



Norfolk Police and Crime Panel

Minutes of the Meeting held on 5 February 2019 at 10am in the Edwards Room, County Hall, Norwich

Main Panel Members Present:

Mr W Richmond (Chairman)	Norfolk County Council
Mr Timothy Adams	Norfolk County Council
Mr Martin Storey	Norfolk County Council
Mr Nigel Dixon	North Norfolk District Council
Dr Christopher Kemp (Vice-Chairman)	South Norfolk Council
Mr Colin Manning	Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk
Miss Jade Martin	Great Yarmouth Borough Council
Mr Kevin Maguire	Norwich City Council
Mr Frank Sharpe	Breckland District Council
Mr Francis Whymark	Broadland District Council
Mr Peter Hill	Co-opted Independent Member
Air Commodore Kevin Pellatt	Co-opted Independent Member

Officers Present:

Mr Greg Insull	Assistant Head of Democratic Services, Norfolk County Council (NCC)
Mrs Jo Martin	Democratic Support and Scrutiny Team Manager, NCC

Others Present

Mr Simon Bailey	Chief Constable, Norfolk Constabulary
Mr Martin Barsby	Director of Communications and Engagement, Office of Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk (OPCCN)
Mr Lorne Green	Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) for Norfolk
Mr John Hummersone	Chief Finance Officer, OPCCN
Ms Sharon Lister	Director of Performance and Scrutiny, OPCCN
Mr Mark Stokes	Chief Executive, Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk, OPCCN
Mr Gavin Thompson	Director of Policy and Commissioning, OPCCN

1. To receive apologies and details of any substitute Members attending

- 1.1 Apologies had been received from Mrs Sarah Butikofer and Mr Mike Smith-Clare, substituted by Mr Timothy Adams and Miss Jade Martin respectively.

2. Members to Declare any Interests

- 2.1 There were no interests declared.

3. To receive any items of business which the Chairman decides should be considered as a matter of urgency

3.1 There were no items of urgent business.

4. Minutes

4.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 27 November 2018 were confirmed as a true and accurate record and signed by the Chair, subject to the amendment of removing Mr Francis Whymark from the present list as he had been absent.

5. Public Questions

5.1 No public questions had been received.

6. Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) for Norfolk's proposed police precept for 2019/20.

6.1 The Panel received the annexed report which outlined the budget and financial impact of the four 2019/20 precept proposals upon which the PCC consulted. The report also set out the Capital Programme and Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) 2019/20 to 2022/23, together with various strategies that must be published by the PCC. The Panel heard from the PCC who confirmed his proposal to increase the policing element of council tax in 2019-20 by 10.45% (an increase of £23.94 per year, which equates to £253.08 for a Band D property). A copy of his statement is attached at Appendix A.

6.2 The PCC's Chief Finance Officer introduced the PCC's budget report and in doing so highlighted the following points:

- a) If the PCC was to increase council tax by the maximum amount, it would reduce the deficit and make the budget more sustainable but additional savings would still need to be found.
- b) Some precept funding was required to fund the increased employer contributions to police pensions
- c) The funding settlement for PCCs was again a one-year only settlement, which continued to make the planning environment very challenging and resulted in a cautious approach to additional investment.
- d) For the first time, the medium term financial plan included modest savings derived from the 7 Force Collaboration arrangement.

6.3 The PCC's Director of Communications and Engagement outlined the consultation process which had been undertaken and provided an overview of the results, which had been circulated to Panel Members. A copy of the reports are attached at Appendix B.

6.4 In response to Panel Members' questions, the following points were noted:

- a. The online consultation did not have filters so that only Norfolk residents could respond, and it was purposely made this way to be digitally inclusive. There could be more than one vote per household too so that all members of a household could respond.

- b. When asked if money was being spent in the right place and whether the new model of Norfolk Constabulary was fit for purpose, the PCC confirmed that Norfolk was the eighth safest County in the country and had had been judged to be in the top quartile for efficiency by Her Majesty's Inspectorate. The Chief Constable added that the delivery model had to be re-designed to meet the demands of the Constabulary. The face of crime was changing and as a result the response to crimes had to adapt. He was proud of the way that the force was dealing with changing demand and workforce re-design. His priority was to protect and invest in the front line to keep Norfolk safe. He assured the Panel that the organisation was absolutely fit for purpose.
- c. The Chief Constable confirmed that low-level crimes such as burglary and theft were decreasing but violence and possession were increasing. The profile of crime was different to 25 years ago, with current challenges around violent crime and safeguarding. The Police workforce had to be redesigned and the model had to protect the County as a whole. Officers were being equipped with the best technology and the precept increase would be used where it was most appropriate, ensuring that resources were deployed in the right way across the county.
- d. The workforce was constantly being adapted to ensure it was fit for purpose. By the end of May, the full complement of Sergeants and Police Constables set out in the Norfolk 2020 model would be in post. Beat Managers and Special Constables were also being recruited. Initiatives were taking place to increase the visibility of the Police Force, but the Chief Constable explained that he could not commit to providing specific numbers of officers in specific places because he needed the freedom to flex his workforce to meet demand.
- e. Special Constables did not have access to personal body worn cameras, but they had access to a pool of them. There was a need to prioritise the greatest need dependent on resources and using an evidence base to ensure that Officers were equipped with the correct equipment.
- f. A joint memorandum of understanding had been signed by the Leader of Norfolk County Council and the PCC in January, which set out the principles of collaboration between the Constabulary and the Fire and Rescue Service and provided the framework within which a formal approach to working together would be developed. The PCC had also requested a seat on the Fire and Rescue Authority, which was subject to approval by the County Council. The collaboration was in three tiers, public scrutiny, an emergency services collaboration board and a series of operational level practitioner groups. The savings identified in the PCC's business case had been predicated on the implementation of a full set of outcomes over a ten-year period. The refreshed Collaboration Board would develop a programme over the coming months and follow the same process that would have applied if governance had moved to the PCC. Limited savings from estate rationalisation arising from collaboration were already reflected in the PCC's medium term financial plan.
- g. The Chief Constable explained that a balance needed to be found between the visibility of the Police Force and fitting the workforce to the current profile of crime. There were now more uniformed officers in the City than existed when Police Community Support Officers were in post and there was good engagement with schools. Meanwhile, however, the demand on child protection for example was growing which needed resourcing so the balance needed to be right.

- h. The changing balance of funding was recognised. There was a deliberate change in approach with the Government taking less and Local Government taking more.

6.3 The Panel:

- 1) **NOTED** the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk's proposed 2019/20 Revenue Budget and Capital Programme, the Medium Term Financial Plan 2019/20 to 2022/23, and the funding and financial strategies.
- 2) Unanimously **RESOLVED** to **SUPPORT** the PCC's proposed precept for 2019/20 and **AGREED** that the Chairman would write to the PCC to confirm the decision, by way of a report.

7. Police and Fire Collaboration – local business case update

7.1 The Panel had received an oral update from the Chief Executive as part of item 6. Please see minute 6.4f.

7.2 The Panel **NOTED** the update.

8. Police and Crime Plan for Norfolk 2016-2020 – performance monitoring

8.1 The Panel received the annexed report (8) which provided an overview of the progress made against delivering two of the strategic priorities within the Norfolk Police and Crime Plan 2016-2020, since its publication in March 2017. The report also provided the Panel with the latest metrics for the two strategic priorities; priority 5: support victims and reduce vulnerability and priority 6: deliver a modern and innovative service.

8.2 In response to Panel Members' questions, the following points were noted:

- a. Schools found the MASH (Multi-Agenda Safeguarding Hubs) extremely valuable to work alongside. There was good work taking place in those areas. Demand in the child protection area was broadly being met by working closely with other agencies in that landscape.
- b. With the introduction of Claire's Law which offers a personal interview with a police officer, this could have resource implications on the Force. There were competing demands, but this was evidence of practicalities not being taken into account.
- c. Figures relating to referrals into the Victim Support Service under the Norfolk And Suffolk Victim Care Service showed a disproportionate number being offered to those in a heterosexual relationship, but it was confirmed that the service was available to all. Although this was just referrals into the service, but it was being monitored under the domestic abuse agenda and the PCC's hidden victim fund sought to address such issues.
- d. With regards to the Offensive Weapons Bill, the Association of Police and Crime Commissioners had been engaged.
- e. The solved rate for rapes and sexual offences as identified on page 130 of the agenda was noted as concerning. The Chief Constable explained that many victims choose not to go through with the prosecution. The Crown Prosecution

Service had confirmed that there had been a significant uplift in demand of these types of cases.

- 8.9 The Panel **NOTED** the update about progress with delivering the Police and Crime Plan for Norfolk 2016-2020.

9. PCC Complaints Monitoring Report

- 9.1 The Panel received the annexed report (9) which updated the Panel with the monitoring information from the PCC's Chief Executive and Norfolk County Council's Head of Democratic Services about complaints relating to the conduct of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk (PCC).

- 9.2 The Panel **NOTED** the regular monitoring information.

10. Complaints Policy Sub-Panel - Update

- 10.1 The Panel received an update from the Chairman of the Complaints Policy Sub-Panel.

- 10.2 The Panel noted that, referring to p146, para 2.5a of the agenda papers, it would impose a considerable burden on the Police Forces across the Country if this definition of a complaint would stand. Representation needed to be made to the Home Office on the matter as it was unrealistic.

- 10.3 The Panel **AGREED**;

1. To formally ask OPCCN to include Sub Panel members in any relevant training it provides during the mobilisation period for police complaints reforms.
2. To formally ask OPCCN to provide a regular report on police super-complaints to the Complaints Policy Sub Panel.
3. To endorse a review of the current PCC Conduct Complaints procedure by the Sub Panel.

11. Information Bulletin – questions arising to the PCC

- 11.1 The Panel received the information bulletin which summarised both the decisions taken by the PCC and the range of his activity since the last Panel meeting. The PCC confirmed that he had met with Suffolk's PC within the previous 10 days.

- 11.2 The Panel **NOTED** the information bulletin.

12. Work Programme

- 12.1 The Panel **AGREED** the proposed work programme.

Meeting ended at 11.45pm

**Mr William Richmond, Chairman,
Norfolk Police and Crime Panel**



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language, please contact Customer Services on 0344 800 8020, or Text Relay on 18001 800 8020 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

PCC's Words to Panel

Chairman, Panel Members, you will have heard me say before that setting the policing budget and making the decision whether to raise the policing element of people's Council Tax is one of the most difficult parts of my role.

It is not a decision I ever take lightly.

I remain sensitive to the financial pressures on the taxpayers of Norfolk when coming to my decision. As ever, it is my duty to balance the burden on local taxpayers with the safety of our county.

In preparation for my budget decision over the past few months, I have listened to the views of the community, the Chief Constable, key stakeholders and partners in the police, community safety and local criminal justice arenas.

A key part of that activity has been a consultation gathering public views on the policing budget and what Norfolk's residents and businesses would be willing to pay to help fund the service they receive.

With your permission, I would like to invite the Chief Constable to give a brief overview of the demand/resource equation for our constabulary as he has put it to me, and then the Chief Finance Officer to describe the financial position.

After that, I will invite my Communications and Engagement Director to tell you of my outreach to the Norfolk community and what they have said.

And then I will turn to my resultant considerations and conclusion.

When I assumed office three years ago, I pledged to give every man, woman and child in our county the opportunity to influence local policing where they live. That is why I have regarded it both as my duty and my privilege to consult widely by reaching out to the Norfolk community where they live and work – in the market squares, at the entrance to supermarkets, in the coffee shops, from Downham to Dereham, Wymondham to Great Yarmouth.

We are fortunate in our county to have such a committed, highly-skilled police force. One of the top forces, rated nationally as outstanding for efficiency. We live in one of the safest counties in the country. All this in the face of major cuts in available resources in past years. It is to the great credit of the chief officers, the officers and staff of our constabulary that they have driven massive efficiencies while providing us with such impressive service.

We are stewards of the public's resources; we have a duty to use the taxes they contribute with the utmost care. We must always look first to maximise efficiency.

