: 1, 10min (central and north gate) 1, 13min (nelson) Travel time
Rank: 1 st Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 1 st Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4 6.8% 0-4 Population	Rank:4 5.8% 15-19 Population
----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Rank: 4 24 Childcare Provision	Rank:1 4 Community Venues
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Rank: 1 14 Registered Charities	Rank: 1 8 Which Benefit Children
---------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

The lack of suitable alternative premises within the area was highlighted by stakeholders.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders emphasised the areas deprived nature with clients who are less likely to access support outside the immediate area, stakeholders highlighted the importance of continued visibility in the community to gain traction with a harder to reach population.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Harleston Children's Centre

Harleston CE Primary School, School Lane, Harleston IP20 9HG

Third party leasehold

Rank: 1	Rank: 2
3	12
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:1
20.8%	8min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank: 4 th Decile	Rank: 5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:2
5.2%	5.1%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 3	Rank: 3
28	18
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

The current site has been converted into an Early Years setting. The area will therefore be likely impacted overall less by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the vacation of the Children's Centre from the building as a positive impact regarding the previous service as ineffective with sporadic support to the community. They highlighted the proposals as a positive direction of travel.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner. Although these may be mitigated by the existing conversion to an Early Years setting.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the lack of community venues in as a general concern for general delivery. This was regarded as negatively impacting the effectiveness of proposed outreach and community led element within this area.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

Stakeholders made specific reference to the lack of child care in the area.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

The local council specifically highlighted that the lack of engagement around the proposals was a missed opportunity.

Hellesdon Children’s Centre

Horsford CE VC Infant School, Holt Road, Horsford NR8 6EP

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 3
72	21
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:4
19%	32min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
7 th Decile	7 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:2
4.6%	4.9%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 3	Rank: 3
30	18
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Hethersett Children's Centre

Hethersett Woodside Nursery and Infant School, Firs Road, Hethersett Norwich NR9 3EQ

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:3
7.3%	26min
No car ownership	Travel time

Rank:4	Rank:3
6.5%	5.5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank: 4	Rank: 2
40	11
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
9 th Decile	9 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 1	Rank: 2
14	12
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

The current building is only used as a satellite office with provision relocated to Wymondham. This community is therefore less likely overall to be impacted by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted that the relocation of the service provision had already resulted in a significant drop-off of attendees from Hethersett.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **least** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

Stakeholders specifically highlighted the concern that paid-for activities would prohibit some people from attending.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision. Both the village hall and library were highlighted by stakeholders as potential venues that could be used

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **most** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **most** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders regarded the proposals as having a positive impact on service access regarding the one-to-one support in the home and locally provided group-based support as improvements. However, some stakeholders were concerned about how people would be able to access delivery if there was no local drop-in point. Stakeholders raised concerns about the increasing service needs of the area and that services were not keeping pace with the needs of a growing village Stakeholders made specific reference to the changes in the school provision to primary. Whilst it is not clear what difference it will make, it will have a combined impact on the facilities offered to children and parents and so future planning must be cognizant of this wider service change impact.

Stakeholders highlighted how it was far easier for the community to access Norwich than Wymondham, where current provision is based.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Hunstanton Children’s Centre

Avenue Road, Hunstanton PE36

5BW

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 1	Rank: 2
2	12
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:1
35.9%	12min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	2 nd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:1
3.2%	4%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank: 2	Rank: 1
19	9
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

The new heritage centre and other community buildings were mentioned by stakeholders as potentially providing alternative venues

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Stakeholders made specific reference to the value of the Children's Centre as a contact point at a local level.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Stakeholders from the surrounding area served by the Children's Centre highlighted declining numbers of visits and outreach activity over a number of years. They were concerned that the proposals would further increase this trend.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Litcham Children’s Centre