A guiding principle for me is to trust, but verify. I have gone through the books with the Chief Finance Officer. I have reviewed the estates strategy. I have challenged the Chief Constable to demonstrate a continuing programme of efficiencies. I have tested the invest-to-save concept. I have worked with fellow Police and Crime Commissioners in the region to carry forward opportunities for greater effectiveness and efficiency through deeper collaboration.

I have listened carefully to the chief officers as they have described to me the policing challenges they face, and their resource requirements to meet those challenges.

I have made direct representations to the Government to impress on ministers the major challenges we face in Norfolk in an era of increasing and more complex demands on our constabulary, and on partner, public and private agencies.

I have communicated direct with the Home Secretary, Police and Fire Minister and Chief Secretary to the Treasury.

I am pleased to say that central government has increased its contribution to Norfolk policing by £1.6 million for the coming year. It also has agreed to absorb £1.6 million of the £2.1 million employer contributions to pensions and to raise the precept cap for policing to £24 a year on a Band D property.

I have heard loud and clear from the men, women and children of our county that not only do they want crime to be prevented and the law upheld – they also want the assurance that comes from visible policing, from neighbourhood policing.

No one likes to pay more for less. I have heard our Norfolk community say in convincing numbers that they are prepared to pay more for policing, but they want to see more policing for their money.

The Chief Constable has said that a precept freeze for 2019/20 would, inevitably, lead to significant savings needing to be found. Savings equivalent to approximately 90 officers.

With a 15 pence per week increase to the policing element of council tax – based on a Band D property – further savings would still be required. Savings equivalent to at least 45 officers.

With a 31 pence per week increase, we would be able to stand still, and maintain the roll-out of the Norfolk 2020 policing model, but there would be very limited opportunity to increase officer numbers or invest in technology.

An extra 46 pence per week on a Band D household would, the Chief Constable tells me, allow significant investment in the frontline, with an increase of 40 officers. It would provide an opportunity to further invest in technology, such as drones, but more particularly in technology which will enhance the Constabulary's digital forensic capability to investigate crime more efficiently and effectively.

The people of Norfolk have listened to this information and more than two-thirds of those who responded to my public consultation over the past four weeks said they were prepared to pay more. Of those, 70 percent said they were prepared to pay the maximum £24 annual increase on a Band D property to see this investment.

In May 2016, when I assumed office, there were 1,476 fully-warranted police officers in Norfolk. With what I am proposing today, there would be 1,550 – more warranted police officers in our county than three years ago.

What I am proposing today will also mean there will be a greater number of people dedicated to county policing activity than we had immediately before the loss of the 150 PCSO positions.

The budget I propose today takes into account the feedback I have received from Norfolk's communities, Norfolk Constabulary, key organisations and partner agencies.

The budget I propose today allows me to invest in policing in Norfolk both now and in the future.

I have concluded that the public safety of our county can best be assured by proposing an increase in the policing element of council tax of 46 pence per week on a Band D property. 47% of households in Norfolk fall within Band B and C so, for them, the increase will be lower.

**Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner
Budget Consultation 2019/20 Results**

Summary

1. The Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) has a statutory duty to consult Norfolk people on his proposals ahead of setting the policing budget and, with it, how much they will contribute through council tax.
2. The Panel has received a report detailing the method, timescales and key dates for the 2019/20 police budget consultation.
3. The information below provides members with an overview of the consultation results.

1. Results of the public consultation

- 1.1 The 2019/20 police budget and council tax consultation took the form of a survey - available both online and in hard copy – with people also having the opportunity to submit their views by email or letter.
- 1.2 In total, 1,172 people took part in the consultation.
- 1.3 1,114 people completed the survey, either online or in hard copy, with five of those respondents contacting the OPCCN by telephone to be taken through the survey.
- 1.4 For responses to the consultation by letter or email (where the survey was not taken), if an explicit preference for or against an increase in police council tax was expressed, this information has been included in the figures at 1.9 below.
- 1.5 The OPCCN received 44 responses to the consultation via email to the dedicated consultation inbox, 31 of which were explicitly in support of or against paying more (see appendix A)
- 1.6 Three letters were received – one of which explicitly showed support for an increase, with two objecting to paying more. (see appendix A).
- 1.7 Some 11 people commented on consultation-related tweets and posts sent from the official Norfolk PCC Twitter and Facebook accounts. Two specifically stated they would not be prepared to pay more for policing in Norfolk (see appendix A).

1.8 All comments received to the consultation by whatever means of communication were provided to the PCC to help inform his 2019/20 policing budget decisions.

1.9 Recurring themes/messages within the comments included:

- A willingness to pay more as long as the funds raised are used on the frontline, to increase officer numbers and police visibility/presence.
- People saying they are prepared to pay more – and some would go higher than the maximum proposed increase - if they see an improvement in the policing service delivered in their area.
- Views that investment in policing, and emergency services in general, is needed and to be supported, due to increasing crime and so that communities get the service they need.
- Calls for Norfolk’s policing service to be funded properly, with a number of comments saying this responsibility should fall on central government, not the taxpayer.
- Questions around where the funds from last year’s council tax increase went and a perception that promises were not kept in relation to the new policing model, removal of the PCSO role and recruitment of additional officers.
- Concern about a lack of police visibility and resource in rural areas, and an unwillingness to pay more if policing focus remains on urban areas.
- Concerns that the issues affecting Norfolk’s communities on a day-to-day basis are not being addressed.
- People saying they are not prepared or able to pay more due to living costs increasing and, with them, the financial pressures on Norfolk residents.
- Calls for efficiency savings, better use of resources and changes to management structures, as well comments about money spent on equipment and police vehicles.
- Comments that the funding for the PCC role would be better served going into the policing budget.

1.10 Breakdown of responses:

Responses to 2019/20 police budget consultation				
	Total	Number answering survey Q1	Yes to paying more	No to paying more
Survey (online/ hard copy)	1,114	1,114	762	352
Email	44	31	25	6
Letter	3	3	1	2
Social media comments	11	2	0	2

	Number answering survey Q2	Prepared to pay up to 15p per week extra	Prepared to pay up to 31p per week extra	Prepared to pay up to 46p per week extra
Survey (online/ hard copy)	763	97	136	530
Email	17	0	0	17
Letter	1	0	0	1
Social media comments	0	0	0	0

- 1.11 Via the online survey some 391 comments were made in response to Question 1 and 193 in response to Question 2 (see appendix B)
- 1.12 In the interests of being open and transparent, a complete list of consultation responses will be published on the Norfolk PCC website. As part of the OPCCN's analysis of responses, any identifying information within comments (such as names, email addresses etc.), as well as any abusive language will have been removed.
- 1.13 Two additional hard copies of the survey were received into the office on Thursday 31 January 2019 and were not added to the final count as the consultation had closed.

2. Conclusion

- 2.1 The results of the police budget 2019/20 consultation show that 68.5% of those who took part said they would be prepared to pay more for policing in Norfolk.
- 2.2 Of the 68.5% of people who said they would be prepared to pay more, 12.5% stated that they would pay up to an extra 15p per week (£8 per year), 17.5% said that they would pay an extra 31p per week (£16 per year) and 70% said they would be prepared to pay an extra 46p per week (£24 per year).
- 2.3 Throughout the four week consultation the PCC visited all seven policing districts to gather views from local residents, with any completed surveys collected during the PCC's visits added to the running total.
- 2.4 The table below shows response rates to the survey (both online and in hard copy) by district.

3. What district in Norfolk do you live in?		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Breckland	11.04%	123
2	Broadland	15.53%	173
3	Great Yarmouth	7.09%	79
4	North Norfolk	18.04%	201
5	Norwich	14.18%	158
6	South Norfolk	19.66%	219
7	West Norfolk	14.45%	161
		answered	1114
		skipped	0

2.5 Panel members are asked to note the results of the public consultation.

3. Appendices

Appendix A – Social media comments, email and letter responses

Appendix B – Survey comments

Appendix C – PCC community engagement




OFFICE OF THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR NORFOLK

Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner Budget Consultation 2019/20 Results

Appendix A: Social media comments, email and letter responses

Social media comments – Budget Consultation 2019/20

Not having a commissioner would save money that could be used for more frontline Police.
@CCNorfolkPolice @NorfolkPolice What, you've used up all the savings made from last year's redundancies already?
Do you not understand the hardship that many people are going through? We are fed up of paying more for less under the guise of austerity. Get rid of your position and your office and put that money into the police budget.
Only if the force got PCSO's back!! No police presence around rurally ever, unless the royal are in town
On this occasion - no. I need my money. I work in the NHS. I need every spare penny I earn for my family.
How much will this consultation cost? The equivalent of what.... 2, 3 or 4 PCSOs? Asking for a concerned County.
Perhaps we could manage without a PCC and put the savings back into front line policing?
You're going to put it up anyway, because of your Tory austerity!
If @theresa_may & @ukhomeoffice did their jobs #WASPI and all the local councils would have enough money. Mismanagement of Public money by successive governments. About time MSM get the actual costs of the asylum #scammers from 2015-2018. No more. @the_tpa @Telegraph
Nope I can't even afford the council tax as it is everything keeps going up in outgoings but I come doesn't the government should be paying more and stop cutting funding all the time
How about getting on to his Tory masters in central government about the massive cuts to his budget, instead of just sucking up the cuts and expecting the public to pay more for a hugely reduced service.



12/1/19

Dear Mr Green,

In response to an article in "Your local paper" this household would be very happy to pay the £24 PA Band D equivalent but only if we get more police officers.

Yours sincerely




RECEIVED
15 JAN 2019
OFFICE OF THE POLICE AND CRIME
COMMISSIONER FOR NORFOLK

0% INCREASE
C. TAX


BECAUSE THE POLICE
FULLY ADMITTED DEALING
WITH KNOWN
AND NOT GENERAL PUBLIC

Fol.



MR GREEN
JUBILEE HOUSE
BUILDING 8
FALCONERS CHASE
WYTONDHAM
NR 18 0LW.

RECEIVED
28 JAN 2018
OFFICE OF THE POLICE AND CRIME
COMMISSIONER FOR NORFOLK



24-01-18.

DEAR MR GREEN.

PLEASE NOTE, AS MUCH AS I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE MORE IN COUNCIL TAX & OTHER THINGS, EVERY TIME SOMETHING GOES UP MY FOOD OR HEATING SUFFER, AS OTHER BILLS HAVE TO BE PAID IE RENT ELECTRIC - WATER ETC! AS IM ON A VERY LOW PENSION I WOULD NOT LIKE TO PAY MORE ON MY COUNCIL TAX. AS IT IS WE DO NOT SEE POLICE ABOUT MUCH AS IT IS, ONLY IN CARS.

SO MY FINAL REPLY IS NO.

YOURS SINCERELY



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council tax increases
Date: 29 January 2019 16:36:21

My opinion is that you should increase council tax by whatever amount necessary to be able to employ the officers you need to protect the public and properties of Norfolk. In this case, you mention 46p per week. I am unsure of how helpful canvassing the public's opinion is. You would need full representation of a cross section of public rather than just a handful who respond. It seems to me that you should be prepared to make the decision on behalf of Norfolk.

Regards

[REDACTED]
West Raynham

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Objection to paying more council tax
Date: 28 January 2019 12:33:14

I object to having to pay more council tax, which I can barely afford to pay at the moment as it is.

I'm not getting a payrise so why should I have to pay more council tax because of your mismanagement of the money you have already have?

Also the online survey about this matter, has been taken down a day earlier than it should have should have been. What is the reasoning behind this?

I would also like to point out that when I was 14/15 I went to the Hunstanton police to give a statement for an assault that I saw happen to one of my class mates at the time, and instead of giving a statement, I was searched, without a parent or guardian present, which I believe should have been there as I was underage, and accused of bringing a knife in to a police station which was 100% false and slanderous towards me. Why should I have to pay more for the police that have continuously acted inappropriately in this area?

When the police actually start to act like the police and not a group of thugs who think that they are above the law, then and only then might I start to think about paying for so they can have a payrise and a larger budget.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police Budget 2019/2020
Date: 23 January 2019 10:44:47

Good morning Lorne

You are asking the same question as for the last 2 years. You have had your consultation evening and maybe you now have an update on what the public think.

The predictions you and Simon Bailey make look extreme at both ends. I recall that last year the Chief Constable said that if you got the additional funding - which you did, we would see more police on the streets. The only time I have seen police on the streets in Fakenham was the Sunday before Christmas around lunchtime when it was very quiet. There were two together. Before or since - none.

I do still consider that when funding is so tight the Police should cope with a very small increase, and not ask for above inflation increases. Without extra funding your predictions are dire (extreme in my view). Please look at where bureaucracy can be reduced and at the same time concentrate on areas the public want to make their lives safer - but NOT pursue areas such as Hate Crime which I do understand is being loaded on to the Police by an incompetent Home Office.

I recently saw that the Met has over 900 officers pursuing hate crime - at a time when knife and gun crimes in the capital are so very high - ridiculous.

Best regards

[REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avast.com

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police Connect/Contact from [REDACTED]
Date: 17 January 2019 09:41:57

Hi I contact with an opinion after reading the letter received from [REDACTED] recently.....

Both my wife and I, having read the letter from [REDACTED], would like to make it known that we very much support the option in the letter that is last on the list of four. This with regards to in increase of £24 pounds a year.

We both regard the work of our local constabulary as vital to our continued well being. Keep up the good work.

Regards [REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Thank you
Date: 15 January 2019 18:52:10

Dear Lorne,

Firstly, I have voted in your survey and am most definitely happy to pay more for policing (46p per week).

I wanted to thank you for the letter you have written to MPs in the County asking them to support Finns Law. I have supported Finns Law since the terrible injuries to Finn. My MP, Norman Lamb, has also been supportive.

Through Finn's handler, Dave Wardell, via Twitter, I have learnt a huge amount, not just about police dogs but policing in general. I sincerely hope you can get the financial increase that is desperately needed.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Increase in Council Tax for Police
Date: 15 January 2019 13:00:10

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

NO I am not willing to pay more for Police. Council Tax is already too high and is an UNFAIR tax as it bears no resemblance to ability to pay.

I would suggest 2 ways to have more money for police

- 1)Get the Government to properly fund the police from general taxation
- 2)Do away with your Office and You

Regards

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.

For more information please visit [https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?](https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=3FB83207-7F7E-BD05-BF9C-FD08DEC2D39E&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-2d518c6c988d311df14f3b48efbb152d54fa9936)

[url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=3FB83207-7F7E-BD05-BF9C-](https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=3FB83207-7F7E-BD05-BF9C-FD08DEC2D39E&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-2d518c6c988d311df14f3b48efbb152d54fa9936)

[FD08DEC2D39E&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-](https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=3FB83207-7F7E-BD05-BF9C-FD08DEC2D39E&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-2d518c6c988d311df14f3b48efbb152d54fa9936)

[2d518c6c988d311df14f3b48efbb152d54fa9936](https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=3FB83207-7F7E-BD05-BF9C-FD08DEC2D39E&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-2d518c6c988d311df14f3b48efbb152d54fa9936)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: RE: Would you pay more council tax for policing in Norfolk? PCC invites you to have your say.
Date: 15 January 2019 09:30:44

Dear Mr Lorne,

I write this as I am very strongly opposed to paying an increase in Council Tax again this year for policing.

Why is this government funded department any different to every other government department in that it cannot manage appropriately within its own resources?

I.e. What stops every other department dictating (which is effectively what you are doing albeit behind the smokescreen of welcoming tax payers / residents opinions) from adding extra costs to the council tax bill as you are going to do I am without any doubt...

I know so many stories, including my own unfortunate experience, where theft of items is not looked into at all.

My personal opinion is you have to deal with the `small scale stuff` as if left unchecked the perpetrator becomes `larger` and the frequency becomes accelerated and the effect on the public becomes extrapolated.

`Travellers` appear to be able to do what they want because they wont be apprehended.

There is talk of a certain person locally who is responsible for large scale theft, caught on HD CCTV, and no apparent action taken by the police so the same large scale theft was repeated a few weeks later and again no apparent action by the police despite being caught on the HD CCTV again.

The same person is running a business apparently with stolen vehicles and plant worth thousands of pounds and apparently also responsible for the murder of a young lad several years ago but somehow seems to have total immunity from the police.

Note: I do not know this person but others have talked about these issues and questioned how it continues apparently unchecked.

False accusations - seems even when this can be proved that the police are not interested in reviewing and are only interested in the accused.

Mobile phone usage whilst driving seems to go almost unchecked and every day I see examples of this and mobile telephone calls etc being made.

I applaud this national speeding campaign that we are currently in but I haven't met anyone yet who has seen a mobile speed unit during last week or this week so far. Is it happening.

Lastly, on the negatives, I can evidence to you how the police will not acknowledge a complaint against themselves which can be categorically proven correct within minutes of their time.

I will however finish on a positive, because it is a genuine thank you, in that on a personal level individual police officers can be absolutely amazing in what and how they perform their duties and this I say from my own and others experiences that have been recounted to me.

It is a tough job that is performed and you have my respect for undertaking it but its not always done correctly?

Similarly, why not spread the word more of the successes you do achieve so that at least good citizens know you are achieving things for their benefit.

Best regards,

██████████

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=69B8A679-7F7B-D005-B9B5-46F067DD61B4&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-1b7ca06ebae978ef1997eb8edabb8f2c19f63049>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Additional feedback taken over the telephone 140118 (budget consultation)
Date: 14 January 2019 16:34:24

Call from [REDACTED] at 16.20pm on Monday 14 January 2019.

Richard asks where he can find straightforward information about how the budget (and people's council tax contributions) is currently spent. He says the information on the PCC website is either too technical or too vague when it comes to how funds are used and what they deliver for the public.

[REDACTED] says he has completed the survey and made some reference to this in the comment he left – but wanted to elaborate.

=====

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk

[REDACTED] || w: www.norfolk-pcc.gov.uk

Follow us on: [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#)



Would you pay more council tax to help fund policing in Norfolk next year?
2019/20 police budget and council tax consultation now live – [Have your say here now](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Increase in council tax.
Date: 13 January 2019 00:12:24

No I do not wish to pay extra tax for a failing police force or to pay for your fat cat pensions so you can retire earlier than every body else.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: WEDNESDAY'S MEETING AT THE FORUM
Date: 11 January 2019 09:06:35

For Lorne Green:

Good evening,

I was unsure if my comments came over as the intended compliment:

'In the past there seemed to be a policy of ***MORE MONEY FOR MORE OF THE SAME.***
Now in the present it is ***MORE MONEY FOR NEW IDEAS AND INITIATIVES
COMBINED WITH STRICT MANAGEMENT CONTROLS.'***

This great credit to you and Simon Bailey, it showed with a more relaxed and confident manner at public meetings. It was a welcome change from former platitudes about Norfolk Police being the greatest with minuscule levels of crime -a bit like Cassius Clay. Such utterances did little for the Norfolk Constabulary though may have made the old Police Authority feel better.

There was one comment at the meeting that rang true about witness intimidation though wisely you did not pursue the personal aspects of the matter.

In view of the new style of policing I would be happy to see the full amount added to our Council Tax but suggest that in a years time details are given of how the extra funding has been deployed.

All in all it was good evening with the Constabulary 'facing the music' without taking offence at some of the more outlandish or irrelevant comments. I felt the audience were won over with common sense. Perhaps there needs to be some caution in that the desired solutions will not be achieved overnight. Dealing with crime is a constant and changing battle?

I wish you both well in your endeavours.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=9A066D54-7F2B-0305-BE11-65EAA0EBD3B1&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-735611e3926259d259973eeee1a390e9e6c09d81>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: more policing
Date: 10 January 2019 07:04:40

From [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Yes I would be willing to pay more council tax to have more policing.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=592D1D8E-7F15-3105-B190-5DC1ECA05944&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-93033dc8a8b8cd8f7e79722c6b2cc81fcfb3764>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Re: Would you pay more for policing in Norfolk? Consultation now live.
Date: 09 January 2019 10:38:04

Dear Mr Greene,


I had said last year that I would support and increase in local taxes to be spent on policing. My only proviso was that the public should be kept informed on how any monies were actually spent. Real policing as opposed to perceived trends.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Norwich

On Wednesday, 2 January 2019, 12:58:10 GMT, Norfolk Police and Crime Commissioner
<opccn@norfolk.pnn.police.uk> wrote:

[View this email in your browser](#)



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Asking taxpayers for more funding for Police
Date: 08 January 2019 14:47:05

Dear Sir,

Your email suggests 4 options for the Police Precept.

It would be very helpful to know what is the current level (1918-1919) of the Police Precept per Band D property.

We may then calculate how the various increases suggested by your 4 options compare with the current rate of inflation.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Date: 08 January 2019 14:31:35

Dear Mr. Green,

My wife and I have recently seen the newspaper article regarding the public consultation on police funding.

In this respect we wish to advise that we have no objections whatsoever to a £24.00 annual increase in council tax to specifically fund police services. It would be good, among other things, to perhaps see a police officer in Snettisham now and again.

We hope that the consultation will prove successful.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Increasing Council Tax for Policing
Date: 07 January 2019 21:10:09

I would fully support the maximum increase suggested which represents £24 per annum. Fortunately, I am able to afford to pay this increased amount and would happily do so if it strengthened the Police force within the County.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police budget problem.
Date: 07 January 2019 14:45:50

Dear Mr Green, the logical answer to the question of paying more precept to help enable necessary police resourcing has to be a yes, and **I think the top level of proposed increase would be appropriate.**

However, the budget problem across Local Authorities is much wider than the police's problems alone. Of course the police budget is one that has to be addressed urgently if crime is to be managed properly, however the only sensible approach for LA's' budgets in general to be brought up to viable levels is to deal with the **need for far greater tax revenue to Central Government** coffers. I and many people with whom I have discussed the taxation situation in recent years believe that the government has to implement major increases to the level of taxation currently being paid.

The obvious way to push Central Government to make major changes that can enable adequate funding to be made available so the many essential public services can do their job effectively, is for all the **senior executives and departmental heads of LA's' and other public bodies throughout the UK to get together and lobby the government to sort out the current debacle.**

Surely these LA senior officers have a duty to persuade, indeed exert considerable pressure on Central Government to do what is necessary [after all, they are supposed to be public servants with a responsibility to deliver the support that parishioners need and are entitled to], as the quality of essential services has declined rapidly in recent years often due to incompetent management right through to the PM. Everyone has to realise that we must accept the fact that a great deal more money has to be raised to adequately fund all of these public services, and Joe Public and businesses have to foot the bill !

All Local Authorities should join together to lobby the Government vigorously on these issues, strongly emphasising the need to increase taxation rates for profitable businesses [especially those who get away with not paying the level of tax that they ought to pay, e.g. some of the big international companies who dodge paying tax by methods such as being based overseas], and all of the obscenely overpaid individuals who earn millions of pounds a year, or derive large amounts of income from investments of various types.

Back in the late 60s' / 70s' there was a top tax rate of, I believe, 98%. **Why therefore should the Government not bring in again a structure that demands high tax rate bands for high income 'earners' and commercial organisations.**

Tax for individuals with an income above the 40% level [£150,000] could [for example] be increased in bands of 5% for every individual earning over £150,000 p.a. up to a maximum tax rate of 90%.

This could mean that someone earning over £1,650,000 p.a. will pay 90% tax for all taxable income above that level. Yes, that would be highly controversial, and lots of fuss would be made about losing key executives or entrepreneurs moving overseas, but there is little doubt that many are not worthy of their ridiculously high incomes, as there are plenty of lower paid individuals who could do the top job just as well or even better.

Corporation tax could also be rated on a similar basis.