Loddon Library Annexe, 31 Church Plain, Norwich NR14 6EX

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 1	Rank: 2
5	15
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:4
7.7%	48min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
7 th Decile	5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:3
4.2%	5.3%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank: 4	Rank: 4
50	29
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Stakeholder feedback highlighted the poor state of repair around the current community building which may present opportunities to look at an enhanced overall community site.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Loddon Children’s Centre

Loddon Library Annexe, 31 Church Plain, Norwich NR14 6EX

Leasehold site

Rank: 2	Rank: 3
11	18
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:4
25.9%	31min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank: 4 th Decile	Rank: 5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:3
4%	5.5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
43	23
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

The library is used as a base for the children's centre within a complex that includes other services. Stakeholders highlighted that this hub contributes significant to the sense of community.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

The library is used as a base for the children's centre within a complex that includes other services. Stakeholders highlighted that this hub contributes significant to the sense of community.

Stakeholders indicated that they thought it was unlikely the community would take on running activities

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the significantly limited local transport options and the cost of access provision outside the local area as key issues.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Long Stratton Children’s Centre

Manor Road, Norwich NR15 2XR

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 2	Rank: 3
10	21
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:4
17%	54min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:4
4.8%	5.8%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
53	26
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders were positive about the impact of the proposals emphasising that the Long Stratton centre is identified as reminding.

Stakeholders identified a number of alternative facilities within the local area and existing community provision. Although concerns were expressed about the impact of increased demand on that provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

Stakeholders were positive about the impact of the proposals emphasising that the Long Stratton centre is identified as reminding.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Methwold Children’s Centre

Old School House, Brandon IP27

0QQ

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1 8.4% No car ownership	Rank:4 45min Travel time
Rank: 6 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 5 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank: 3 5.5% 0-4 Population	Rank: 3 5.3% 5-19 Population
Rank:3 33 Registered Charities	Rank:3 17 Which Benefit Children

Rank: 1 5 Childcare Provision	Rank: 1 7 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted opportunities to expand and develop their existing offer within the area.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Mr Bee's Family (North Lynn) Children's Centre

4 Walpole Road, Kings Lynn PE30

2DZ

Leasehold site

Rank: 3	Rank: 1
18	7
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:3
40.8%	24 min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
1 st Decile	1 st Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:4
6.6%	6.1%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:1	Rank:1
12	8
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Mundesley Children’s Centre

Mundesley Infant and Junior School,
Trunch Road, Norwich, NR11 8LE

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:2 22.5% No car ownership	Rank:3 25 min Travel time
Rank: 5 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 4 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1 3.6% 0-4 Population	Rank:1 4.3% 5-19 Population
Rank:3 25 Registered Charities	Rank:3 14 Which Benefit Children

Rank: 2 8 Childcare Provision	Rank: 3 19 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

8

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

At least one stakeholder expressed an interesting in being a base for community provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Nar (St Michaels Road, King's Lynn) Children's Centre

St Michael's Family Centre,
Saddlebow Road, King's Lynn PE30
5BN

Leasehold site

Rank:4	Rank:2
33.5%	19 min
No car ownership	Travel time

Rank:3	Rank:2
5.9%	5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank: 3	Rank: 2
19	13
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
1 st Decile	1 st Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:4
42	19
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the significance of traveller and migrant community members who are less likely to engage in a general community offer and may require additional ESOL support.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

North City (Angel Road Infant) Children’s Centre

Angel Road Infants School, Angel Road, Norwich NR3 3HR

Leasehold site

Rank: 4	Rank: 4
74	29
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:2
32.4%	19 min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank: 3 rd Decile	Rank: 3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:1
6.3%	4%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:2	Rank:2
16	12
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Stakeholders made specific reference for the need to support ESOL provision as part of any service offering given the demographic background of local residents.