Individual greed and 'commercial' greed are a major blight on society internationally, and it's sad that anyone should need an annual income in excess of £500,000 + for them to be able to live very comfortably!

Regards & Good Luck!



This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: 2019budget
Date: 07 January 2019 14:04:09

The

Sent from my iPad
41per week is acceptable providing that all of the money is allocated to the
PCC.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Rise in community charge
Date: 07 January 2019 13:30:50

Hell Lorne First off you do a far far better job than your predecessor.
I have listened to you speak a couple of times all good sensible stuff.
Yes £0-46 p seems good value to me.
Regards [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police precept
Date: 07 January 2019 12:59:26

Dear Mr Green,

We would both be happy to pay the maximum increase of 46ppw in the precept for policing.

Despite a lowering of our confidence in the impartiality of, and respect for, the service, we believe that an increase in the visibility and availability of police personnel could have a very positive effect on crime, general public perception and good community.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Precept increase
Date: 07 January 2019 10:56:01

Up until now we have been very fortunate with relatively low crime rates in North Norfolk. However, this is changing and I would have thought £46.00 per year (less than a tank full of petrol or 6 packets of cigarettes) would easily be manageable for the vast majority.

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Funding
Date: 07 January 2019 10:29:37

I support the maximum increase to help support our police

When 999 calls are going unanswered, we need to raise more cash somehow

Sent from my iPhone

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=C5996E3B-7EDB-B405-9DF7-559311F2D124&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-a050b8078f653f3a8148d60f29471fa875eb17f5>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Increase in Council tax
Date: 07 January 2019 10:24:14

Hi,

I would not have a problem with an increase in council tax that would help fully fund the police to the numbers we need to be safe and to make us feel secure on the streets and in our houses.

I think it would be a good idea for the Police Force to use a good PR company to put a positive spin on any extra spending and results so that the public feel they are getting their monies worth.

There always seems to be a lot of negative feed from the press about the few times things go wrong or loosing support officers etc.

On the whole I think most people like to have a local officer that you have a name for or even a rotation of officers.

We have an officer Ian Smith that serves in Sheringham, he is helpful , personable and visible. If the small towns and villages got to know their officers they would feel better about an increase in Council tax to cover this.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Proposed CFouncil tax increases
Date: 07 January 2019 10:16:51

Dear Mr Green

You spoke recently at our Rotary club - and I respond to your circular today.

I support a £2/month increase in the tax to finance an improvement in policing in Norfolk.

But this must be to fund additional officers out and about and to generate more efficient back-up and improve technology, but not to increase admin staff

Comment heard at a town breakfast meeting in Aylsham yesterday : " haven't seen an officer in town for ages" : but, " great to see PCs and cadets at the town lights on event" !

Thanks for your efforts

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Questionnaire
Date: 06 January 2019 12:49:29

On a whole the general opinion of the police is inefficient bordering on useless.
For years a young [REDACTED] used to race up and down the road on small motor bikes,being a danger to all.
I contacted the police several times but nothing got done about it,I even send a video of his behaviour to Norfolk police.
Two officers came to see me if they could fit a camera in my window to monitor him.
That has all stopped now as you caught him and send him to Norwich prison.
The final straw was when one of the [REDACTED] started scrapping a car on the corner opposite my house half on the pavement half on the road.
Actually taking the car apart and putting the parts on a scrap yards truck.
I phoned the police and got Sorry we have got no squad cars available to deal with this.
But still there were cars in the Yarmouth station yard.
I phoned the council and some one came out straight a way.
So you want to know if I would pay more for the police in my rates,no I would not until things improve one hell of a lot.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Paying more tax for policing in Norfolk.
Date: 04 January 2019 19:45:09

Before I agreed to this I would need to know that what ever extra I would have to pay would put lead to an increased police presence around rural villages, on roads in areas like Belton Norfolk where the younger element of drivers on the road be it in a car or on a motorcycle could be prosecuted for speeding as in this village outside of Great Yarmouth its getting more dangerous to be a law abiding motorist when your driving at 30 mph at the specified speed limit, only to be overtaken by a young person doing twice that speed in a modified banger that could end up killing the younger driver and anyone else who may be a victim of dangerous driving.

We need MORE officers on the beat and if paying a little extra to get them is what is required, then so be it. However, I will be against paying more if what ever extra the tax payer does end up paying , is squandered on things that may be considered of lesser importance.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Raising precept
Date: 04 January 2019 11:06:17

Simply put...

I simply do not believe that raising the precept by 46p will increase the police officers by 40.
How do we have a guarantee that this will happen – it won't.
I live in Brooke, and I do not see a police officer from one month end to the next, except when they are travelling through the village in a police car.

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police precept
Date: 04 January 2019 09:20:40

Dear Mr Green.

If an increased budget would be in part spent on increased policing of speed limits and anti-social motoring I would be happy to support the increased precept of 46p week or its annual equivalent.

Your letter of Jan 4 ('... not least through the survey on the Norfolk PCC website') suggests there is a feedback form or similar on the website; I cannot find it.

I think your boys and girls do a good job in general and I have no specific issues apart from the above. However if policing doesn't get better it gets worse and thus I am in favour of an increase in precept.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=A27A339C-7E9E-6405-8722-A359BC7246F0&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-8f744bb8fce6a1c2b8869f7c251fee5c526a7161>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council Tax Increase for Policing
Date: 04 January 2019 08:21:03

I would be happy to pay for additional policing providing it results in more officers on the street. This would allow officers to enforce more minor laws such as youngsters riding bikes on pavements or in predestinarian areas and people parking their cars inappropriately. This will then prevent the next generation from growing up thinking they can do as they want and moving from these minor areas of infringement to more damaging ones. It is sad to think the respect for the police which my generation grew up with has been lost due to there simply not being sufficient numbers of officers on the street to provide the visible deterrent required.

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council Tax Increase
Date: 04 January 2019 06:20:46

Good morning

My daughter is a 999 response officer, who previously has been attacked. I think if more people were aware of the low levels of officers we have on our streets they would be happy to pay 46p per week. Obviously I understand we cannot advertise that fact due to the high number of individuals that would take advantage of the fact! No win situation isn't it!

Basically non of use have control over our council tax bill, so why is it not just increased by the small amount to add the those additional numbers, especially after loosing the pcso's?

Good luck in your attempts, as far as I am concerned we need all the police officers we can find!

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Policing
Date: 03 January 2019 17:32:52

Whilst accepting that we ought to be willing to increase the percept for policing I would hope that it could be ring-fenced for beat officers not supervisory and management or support admin staff.

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: support extra police
Date: 03 January 2019 16:49:28

thank you for your email message.yes, I would be prepared to pay an extra 46p pr week to get better police cover.

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=D3B1BFE8-7E90-8B05-990F-7260FD3946D3&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-5d3a9894df6697571ef6429caf3d9379b7a206db>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: increase in police funding
Date: 03 January 2019 12:19:10

My wife and I would be more than happy to increase our council tax payment by at least the maximum you suggest, assuming that the money would be spent on front-line policing of real crimes such as burglary and assault, and the prevention of crime through an increased police presence. We would not want it wasted on unnecessary measures such as policing lower speed limits. Current measures in that area are perfectly adequate, and many of them are unnecessary.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Policing charges
Date: 03 January 2019 11:12:56

Dear Mr Green,

Could you please remind council taxpayers how much you wasted on your egotistical bid to snatch control of the county's fire and rescue service. Wouldn't mind betting the money haemorrhaged on this vanity project could've been put better use at a time when our hard-working police officers are stretched to the limit.

Regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police Budget consultation
Date: 03 January 2019 11:09:47

Dear sir

I am a local Parish councillor and am certainly interested to ensure a suitable level of policing. I am happy to pay a little more for this to be achieved. I think however one needs to be aware of the following. Government can increase taxes as it wishes by as much as it wishes with no consideration as to the rises in income (or more likely that lack of them) for those of us who have to pay it. The government should live within its means as we have to do.

The one area of policing that I am extremely concerned about is the amount of police activity nationally being used to suppress our freedom of speech. I am NOT happy to pay for officers to sit reading Twitter etc all day. I understand from the press that Cressida Dick in London has 900 officers spending their days reading Twitter to fish for "hate Crime". Maybe these officers should be deployed to reduce the unacceptable levels of Knife Crime in the capitol. The level of trust in the police is falling in my view because of this free speech issue. I understand that there are many issues that government does not wish to hear discussed as it is politically inconvenient but that is the price of democracy which, sadly, we no longer have. I do accept that the level of "hate" crime investigations in Norfolk are small but there is a national perception about the police service regarding this issue that maybe should be addressed.

With very best wishes

[REDACTED]


This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Re: Would you pay more for policing in Norfolk? Consultation now live.
Date: 03 January 2019 10:16:38

i would pay more for a proper police service but i would not want to pay any more for the service we are getting in caister on sea we see a verylimited service i would sugest that the police logo on your vehicles should say our priority is saving money

From: Norfolk Police and Crime Commissioner
Sent: Wednesday, January 2, 2019 12:57 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Would you pay more for policing in Norfolk? Consultation now live.

[View this email in your browser](#)



From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police
Date: 02 January 2019 23:03:30

You have already taken away our pcsos in Norfolk and our neighbourhood team is already stretched. Put the money you get from council tax into the right areas of policing to help the vulnerable people. Already it has been successful in Norwich with people using an email specifically to Norwich North. And now you want people to pay more on top of the raised council tax. This is unacceptable

Sent from my iPhone

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk](#)
Subject: Budget
Date: 02 January 2019 17:58:41

I would support an increased Budget for the Norfolk police paid for by a moderate surcharge on council tax provided the charge was levied on 2nd home owners as well as residents.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Policing Costs 2019
Date: 02 January 2019 17:20:35

Dear Mr Green

Thanks for email dated 2 January.

Although I would be prepared to pay more for an increased, efficient and effective Police Force in Norfolk just to increase numbers by the estimate of 40 officers across the whole County over 365 days a year (Band D increase of 46p per week) would seem to me to be hardly noticeable by the general public.

We would all like to see an increasingly highly efficient and responsive Police force however but how this can be proved to the general public to be happening in their day to day operations would seem to me to be very challenging to those at the top. I wonder if too much highly valuable Police time is being spent on scams, computer fraud and such like which might be better investigated by non police employees properly trained and vetted.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council tax consultation
Date: 02 January 2019 17:01:17

Hi Lorne,

Personally, I totally support the police in their work against crime and keeping the public safe.

I realise Norfolk is a large county and has a number of issues due to its rural nature.

However, council tax contribution to the police force was increased by 2% in 2017 and 5.5% in 2018.

To date, however, I have not seen any improvement in the service being provided by the police force in Norfolk.

I live close to the Station in Downham Market but it seems to be no more than a car park for officer who pick up their police vehicles for their shifts.

To add £8 even would be a significant increase the council tax paid and £16 -£24 would be far in excess of last year's increase.

Some justification for increasing the council tax contribution *again* rather than "*we'll have to make cuts if we don't get it*", which seems no more than a veiled threat, would be appreciated.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council Tax increase consultation
Date: 02 January 2019 16:46:48

Good afternoon

Following the article on the Lynn News Facebook page I would like to add my views.

I am a band D tax payer and I would be more than happy to pay extra if it meant more local policing

Each time we have used the police service directly they have been efficient and helpful, they do seem to be very stretched and if this extra money will help them to do their job more easily that would be great.

I think £16 is a reasonable amount per household to find, I realise there could be other increases too and policing is only one of many services that the council tax helps to fund, this then may become a far higher increase overall and be too much for some to find, I feel a balanced view may be needed once all agencies have decided on their possible increases.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Consultation
Date: 02 January 2019 16:21:18

Good afternoon,

This is becoming a joke that every year we face an increase and a reduced service.

I fail to see that no increase will result in a 90 officer reduction or is this using scare tactics. In view of the fact that there has been an increase every year for the last few years I would prefer no increase, however, this will not be the case.

There has got to be a better way to provide an efficient police service without just paying them more money, perhaps the levels of pay should be reviewed as it appears that police officers do very well in relation to other wages scales.

Regards, [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Police budget and council tax consultation
Date: 02 January 2019 15:43:25

For my pennies worth it looks like you're proposing to put up the police part of the council tax by around £20 per annum, I certainly would be happy to pay this if it results in better policing and more importantly prosecutions of offenders, who from what I can see, get off scot free most of the time.

Also I would like to make a suggestion that the government should put in equal funding as an absolute minimum.

I hope my comments are helpful,

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <https://imsva91-ctp.trendmicro.com:443/wis/clicktime/v1/query?url=http%3a%2f%2fwww.symanteccloud.com&umid=22A2E779-7E7B-8105-B28F-9295DF20780F&auth=76a36a0301cf7179612a4414203a61368905a968-7f0f56a53814530fa04d8bb012a9847db7d5c846>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council Tax Rise
Date: 02 January 2019 13:21:22

Hi Lorne

I live in a band D house in South Norfolk with my husband. We are both pensioners.

We pay £1700 a year for a very modest three bedroom. This is more than my sister pays who lives in the [REDACTED]

Yes I could stretch to the 46pence but where does it stop rising.

I would pay the 46 pence if you agreed to freeze the police precept for five years.

This promise to be upheld by the incoming PCC as you have stated your intention to retire from your current post in 2020.

Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Tell Lorne](#)
Subject: Council tax
Date: 02 January 2019 13:13:25

Yes I would be prepared to have an increase in Council Tax if it is going to improve or police presence and capability. Especially in rural areas where it has all but disappeared. An extra £1 a week would be acceptable. Please do it and let's have police back where we can see them and talk to them.

[REDACTED]
Sent from my iPad

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>




OFFICE OF THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR NORFOLK

Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner Budget Consultation 2019/20 Results

Appendix B: Survey comments

	A	B
1		
2	Comments:	Comments:
3	Yes but would prefer that more money should come from Central Government. Hopefully once Brexit happens we will not be sending so much money to other countries and would have a pot of money to support more policing.	See caveat on question 1.
4	Simon Bailey needs the financial support to continue his future policing plans	I think at the present time an effective police force is essential
5	I am encouraged by the way the force has invested in more officers and more tech, like Op Moonshot, drones, tablets etc.	-
6	Any agreement to increase would need to be subject to strict policies on that the money is solely to fund extra officers on the beat. If officers retire and be in receipt of pension they may not take civilian jobs within the force while receiving a police pension.	Until wages increase any amount higher is too much of a tax burden on the individual
7	-	-
8	Abolish the office of Police and Crime Commissioner and save over 1 million pounds per year and that could go towards the Police.	-
9	As long as the extra funding was used purely to provide more police on the streets.	-
10	I will not pay another penny to the precept. Year on year you've had your hand out and dipped in to my pocket. I will see no pay rise for 2019 and despite reporting criminal activity, have seen nothing done about it. So no, not another penny. Sort yourselves out.	-
11	Only if this were to include more visible policing and with more understanding of the true issues faced by young people today. I think a lot of money is wasted on minor offences whilst the bigger picture is being missed	-
12	Crime is on the rise so we need to invest more in policing	-
13	better policing yes but as it stands no we do not get any action when reporting crimes this costs me time and money to do	lets have value for money for what we re paying
14	Crucial services needed to be funded and we all need to make our contribution.	-
15	I think Simon Bailey needs to look more closely at how his supposedly meagre resources are used. I see numerous police cars and personnel attend minor incidents and having been a volunteer in the past for Norfolk Police I have witnessed first hand the daily waste that is still going on. Also, in my time volunteering there was more talk about how much more pay by way of overtime officers could make, than talk about the job in hand.	-
16	If payment meant seeing some police in Gissing and Tivetshall Parishioners would feel they are still part of a civilised society.	I think nobody minds paying if they see something for it.
17	We don't get good value policing now	-
18	Yes because the police are in a difficult position but I also think that there needs to be a radical look at what we are asking police to do. 1) Too often highly trained officers are doing inappropriate jobs that could be done by clerical assistants. Admin jobs should be consolidated across forces. 2) most importantly we should be addressing the causes of crime and spending money on youth workers and other measures to give worthwhile occupations to people who fall into crime. We need a realistic approach to drugs - present policies have not worked for 40 years and just fund organized crime!!!!.	There has to be some pressure on Police to address the issues I listed above. Police need to become part of the wider social services so that when crime falls, the able people still have a rewarding career path - at present there is an incentive to let crime rise so that there are more promotion opportunities. Police are only human and no one works to make themselves redundant!
19	-	There needs to be more evident policing to provide deterrence as well as quicker response to unlawful activities. We need to see more police on the ground, more visible activity on their part and more support from the courts.

	A	B
20	Policing is a vital service and if it requires more funds to keep it running to full capacity then I would be willing to pay more in Council Tax.	When you consider the very minimal amount per year that this would cost each household it is a small price to pay to safeguard our lives and property.
21	For front line Policing especially return of the Safer Neighbourhood Teams. Not for the silly plans to take over the Fire Service!	We deserve proper Police Force - The PCC MUST also start to criticise and take on fully the Conservative Government for the cuts they have caused - or does he support the Government cuts?
22	Whilst this shouldn't ideally be necessary, having served with the Constabulary for in excess of 39 years as officer and civilian I appreciate that Government funding is currently inadequate.	-
23	My Budget is already at its limit. My pension increase - if there is one - will be taken up in full with the continual increase in utility bills and the increase in basic food.	-
24	Happy to pay more than the highest suggested. An option for a voluntary overpayment would be good.	-
25	Provided and only if the extra funding is used for front line policing and not back room or bureaucracy	As above I would strongly resist any extra taxation if it were not solely used for providing extra front line police officers
26	Provided it is entirely for "policing" and not by way of subsidising to example the "activities" of Norfolk;k County Council to whom I pay enough already with little return.	Policing is vital; and i consider that Norfolk Constabulary is efficiently rand effectively run and managed and so such an increase will enhance the quality of the service we have already. I ask however that priority is assessed according to the importance to the majority of the populace and the significance of the "crime" or offence. For example terrorism murder assault robbery drug related offences and other serious crimes are given priority over more minor offences such as hate crime.
27	I think that we should pay more but trully believe the government needs to do more. I think our Police in Great Yarmouth do a fantastic job considering just how short handed they are. All Police across the country and other emergengy services are so very under pressure , and we know how hard they are working but we need more Police. As hard as they try they cannot cope with all the crime , it is a real worry that we feel so unprotected now. I cannot remember the last time I saw a Police officer walk down our road. We need this everywhere. It is a must. We grew up with Police doing foot patrols getting to know residents and there children, we respected them . Today it disgusts me how badly they are treated this nneds to end not tomorrow but now. I feel very strongly about this and i know many of us would feel so much safer if we had regular foot patrols around our streets.	We should all pay more plus higher taxes, we have had it all to easy for too long and now we are suffering with lack of resourses to maintain a good community for all residents. The government needs to pay more , Clubs and pubs where Police constantly have to attend because of drunks, drugs , fights and more shold be made to pay extra. People who take up and waste time should be made to pay and so should people who abuse all emergency services when each team could have been working with those that need them. Not prison sentences but hurt them in thier pockets. Also those that have been drunk and an ambulance has has to attend needs to spend time with the amabulance service as punishment to see just how bad it gets when all they are doing is picking up drunks. it would open thier eyes.
28	Yes, but I want it spent on frontline policing, so all the petty crime and antisocial behaviour in Thetford is dealt with. You talk about priorities, yet all I see in Thetford is people sitting around drinking alcohol. Why haven't you dealt with this yet?	Sounds about right, but I'd pay £24 if it actually made a difference.
29	The burden of tax, energy and food prices is already too high and continues to rise without a corresponding rise in my own income therefore I am unable to support a further rise.	-
30	No the police precept should stay the same we Have enough police officers now. they could & should Do More with what the Have Got the Big BMW Cars Should Go what a waist of Council tax payers money ? Norfolk police should Buy more motor Bikes(Not BMWs) & cycles & that would save a lot of Money a year council tax payers pay to much Now	-
31	None	None
32	Stop the government from cutting police and fund properly	-
33	I would live to know why an increase is required, have costs gone up? What savings have you made? What efficiency savings are planned. What did you spend the money on last year? If I knew you were spending the money wisely then I would be more inclined to want to pay more	-
34	We pay enough already.	-
35	Only if the money go's to front line policing, not new computers for HQ or new furniture	What happened to the money saved when pcso's were dispensed with . We were told that that saving would be used to recruit more Officers

	A	B
36	Only if it results in more visible police officers in the county and goes into frontline policing and not wasted on, for example, property. Really this should be funded by central government but I guess that is not going to happen so the public need to pay.	No more than this as council tax is already going up and far outstripping inflation which is not fair. My pension increase is going to be a little over 2% but we need to combat increasing crime so we all need to pay. It is a worry to the older generation.
37	<p>Categorically No.</p> <p>As to my objection last year (2017) which I cited that a percentage increase is always already included in the council tax per year and in addition in 2017 an extraordinary increase was implemented. Obviously this is now trying to set a precedent year on year increases over an above normal inflation increases etc.</p> <p>In respect of the past extraordinary increase of 2017, I have yet to see any benefit in policing or in my dealings with the constabulary that warranted the 2017 increase. Therefore one would assume that the same will apply in 2018.</p> <p>Finally it would be of advantage to all taxpayers that the statics/results of this survey are made know to all tax payers for clarification of the result.</p> <p>Kind Regards </p>	None
38	We need more police officers on the beat than ever.	See how it goes.
39	-	-
40	-	You should never have got rid of PCSOs!
41	-	-
42	-	Whilst communities want a visible police presence this is not financially viable. Some community events attended and Tweeted by officers also seem a tad pointless! The police need to 'police' and stop trying to be everything to all people. A national review of what police will do /attend should be undertaken with a view to massive national saving in policing!
43	<p>We pay a high rate of council tax already indeed the band rate is incorrect as smaller properties are rated lower. This is because this is a newer property and the council does what it likes.</p> <p>Each year we are asked if an increase is ok and although we always say no! it goes up year after year. There is no visible police presence ever so why give more money!!! We do see police using police cars to go shopping at Tesco.</p> <p>The only time we required police help we were let down badly as agreed by the Chief Constable!</p>	-
44	I would like to see more police feet on the ground to up hold law and order if only for their own protection.	A small number increase would help to increase constable numbers .
45	You asked this question last year, you promised more policemen then. If you can't keep your promises, resign not offer the begging bowl again.	I answered no, and apparently aren't qualified to answer question two.
46	Abolish the PCC which is a total waste of space and use the money to employ more police officers instead of ignorant overpaid pen pushers	-
47	Yes but as a member of the public I want to see more police on the beat offering proper reassurance and gathering sound intelligence! I am prepared to pay more to see a better visible presence! I believe there are too many senior officers on a high pay scale and some of the savings could provide more officers out on the streets as needed desperately	-
48	The police perform a critical function in a civilised society and we should all be prepared to pay what it takes to enable them to do this.	I would be prepared to pay more than £24 per year if this was an option