Stakeholders highlighted concerns about the level of low mental health in the area and the importance of the Children Centre is addressing this.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

North Walsham Children’s Centre

North Walsham Infant School, Manor Road, North Walsham NR28 9HG

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 2	Rank: 4
12	24
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:1
33.3%	8 min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
3 rd Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:2
5.2%	5.1%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:3	Rank:4
32	21
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the negative impact on the closure of the Children Centre as a key hub, emphasising issues of social isolation across the area.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the areas poor transport links as an area for concern.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Reepham Children's Centre

No local site

Rank:1 7.5% No car ownership	Rank:4 35min Travel time
Rank: 6 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 5 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2 4.7% 0-4 Population	Rank:3 5.4% 5-19 Population
Rank:3 26 Registered Charities	Rank:1 9 Which Benefit Children

Rank: 1 6 Childcare Provision	Rank: 3 17 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Provision is based in Aylsham. Outreach activity is identified as taking place in Cawston and Baddersfield but only health visit activity within Reepham. Reepham is therefore less likely to receive any significant overall impact from the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Seagulls (Gorleston) Children's Centre

Shrublands, Magdalen Way, Great Yarmouth NR31 7BP

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:4 45.1% No car ownership	Rank: 1, 6 min (st Andrew) 1, 13min (Claydon) Travel time
Rank: 1 st Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 1 st Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4 7% (S) 0-4 Population	Rank:4 6.3% (S) 15-19 Population
------------------------------------	--

Rank:3 21 Childcare Provision	Rank:1 6 Community Venues
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------

Rank:1 14 Registered Charities	Rank:2 10 Which Benefit Children
--------------------------------------	--

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

A few stakeholders saw the proposals as a positive opportunity for the community giving them a chance to spread their wings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Spixworth & Sprowston Children’s Centre

Sprowston Infant School, Recreation
Ground Road, Norwich NR7 8EW

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank: 4	Rank:4
72	28
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:3
13.6%	24min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
5 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:1
4.8%	4.8%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank:1	Rank:1
9	6
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **most** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Whilst some stakeholders highlighted the benefit of the Children’s Centre as a one stop shop, others said that they felt excluded from the centre. There seemed to be a general sense from stakeholders that the centre was less accessible and not part of the wider community.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Terrington St Clements Children’s Centre

101 Churchgate Way, Terrington St
Clement, King's Lynn PE34 4LZ

Leasehold site

Rank:3	Rank:2
14	8
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:4
14.3%	35 min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	5 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:3	Rank:4
5.7%	5.7%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:2	Rank:2
24	12
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the significance of traveller and migrant community members who are less likely to engage in a general community offer and may require additional ESOL support.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Stalham & Sutton Children’s Centre

St Nicholas Way, Sutton SM1 1EA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:3
7	20
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:4
20%	32min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	4 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:1
3.9%	4.4%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
35	20
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the limited availability of other community venues as a potential problem.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Stibbard Children’s Centre

Fulmodeston Road, Fakenham NR21

OEL

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1 9.7% No car ownership	Rank:3 30min Travel time
Rank: 6 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 4 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1 4.5% 0-4 Population	Rank:2 5.2% 5-19 Population
Rank:3 28 Registered Charities	Rank:4 20 Which Benefit Children

Rank:1 7 Childcare Provision	Rank:2 14 Community Venues
------------------------------------	----------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Stakeholders suggested there would be a financial impact on the nursery who currently shares the site and that it may be unable to keep the building running.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Swaffham Children’s Centre

White Cross Road, Swaffham PE37
7RF

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:1
6	7
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:2
23%	14min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
3 rd Decile	4 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:2
6.5%	4.5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
34	20
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the large rural nature of the Childrens' Centre catchment area. Most indicated that they felt services would be improved through an outreach approach.

Stakeholders welcomed the opportunity for outreach staff to work with existing community led provision.