	A	B
49	Stop sending 4,5 or 6 vehicles and personnel to minor traffic incidents. Start enforcing basic vehicle regulations e.g defective headlights.	-
50	-	It is important to recognise the financial challenges the public sector is facing. In this case, public safety must be the most important to uphold at all costs
51	It would have been yes if we hadn't have approved a higher increase last year and then we where promised additional officers etc. as well! What's happened to that additional spend ?	-
52	If you want proper policing, you have to pay for it.	Small price to pay for safe environments.
53	Every year you have an inflation busting increase. Live within your means like the rest of us.	-
54	this happens every year despite neither increases in some pensions or wages no visible improvements to policing. I feel that this "consultation" will be used to justify the maximum increase, yet again, although I suspect that only a minority of Norfolk residents will participate.	-
55	-	-
56	Further funding would simply be wasted, as is a great deal of the current funding. I would rather put into a pot for a local beat officer or two. I honestly cannot remember the last time I saw a Bobby on the beat locally, and that's where it's needed. A local presence would reduce burglary and vandalism, and would also restore some faith, and respect in the police force; something which is sadly lacking these days and not entirely without justification.	-
57	Already pay too much as it is	-
58	this is the only way of preventing further diminishing of our police service.	A/A
59	Only if the money goes to the front-line - not to increase the pay of the PCC or the size of any other part of the bureaucracy	-
60	I already pay more than I think is necessary for less officers rurally. In fact I expect to pay less for a reduced service. The pension shortfall should have been seen coming years ago. why should the general public make up for sloppy management and no service.	I would like to pay less
61	-	-
62	-	-
63	But there must be more accountability via our elected reps on NCC, as I'm unsure that the current PCC for Norfolk is competent and not manipulated by the Chief Constable. Under their administration we have seen Norfolk Police slimmed down to unacceptable levels...Norfolk is the ONLY Police force to take out all of it pcsos altogether ...serious crime has escalated minor crime is ignored. Both the PCC and the Chief Constable should be fired such is their abject performance.	Accountability is the key, a return to the old management system would be preferable but I guess thats not going to happen, so our MPs, County and District Councillors must take responsibility for monitoring how our tax is spent. In the meantime please ask the current PCC to resign and take a lot more care just who is shortlisted for this job in the futuredepoliticise the appointment as much as is feasible and choose Norfolk residents with the right skillset to stand.to stand.
64	Council tax is high enough with the burden of increasing living costs. I live in the centre of Norwich and never see any police in the city centre. I've reported anti social behaviour and drug taking in you don't bother to come out. The problem as I see it is no amount of money will increase policing because the way policing is run is wrong. Too many officers attend situations together which appears unnecessary and prevents officers being able to attend calls like mine. My experience of the police has left me feeling unprotected and let down - why would I want to give the force of more the little money I have.	-

	A	B
65	-	Has anybody considered scrapping the whole PCC thing, which must cost a fortune and using that money to fund policing in the area? I always thought the Chief Constable was responsible in the past for policing and, as far as I know, we have a very fine Chief Constable.
66	-	-
67	But only for a visibly increased level of policing.	-
68	not happy to have ongoing annual increases. Community charge is way too high for one of the poorest counties in the country.	NO INCREASE FOR 2019
69	-	Work needs to be done not only with getting an increase in Officer numbers but also with supporting the Officers you already have. I know a number of PC's with 2yrs to over 15yrs service who are struggling due to the low numbers they have on their teams for each shift. This isn't just Breckland but Norwich and specialist teams too. They hate the fact that they can't give the service to us, the public, that they should and want to be able to give. Many are 'worn out' and deflated, moral is low. I have huge respect for Norfolk Officers, the job they do and what they have to deal with. You just can't afford to continue to lose the experienced Officers. Do you collect detailed reasons and analyse the reason for experienced Officer attrition? What can be done to ease the 'paperwork' that keeps the guys and gals from being able to respond to more calls or to actually be proactive rather than reactive? From a community perspective in Attleborough the loss of the PCSO's is very evident. I know a lot has been done within Norwich around foot and cycle patrols which is great but there is no-one walking the town and talking to people in Attleborough anymore or at least I never see them. This is important for the children of the town right from Primary school age upwards to see and feel that engagement from a young age on a daily basis. To really learn that the Police are there to help and aren't scary or 'just driving around' in their cars. You have some amazing staff please try to support them more.
70	No, I pay more than enough for a one bed flat	-
71	I would want to see more officers, specifically traffic ones. Every morning on my commute (starts at 7am) I see speeders and at least 3 people using their phones as drive and that's just from Yarmouth to Beccles on the A143.	-
72	-	-
73	-	I have not been aware of any positive efforts to review the skill mix within the police force or amend employment arrangements such as increasing retirement age in line with other public services or reviewing pension schemes for new starters etc to the contrary Norfolk deleted community support officers posts leading to less visible policing this means every year the burden is on the tax payer rather than a joint effort The basics of speeding on my road and people riding their cycles on the path outside my door appear to be seen as irrelevant and am not expecting any changes which is disappointing
74	I am sick of the local tax payer having to prop up the police service because it has become woefully underfunded by central government. We are now seeing the real effects of rounds of budget cuts. It is about time the government (the PM in particular) admitted they went too far and restore a realistic level of funding.	-

	A	B
75	<p>Absolutely not. Better use of monies already received should be first priority.</p> <p>For instance on a number occasions recently we have been informed by the local press that multiple police vehicles have attended quite minor incidents.</p> <p>Police officers should not be attending breakdowns this could be done by a breakdown service.</p>	-
76	There was an increase above inflation last year so there should be no more than an inflation rise this year. That is the policing element should be in line with the rest of the council tax increases.	-
77	-	-
78	-	-
79	-	-
80	-	-
81	-	-
82	It's a service we all depend on at sometime in our life's. So yes I would definitely support paying more for our Police & emergency service.	Paying more would hopefully help our brave emergency men & women from being assaulted.
83	No hesitation in saying yes	Would be more than happy to double the above figure
84	We pay all this money and get nothing in return get rid off crime commissioner and save money from this waste off space's salary	-
85	we certainly need more police on streets for safety reasons	worth every penny to get more police men on the roads and in the streets
86	A reduction in numbers of officers and their back up facilities would be particularly bad for the county . The availability of drugs and the growing nuisance of crime which can only be countered by manpower and physical presence is detrimental to what is otherwise a good place to live. Crime which needs a powerful response includes hare coursing, unauthorised metal detecting, fly tipping, unlicensed musical events, and general driving of motor vehicles on nature reserves, agricultural land and sports fields. The public must have the confidence that if they report such crimes a suitable police response will follow. When police attend an unlicensed musical event, for example, they must have the resources to enforce the law. An inadequate response is embarrassing and dangerous.	I think the enforcement of law and order is the first priority of society. Everything else depends on this priority. Organised crime is waiting in the wings for a reduction in the upkeep of the law .If police resources are reduced I am worried that organised crime will pop up in all sorts of places, a bit like mushrooms overnight.
87	-	I would expect to actually see someone in uniform walking the streets for this extra payment
88	Also hope it might fund specialist officers in I.T. fraud, which is on the increase, and which I believe Norfolk does not have.	-
89	I say yes BUT living in a rural area near Swaffham I want to feel that money will improve policing in my locality. And not to hear from locals that reports of break ins and what is to be perceived as "minor" crimes do not always get the follow up the residents deserve.	-
90	Whilst i appreciate the need for more funding, but the public only have so much cash to pay bills, and live on. I work full time, and have not seen a pay rise for 4 years, everything is going up, and yet we have to make cutbacks to survive, how can we pay out more when there is no spare money to do so, how do we pay for this?	-
91	-	But only if an increased police presence became a reality especially in the villages. Our village has seen an increase in crime e.g. burglaries and car crime with no police reaction.

	A	B
92	<p>Why should we be out of pocket even further. Maybe you should look after your current officers and make sure they are supported and feel appreciated. I heard from officers in my area that your going to cut CIU officers who do the interviews so more officers are going to be out on the street</p> <p>But if you have actually spoken to your officers this is not what they want and is causing them mental stress. Why dont you listen to your officers...</p>	-
93	<p>I would like to see towns in Norfolk targeted randomly by the police with zero tolerance. ie against everything from street drinking, litter, drugs, dog fouling etc. It could last a few weeks and then move on but come back at any time. People might learn to be more considerate to other people. I live in Thetford where all these problems are prevalent.</p>	-
94	<p>But ONLY if it is spent to provide more visible police officers in rural areas and, more importantly, on routine traffic patrols to curb the appalling and often dangerous driving of far too many people. Speed cameras do NOT catch up with them!</p>	Obviously the less the better but as a former police officer I am fully aware of the cost of providing full time fully warranted police officers.
95	<p>20,000 fewer police so that the Bankers and C.E.O.s can be fed , huge rises in violent crime , big surprise ! This government applies "austerity" to ordinary people but does nothing about the people who ripped us off in 2008,and are still getting away with big time swindles</p>	Lucky us that we have the disposable income
96	<p>As long as the increase goes to front line policing, people need to see the effect of their extra spending.</p>	A charge that needs to be levied across the board, everyone should pay.
97	<p>I have always supported our police and always agreed to pay more. But recently I have unfortunately had to call the police a few times. The response was less than helpful. It appears that now in Norfolk you can break the law over and over again and get away with it. Even you have witnesses and proof from cctv. The police still take weeks to get back to you. Then you always get the same response. NFA The criminals seem to get away with everything while hard working law abiding citizens get crucified and left to deal with the problems. I have lost all faith in our local police. Which is a shame. But the law around Norfolk is am As* so I would not be willing to pay more.</p>	-
98	<p>Inflation is going up faster than my wages so no, there are other ways to save money.</p>	-
99	<p>Why don't police speak to government and increase fines for being caught with drugs, and especially the fine for drink drivers! The money should go back into the police force.</p>	-
100	<p>I am fed up paying more for a lousy service, you would rather punish motorists than catch criminals. You would rather block roads after accidents instead of offering traffic management. Everytime we have an accident on the roads around King's Lynn the town grinds to a halt the traffic police do nothing they just shut down roads. thats not managing them.</p>	<p>none of these , cut the wages to senior officers.</p> <p>I wish I got paid there money with a fat early pension to follow. Often after retirement they end up working for the police in a civilian role.</p> <p>Stop buying BMW's, Buy cheaper cars.</p> <p>You spent three million on the King's Lynn Police station. What a waste.</p>
101	<p>Why are you Mr Green not pressurising the PM as it is PM who cut Police funding</p> <p>Now she is expecting you to ask Norfolk rate payers to put up our council tax she is involving you into politic which is not what you are there for . The amount you are asking for wouldn't get any near the amount of officer that Norfolk should have. You and the CC Need to concentrate supporting</p> <p>The people who live in Norfolk</p> <p>We don't want another Cromer</p>	<p>I would have to say yes .Norfolk constabulary need lesson in accounties</p> <p>1 got rid of the PCSO</p> <p>2 then had problems with officer pension</p> <p>3 now expect Norfolk to cough up extra funding to put their book straight</p> <p>WHICH IS THE GOVERNMENT JOB NOT YOUR</p>
102	<p>Funding should come from the government and not us</p>	-

	A	B
103	we all depend on safety in the community,for all,so have to contribute towards same.	-
104	You have sliced our 120 pcsos and given us a neighbourhood team that are great but are overworked	-
105	-	I would be prepared to pay £50 more a year.
106	Why doesn't the commissioner leave now...that saves how much?	-
107	We are continually required to pay more for policing while seeing an ever-reducing reduction in police presence. We have no choice but to pay whatever is demanded but if a crime has been committed we receive no visit from a police officer but merely receive a 'crime number'. Years ago we had 'bobbies on the beat' who knew their local area and 'felt the pulse' of the local community. Now we have reduced numbers of officers 'swanning around' in always the latest, top of the range, motor vehicles with apparently little understanding of local communities slow to respond to local problems. We used to have PCSO's who were a help in local communities but, in your wisdom, you have taken them away from us as well.	-
108	Stop relying on the public to bail this country/government out and appeal to parliament, the public pay enough, grow a backbone and fight for further investment	-
109	As a conservative PCC I voted you to office to reduce or minimise our tax burden. How many warranted officers are undertaking duties police staff could do?	-
110	As long as it goes towards increasing police officer numbers, not a managers new car	-
111	-	Simply need more money to cover the Conservative Gov shortfall of poor public funding.
112	Having lived in a rural area of Norfolk for the past 5 years my one concern with the policing of our county is that NOT ONCE have I seen a police officer on our rural road and would dearly like to see a patrol car pass by at least once a month.	See comment above
113	I have lost all faith in the Police forces of the land to do a good job anymore.. 50 extra front line officers won't make much difference. This government is in a total mess and is bringing the country to its knees, why should we pay for extra police to look after criminals that they have let into the country from the EU?	-
114	We have year on year increased police funding with a decrease in police services rising crime rates of the worst kind, why because central government policy of cut backs. Central government want to control the police let them fund it.	-
115	I would be prepared to pay a bit more and the £2 per month extra suggested seems entirely reasonable.	This is commensurate with small increases in wages which I have received from my job at NBC.
116	I would be prepared to pay more but would like to think this would be spent across the outlying towns and villages in the county and not just in Norwich. It appears that when there are cuts these are always confined to the far reaches of Norfolk.	This amount over the year is minimal but if it provides us with more officers then it is practical.
117	This increase needs to be used on police officers. While staff are needed to investigate cyber crime, exploitation, etc, these roles are specialist and should not take warranted officers from patrol duties. Properly trained civilian staff or officers who are no longer able to carry out patrol duties should be used in these roles. Centralising investigation units should cut costs and any savings must be used to support front-line policing More visible policing is needed to stop the anti-social behaviour, which is blighting our towns.	I would suggest that the Constabulary looks at its structure - particularly Senior Management - and make reductions. Do we really need Assistant and Deputy Chief Constable roles? The Constabulary should also lobby the County Council and government about the lack of Youth Work and funding for Children's Centres. Preventative work will deliver savings in the reactive work that local authorities are now having to carry out.
118	As far as i'm aware we had to pay more this year and has the service improved? Not from what i see.	-