Some stakeholders highlighted the importance of the centre for the delivery of other services, particularly domestic violence support and the potential negative impact of this was lost as a point of service access.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Thetford Children’s Centre

Kingsway, Thetford IP24 3DY

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:2	Rank:1
9	2
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:2
32.8%	15min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	2 nd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:4
7.9%	7%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:1	Rank:1
5	3
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the importance of the specialist facilities available at the site and did not believe these could be easily replicated elsewhere.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the importance of the site for multi-disciplinary work and therefore the likelihood of a negative impact on the effectiveness of a number of aligned services.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Thetford Drake Children’s Centre

Drake Primary School, Fairfields,
Thetford IP24 1JW

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:2	Rank:1
9	3
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:2
9.4%	17min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
9 th Decile	7 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:3
6.3%	5.5%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:2	Rank:3
24	13
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **less** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **less** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Thorpe Hamlet & Heartsease Children’s Centre

63 Wolfe Road, Norwich, NR1 4HT

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:4	Rank:4
70	29
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:3	Rank:3
24.3%	23min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	4 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:2
6.2%	4.9%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:1	Rank:1
10	9
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Stakeholders highlighted the direct negative impact on them due to the loss of income form the hire of their facilities.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **most** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **least** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **less** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **most** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Trinity (Martham) Children’s Centre

Black Street, Great Yarmouth NR29

4PR

Leasehold site

Rank:1 15.2% No car ownership	Rank:4 50min Travel time
Rank: 5 th Decile Deprivation Affecting Children	Rank: 4 th Decile Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1 3.9% 0-4 Population	Rank:1 4.7% 15-19 Population
Rank:3 29 Registered Charities	Rank:3 14 Which Benefit Children

Rank:1 7 Childcare Provision	Rank:2 15 Community Venues
------------------------------------	----------------------------------

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children’s centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **more** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **more** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Vancouver (Fairstead) Children’s Centre

Fairstead Community Centre, Centre Point, King's Lynn PE30 4SR

Leasehold site

Rank:3	Rank:1
17	7
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:3
33.6%	24min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
2 nd Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:4
6.5%	6%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
9	6
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Stakeholder highlighted that the nursery had already shut down, indicating that this had increased demand on the Children Centre. This may demonstrate a reduce likelihood of universal provision being picked up by the community and therefore an increased negative impact as a result of the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a third party owned building there will be a wider economic impact on the site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted that they make use of the building as shared facility and felt it would be challenging to maintain provision if asked to pick up 100% of the costs.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Stakeholder highlighted that the nursery had already shut down, indicating that this had increased demand on the Children Centre. This may demonstrate a reduce likelihood of universal provision being picked up by the community and therefore an increased negative impact as a result of the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Village Green Children's Centre

Moorlands Primary Academy,
Moorland Way, Great Yarmouth
NR31 9PA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:2
8.4%	21min
No car ownership	Travel time

Rank:2	Rank:2
4.9%	5.1%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population

Rank:3	Rank:1
20	6
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
6 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:1
9	4
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **least** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **least** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **least** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **most** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted concern over the poor access to services across the area and high levels of need.

Stakeholders made particular reference the approximately nine residential caravan sites, highlighting the difficulty of accessing these communities with outreach provision and therefore the likely greater impact of the proposals.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Watton Children's Centre

West Road, Thetford IP25 6AU

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:2
5	10
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:4	Rank:1
40.3%	5min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
3 rd Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:1
5.1%	4.7%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:2	Rank:2
17	11
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **less** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Loss of income to venues currently hired by the Children's Centre was highlighted by stakeholders

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **less** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **more** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted the lack of suitable spaces or the condition of those spaces as an area of concern

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **least** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **most** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **less** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **more** by the proposals.

Stakeholders made specific reference to the high levels of deprivation and poor public transport.

Stakeholders highlighted that the Children's Centre was used as a venue to deliver a range of additional services, potentially increasing the impact on service access.

Aspirations and positivity

Stakeholders highlighted a pattern of declining services across the area, indicating this was the latest in a number of negative impacts on the community.