	A	B
119	But only there are proven returns for the extra money and by that I mean better performance figures for solving and reducing crime. It would be nice to have 40 extra officers and better technology but there needs to be proof that it was worth it.	See comment to Q1.
120	-	We simply need more police... fact.
121	Its always been good value for money.	We need more policing not less.
122	Until Norfolk use their current resources properly such as rationalize the number of hire cars in use across the force, remove the cars for every C Insp and Supt and use pool cars instead. Look seriously at proper joint chief/force instead of the current mismatch especially with the current retirement situation in Suffolk. Look at resourcing all items at lowest cost not as per current restricted to named suppliers are just a few examples. Further to this I live in a rural area and cannot remember when I last saw a Police car/officer covering rural crime which has increased country wide.	-
123	-	I think this is insufficient and will not provide the service we need.
124	Year on year rises in the past have not seen the rise in officers promised, along with the loss of PCSO's. who did make a difference in the community. How much will your successor want next year.	-
125	-	must result in more officers giving a visible presence especially in rural areas
126	Police funding has been inadequate for years. In particular, lack of Police on the beat has clearly had an effect on both minor crime and the current drug related problems.	In my view, the so-called efficiency savings have had a very detrimental effect on and impact on society in general. The Police now have a very negative attitude especially to low level crime which impacts on the general population.
127	-	-
128	-	I am answering this somewhat blind to what it will cost me as a Band B property owner. It would have helped if the consultation document had broken the increase down by bands.
129	Yes I would pay more to have more police on our streets.	-
130	I say no because the police in this country are insufficient and unable to their job effectively and consider the only solution to disband the forced tax payment and allow the citizens to select the level of policing they need and pay a private company to supply it. Totally unhappy with the lack of service supplied and amount it is costing me as a taxpayer.	None as you are not providing any sort of service to the public.
131	-	We are in band G and we never see any police around our area.
132	We haven't got a police station in Dereham now so why keep paying more and getting less	-
133	My council tax goes up every year and services get worse. We have a police station in North Walsham that the public cant access as it has no staff, just a telephone on the wall. Ive got a phone at home, so the police station is pointless	-
134	We need to sustain policing into the future.	This is still a good value for money increase
135	Being pensioners with a very limited income we need every penny we can get. The level of policing in the county areas is so poor that we can't see this increase showing any improvement.	-
136	Get rid of Mr Green he is a Lier, and Mr Bailey he is incapable of doing the job, between then run a police force, i wouldnt trust them to run a bath.	-

	A	B
137	The council tax was raised by the full amount last year, you do not publish that when you ask for more. In reality it will be a big increase over two years. It's hard enough for the least well off to pay for goods, food and services as it is. In reality I have seen no increase in police officers on the streets. Those I talk to say there's not enough of them, but where did all the money from the rise go to last year. Enough is enough !!!	-
138	Family and friends have called police out before for different issues but police couldn't be bothered to come out !!	-
139	The reason being that as a member of police staff there has been job evaluation and restructures already and are continuing to be - my salary has gone down not up and I am now earning less than I was in 2014. I do realize if the precept is not raised jobs will be at risk yet again but this will happen any way regardless of whether the precept is raised or not. My outgoing and household bills have gone up not down so I have no spare cash to afford the precept which keeps going up - the general public and police staff are not bottomless pits, we do not have endless amounts of cash spare to keep funding public services. I suggest that the PCCs go back to the government and make them see sense to start putting money back into public services and stop fleecing the voters. We simply cannot afford to keep propping up public services. Stop the government sending monies abroad and start looking at public services at home please. The NHS, Police, Fire and Ambulance on our knees and the government is to blame. The Government is to blame and need to sort it out before there is a massive catastrophe.	None
140	You cant keep upping bills. There is no increase in wages.	-
141	I would be happy to pay extra for policing, having been a police officer for thirty years BUT for one thing. I am disgusted that the Government is reducing funding to pay for pensions contributions and that you and the Chief are having to ask Norfolk residents to make this up in council tax contributions. The first job of Government is to protect the public. This is not done by reducing central funding and then requiring local taxes to make up for it. It makes a mockery of giving a tax relief on PAYE as it is just being given back in another form. Has nobody actually realised that this is a way of the Treasury actually raising capital. Council tax rises- some of which goes on police wages which are taxed and the Treasury gets 20% tax back for nothing. For this reason I cannot back a rise.	-
142	I think the police need the resources to deal with rising / changing crime	I would happily contribute an additional £24 per year if this helped the police do their job
143	Yes provided our local contribution led to a more visible police presence in our area including more police on the roads and better response to domestic crime etc... Quite frankly, if our local contributions brought no local benefits but instead were used to (for example) strengthen police resources in Great Yarmouth or Kings Lynn or worse still to plug a hole in the police pension fund then I would not be in favour.	-
144	Bring back the PCSO's	-
145	Not just for norwich Yarmouth or Kings Lynn. Must have some rural element of visible policing	Criminals crossing county lines seem to be causing significant knife and drug crime. If that is true, I would want more work stopping it. I am unsure of the social work side of policing is what we should be doing and yet realise that they play a significant part in helping people with mental health issue. Please raise awareness of this so that money goes where it should in preventative services
146	I think given recent pay rises to councillors I don't believe increases in tax will benefit the police. Council fat cats will cream the money off to line their own pockets while essential front line services suffer cutback after cutback.	-

	A	B
147	The real priority for any increase in council tax should be our social care services. They have been mauled over the last 10 years and the county council should be asking the people of Norfolk to pay more to help the vulnerable in our society. The police service doesn't need a larger slice of the pie.	-
148	My experience is that, despite having excellent police officers, too much time & money is wasted unnecessarily.	-
149	NO - we lost PCSO's and now you want more money!	-
150	More police are needed and those already on the streets need more support so as long as the money goes into ensure the police receive better funding I'd be happy to pay more	The increase each week for reassurance would be worth it
151	The bills cannot keep rising and rising, there was a huge hike last year, just cannot afford for all elements of the council tax bill to keep increasing year on year, its ridiculous.	-
152	I have no problem paying up to £100 extra per year to support our local police force (as opposed to it going to a national fund we get nothing back from).	I'd go higher
153	There is already a sufficient amount of resource. The service needs to find ways to be more efficient and work differently, the challenge that the rest of the public service agencies are already faced with	-
154	You asked the same question last year. How much more Council tax do you think we can afford? Central Gov would have sufficient if they didn't waste so much on foreign aid etc	-
155	At the end of last year the PCC introduced yet another "soft job" appointment for warranted police officers. They are called Engagement Officers. In my opinion any desk job officer should have their warrant's taken away from them and then have to re-apply to prove they are still able to perform per their attestation. Any officer with a warrant should be on our streets.	-
156	But we need a rural presence	To be spent on working police not management
157	-	Whilst I'm in favour of achieving the optimum level of policing effort, this has to be accompanied with a continual drive to eliminate waste and unnecessary expenditure.
158	We should also scrap the Police and Crime Commissioner's role, the money spent on the PCC would be far better spent on front line policing.	I propose a £16 a year rise alongside the scrapping of the PCC's position with all his funding being re-directed to support front line policing.
159	Why should we when they waste so much money on irrelevant matters. The Chief Constable has been in the force for too long we need new blood with fresh ideas and thinking this should bring savings. Nowhere have we seen the Commissioner taking the force to task or criticising them over things they have done. Hopefully the next commissioner will know something about policing and will be proactive and do something. They want to spend money on new stations, why make do with what they have.	They should try economising and stop wasting money first.
160	-	-
161	The central government cuts to policing and the public sector have been draconian. Local taxes have been used to try and top up but, at this point, I feel strongly that central Government ought to be redressing the balance.	-
162	Its very important we all feel safe and that policing is adequate	-

	A	B
163	Police coverage in Norfolk is far below what is needed. No fault of the Chief Constable or any of his staff. The fault lies entirely with the government.	Without proper funding we will just keep going backwards.
164	-	What the commission need to understand is much like the police the public also have a finite amount of resources. Much like the police our income or budget has not increased over the years and we are equally having to make cuts ourselves. Its also not just the police taking money from that finite pot, everyone from councils to government, electricity to petrol companies are all also taking thier share and equally demanding more money just for the same level of service. Yet my wages haven't gone up, i have no extra money coming in, but companies and organisations think the public are ATM's with unlimited resources to give. I would love more police on the streets of course. But when councillors are awarding themselves unwarented pay rises, when councils are wasting money on stupid schemes and still wanting more - there wont be much left to give.
165	I don't think that at at the moment the funding is well spent. We live in a rural village and can not remember when we last seen a police officer either on patrol or on community service. In the press police activity can be seen whilst police officer attendance is required but not several officer's with vehicles. If policeing was a private business in would have to take a good look at its operations and review as necessary to ensure that it's services met market expectations or go bust.	-
166	But a reasonable increase the parish precept where I live has already been increased by 32% not to mention NCC increase I have said yes purely to improve on the service the police provide as I and my daughterhave personally experienced police incompetence which we have complained about as we incurred nearly £1000 due to the police not doing their job effectively thus not being able to make an insurance claim without losing her no claims discount	See above
167	Providing it results in a greater visible presence and less overworking of officers	This needs to be funded properly and that means having enough money
168	Yes I will pay more.48p if it ment that we got more Local Crime reports .I mean Local to Loddon and Chedgrave. All we get at this moment is Missing persons,Rural farmers News and Scams. As home Watch Coordinators we need more local Information so that we can inform our residents that living in Loddon and Chetgrave that they safe and that they do have an Active Police presents. From [REDACTED]	As about. Regards [REDACTED]
169	With a particular view on current problems with County lines in Norfolk, I am more than prepared to pay extra council tax to support policing in our county	-
170	I already pay far too much poll tax.	-
171	-	I am happy to pay more for police officers, but not for police staff. I feel this needs to be made very clear. I also believe that officers who are based in a back office function need to go back on response/to a visible role and where applicable or a need arises this post be filled by a low grade police staff function. I do not however agree for my tax increase to pay for more senior staff posts - only for police officers.
172	We need to get rid of the office of the comissioner and the role and reinvest that money in to policing.	-
173	If you need, say a 1000 bricks to build a structure, trying to build with less, at best would weaken structure, but in reality structure would collapse. So if you DO NOT want to weaken law & order an increase is a no brainer.	-