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Wells Children's Centre

Polka Road, Wells-Next-The-Sea
NR23 1JG

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:1
1	6
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:2	Rank:4
19.9%	39min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
4 th Decile	6 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:1	Rank:1
3.5%	4%
0-4 Population	15-19 Population
Rank:4	Rank:4
55	22
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

Stakeholders reported that the centre is only being open one day a week indicating an overall lower impact on the community of the proposals

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the least** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **more** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **less** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **less** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **more** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **least** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **most** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the poor current level of current services but saw it as unclear as to whether the new proposals would have a positive or negative impact.

Aspirations and positivity

Loss of another service in a rural area was highlighted by stakeholders as a compounding the impact on the community

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

West Walton Children’s Centre

School Road, Wisbech PE14 7HA

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:1
6	6
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:1	Rank:3
11.3%	29min
No car ownership	Travel time
Rank:	Rank:
3 rd Decile	3 rd Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:2	Rank:3
5.1%	5.6%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population
Rank:2	Rank:3
17	13
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community’s population who are children within the age range for Children’s Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **more** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted that the original Children’s Centre building is no-longer used, instead there is limited outreach activity only in the area, indicating a reduced impact on the community as a result of the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **most** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **least** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **least** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **most** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **least** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **less** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **less** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **least** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **less** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **more** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **least** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **more** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **less** by the proposals.

Stakeholders made specific reference to the poor broadband infrastructure in the area and therefore a likely more significant negative impact as a result of a reliance on digital services within the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted the significance of traveller and migrant community members who are less likely to engage in a general community offer and may require additional ESOL support.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Wymondham Children's Centre

Sheffield Road, Wymondham NR18

OHL

Norfolk County Council Freehold site

Rank:1	Rank:2
13.5%	21min
No car ownership	Travel time

Rank:3	Rank:4
5.2%	6.9%
0-4 Population	5-19 Population

Rank:3	Rank:3
21	17
Childcare Provision	Community Venues

Rank:	Rank:
7 th Decile	8 th Decile
Deprivation Affecting Children	Index of Multiple Deprivation

Rank:4	Rank:4
40	26
Registered Charities	Which Benefit Children

This place based Community Impact Assessment on the Norfolk early childhood and family service proposals should be read in conjunction with the general findings.

The percentage of this community's population who are children within the age range for Children's Centre services means it is likely to be impacted **the most** by the proposals.

Economic & Employment

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area that will be **less** impacted by the increase in costs to parents of accessing paid provision and potential additional travel costs.

As a Norfolk County Council owned building there will be no wider economic impact on a third party site owner.

The number of childcare businesses in this area may result in this community receiving **more** economic impact resulting from the end of universal service provision that may currently be being utilised by those businesses.

Community Facilities & Environment

The number of alternative community facilities within 10 minutes drive time suggest this community is **more** likely to be able to find alternative venues for service provision when compared to other locations. Therefore it is likely to be impacted **less** by the potential loss of a children's centre as a base for provision.

Stakeholders highlighted limited facilities within Wymondham itself.

Stakeholders highlighted the Children's Centre had been 'taken over' by the school resulting in less connection with the wider community.

Strength of Community

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where the community may be **more** able to deliver community led solutions.

Based on the level of registered charities in the area this community is **most** likely to have capacity to deliver community led solutions. It may also be **most** impacted by having additional demand placed on a finite number of existing volunteers.

Access to services

The level of deprivation in this community suggests it is an area where community members may be **more** likely to access support outside their immediate area.

The level of no car ownership indicates that this community is **most** able to access provision outside its immediate areas. It will therefore be **least** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The travel time by public transport to a town centre indicates this community is **more** well connected to other services. It will therefore be **less** impacted by the location of outreach provision.

The number of childcare businesses in this area mean it is likely to be **more** resilient to any potential loss in any individual child care service.

Based on the number of registered charities who identify children and young people among their beneficiaries this area has **most** existing VCSE provision which may mean it is impacted **least** by the proposals.

Stakeholders highlighted that access to Children's Centre services were difficult from certain areas across the catchment area, potentially resulting in a positive impact from an outreach model.

Aspirations and positivity

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

Local people having a say

There is no evidence to suggest the impact for this element differs from the general findings