	A	B
174	<p>In this rural area of the UK we need an effective police force to combat rural crime, drugs and cyber crime, to name but three areas of concern.</p> <p>As an observation, it seems that when officers are called to a scene there can be a duplication of resources, particularly with accidents. This needs looking at in my view, also for the other emergency services.</p>	It will have to be paid for one way or the other so let's do our bit locally.
175	-	We have to pay whatever it takes for security and protection from crime.
176	<p>The statement says that if 46p each was paid, 40 police officers COULD be employed, this does not say that they would.</p> <p>How would this be guaranteed and proven to employ 40 further police officers? - I do not believe it will.</p> <p>I do not see a police officer in Brooke from one month end to the next, unless they are in a police car travelling through the village. They cannot be bothered to come to the Parish Council meetings.</p> <p>Unfortunately, if we are expected to pay more, the government will reduce their payment correspondingly, and we will end up no better off.</p>	-
177	Slightly	Times are hard!
178	<p>Only if it was agreed that magistrates were onboard with dealing with criminal behaviour instead of Police officers wasting their time for a slap on the wrist from a judge and back on the street to commit further offences.</p>	While I'm not sure why you would need more money to deliver the 2020 policing strategy as the removal of 150 PCSO for the recruitment of 85 full time PC's should have been funded. The continued movement of people from the main city's increase not only the level of criminal activity but so the type i.e. moped street robbery and traveling drug dealers. This needs further funding but should come from central governments as is only due the MET, GMP etc. Making it harder to offend due to the correct funding.
179	As long as we get better responses when needed.	I recognise that the Police are stretched at a time when there seems to be more violence about. We can't afford to be without the correct numbers of police. But what about putting an extra tax on these huge drinking establishments? The results after visiting these places are tying up a large number of policemen when they would be better employed answer real emergencies.
180	-	A very small price to pay for feeling safe
181	More police presence in general, & better support & understanding for victims/survivors of crime (especially for victims/survivors of domestic abuse, sexual assault/rape, assault).	-
182	We had the same question last year but once again same question. Regardless of outcome there will be increase. Force need to find more saving in back departments.	None of above
183	-	I have believed all that I have about the need for extra cash
184	<p>However, I would want the policing to be for the community. I am particularly concerned with the easy access to drugs in Schools. In a sixth form the kids all know who to get stuff from. If so then why can't the police stop this? I also advocate supporting for example helping the homeless, victim support for burglary, protecting pedestrians and cyclists from road rage. An important aim should be to reduce dangerous driving and driver crime, speeding, jumping red lights etc. People ride on pavements because the roads are dangerous.</p>	I favour the same charge and increase equally for all but those on the very lowest Council Tax Band. The need for police isn't related to the property you live in.
185	There would still be less police on street and Norwich would still feel unsafe	None of above
186	<p>Would there be a real increase of officers on street. No I doubt it very much.</p> <p>Crime goes unreported as police aren't interested.</p>	Should find more cuts

	A	B
187	My company can't just put prices up and everyone have to accept it. We have to be more cosy effective. But no we give police lovely BMW to drive around in. I'm sure 530 and x5 3.0 diesel are cheap to run and fuel efficient. Doubt they do more than 25mpg. Joke again your department is.	No how about cutting more top level staff and office none warranted staff.
188	Provided it is for proactive beat policing.	On condition that the money is spent on proactive beat policing. Back room policing does not work, neither does Police officers doing reactive policing in cars.
189	If it means more police presence	-
190	You should go back to basics. There is so many civilian backroom staff that could be axed which had grown and grown over the years. Take a look at Human Resources it is top heavy with staff. What do they do?. it use to be that backroom staff were there to assist officers. Now there is so many off them they are there for officers to assist them. trim back on the unnecessary and bureaucratic civilian staff and concentrate on basic policing.	-
191	Security and safety are more important than a few Pounds for comparitively irrelevant extras like entertainment and IT.	£2 a month would make no appreciable difference to an average household budget but an improvement in policing, from that additional constabulary income, would be noticeable and helpful ...particularly in turbulent times politically and socially.
192	-	-
193	As a law abiding, tax paying resident of Norfolk, I have seen council tax and precept for policing rise year after year and nothing changes. Promises are repeatedly made each year about more visible policing, but nothing changes and violent / knife crime is on the increase. The propaganda in this years budget consultation document regarding what each increase level will or will not provide, is just a scare tactic to justify the increase that is inevitable going to happen. Maybe policing methods should be looked at rather than Norfolk residents being asked to constantly bear the cost of policing that doesn't appear to be working. Money is not always the answer.	-
194	As things stand I have never seen a police officer in my village in the last10 years (approx) so more cuts is likely to make little or no difference.	-
195	Provided it was spent on more police 'on the beat'.	-
196	-	-
197	Enough is enough with the constant rise of tax. Whilst we still have to fork out for the governments severe lack of funding then nothing will be done to make them stand up and fund it properly. Unfortunately that will decrease officer numbers but that's something I will be willing to take on the chin.	-
198	We need to see more police presence in person, not in cars. If full police officers are unaffordable, then bring back the PCSOs who did a very valuable and worthwhile job, especially in small towns like Reepham.	Seems a very small price to pay for added security and feeling safe.
199	Happy to pay additional money if there were dedicated officers to the area where I live.	-
200	-	Policing needs to be de-centralised. There's no place for large police stations in rural counties - to be told that it's a 2 hour wait for the police as they come from King's Lynn (an hour away) is unacceptable.
201	You get what you pay for and I would like to see more police.	-

	A	B
202	I have in past years, when completing the annual survey, said "yes" on the basis the service will be improved or at the very least maintained. It is my humble view that year by year the police appear to accept less and less responsibility. I have the feeling that law breakers - whether minor or major - consider the odds very much in their favour of remaining undetected and therefore the police are no longer the deterrent they were. I was also very much against the abolition of Community Support Officers. So not being impressed with promises that have been made in the past I must now say no to any further increases in rates until the force gets its act together. I was previously a Home Watch Co-ordinator but sadly the police have lost a supporter..	-
203	Providing the money is used primarily for front line services, and not administration or new expensive high performance Police cars. To provide more rural policing and not just Norwich and towns.	Providing the money is not used for administration, back room staff or Lorne Green's own expenditure claims etc.
204	But only if the businesses and events that require additional policing are charged for it. Nightclubs, drinking establishments in places like Prince of Wales Road, Football Clubs, Motorracing events etc. Why should we pay for the additional policing required by the few.	Do not invest in technology that makes the police even more remote from the public than they are now. The police stations have no public access, the non emergency phone number is staffed by civilians and doesnt work, police can only be seen in cars dashing to incidents with blues and twos. They are no longer linked to the public but completely detached.
205	There are always more efficiencies to be found	-
206	You wanted more money last year and we lost our PCSO's Norfolk is the only county to do this, Neighbourhood policing is no more now and as a result ASB is through the roof. If the Constabulary was a business you would have bankrupted years ago with the continued poor decisions you have allowed to go unchecked.	-
207	Won't go on front line	-
208	Can't afford to council taxes are high enough	-
209	They keep Norfolk safe x	-
210	-	Whatever it costs to provide decent enforcement of laws. At the moment there effectively isn't any enforcement or visible presence at all
211	-	all I see in the local news is reports of county lines drugs dealing and how its taking hold of norfolk's children more and more. All I see is reports of massive rises in rape and offences against children. we need to stop Norfolk sliding the way of other counties.
212	Norfolk Constabulary are doing an excellent job but are being hampered by being under-funded. Therefore we, the people, need to pay more.	Not much to take from a budget.
213	The funding of the police and many other services are woefully under-supported by central government. This is a national problem at core and that is the issue that needs addressing. By increasing local funding to try and meet an essential level of policing the resulting effect is to produce a disparate quality of basic policing across the country. I am not opposed to local top up funding to address specific issues but for normal needs this is wrong.	To reiterate my above response the solution is with central government who are trying to shovel all the blame for service shortcomings on Local Authorities.
214	But I would like to see more not less police officers on the street rather than 1 per year if I'm lucky.	Hopefully it will mean an incident reported 1 day doesn't take 5 days before any contact with the Police as to busy.
215	My answer is based on an expectation that this would allow a better service than currently in line with increased payment.	-
216	My answer is based on an expectation that this would allow a better service than currently in line with increased payment.	-
217	I'm not prepared to pay more for policing, I'm a police officer and I struggle to pay the council tax bill I have now let alone paying more.	-

	A	B
218	Local taxation should not be replacing central government funding to pay for the Police or any other essential services. It is becoming a regular feature of council tax increases to raise the local precept and this is fundamentally wrong.	-
219	Police should be properly funded by central Government, we already pay enough in taxes.	-
220	Make savings by cutting high paid jobs such as Police and Crime Commissioner	-
221	My wife and I are pensioners, both over 75 years of age. It is of great importance to us to feel safe and secure in our community as the consequent peace of mind allows to to enjoy our remaining years . This can only happen if we have a properly funded police service and I am therefore happy to contribute additional council tax to the police service.	-
222	Emergency services need proper funding	-
223	I would prefer to pay more at Income Tax levels rather than the regressive Council Tax; however, with the lack of any strategic leadership at National Government levels, the only way to deliver actual growth currently, is via an increase in the police element of Council Tax.	This is the only level at which you get more resource for more money.
224	I would be happy to pay more BUT will we see more Police in the North Norfolk area where I live? We agreed to pay more last year but see a very limited Police presence here. All seem to be in the trouble spots in the city. We also need more traffic control as many drivers are flouting the rules of the road. I live on the main A149 road East Runton and the speed which many cars drive is dangerous.	As above
225	Providing policing is increased in the North Norfolk area along the A149 and the areas around Cromer and Sheringham , and not all concentrated in the City and the larger towns. We seldom see police in our areas.	Providing the above is addressed
226	-	Money spent on our public services is important. It's better than expecting employees (in this case police officers) to work harder and harder, expecting more for less. It's also important for those of us who are not "just about managing" to be prepared to pay an appropriate (ie larger) share of the costs.
227	Radical restructuring - make cost savings.	-
228	The conservatives has continually slashed Police budgets in real terms over the last 8 years. For the last 2 may be 3 years you and the chief have asked to raise the Policing portion of the council tax. I am no longer prepared to substitute the governments lack of thought or care on Police budgets or the Police in general.	-
229	I pay enough money as it is.....	-
230	You keep increasing the amount we pay in council tax. The force has made cost cuts but are now being given more in next years budget. You got rid of all of the PCSO's so we as the public get far less of a service than we ever have had and yet your asking for more!!!!	-
231	It's a service we need and can't do without. A low level service is in fact the equivalent of no service at all. Give the police what they need to operate	-
232	But only if there was a tangible improvement in police visibility in the area and/or PCSO's	-

	A	B
233	To say that you feel you need more money to pay for officers to provide reassurance and community engagement is a joke. Norfolk Constabulary got rid of 150 PCSOs who did just that for their communities, and a whole lot more besides. You joyfully supported their dismissal and insulted them in the process, so no Mr Greene, I wouldn't vote for you to receive more money if I had all the money in the world (Seem to remember you using those words previously?)	-
234	Losing the war on drugs and OCG's the funding is desperately needed	-
235	We have to get used to higher taxation to maintain our services.	Maintain service at current levels.
236	I would be prepared to fund an increase, if it weren't for the fact that a similar - or greater - increase will be required again next year, and every year going forward. This is not sustainable, and is not a reasonable funding solution. I appreciate the difficulty you have with central government's lack of interest in policing, but a more sustainable method must be found.	-
237	-	-
238	Year on year the Policing contribution on my Council Tax has increased faster than my income. It is time that more efficiencies are introduced to the policing in our area. For example the number of police attending trivial incidents can be reduced.	-
239	As long as it pays for more visible police on the streets and keeping Acle police station open!	-
240	-	I would be prepared to pay £24 for first year if this funded capital investment of new technology but would expect this amount to be reviewed for the following year as maintenance of said system will be lower.
241	We pay enough already. The PCC needs to resign as he's work for the past years as been nothing but increasing the tax and making people redundant. Also he's idea of merging the police with the fire department is appalling! Why if the service as it is works perfectly? Please resign... You sir, have absolutely no idea what strain you're putting on middle class working families. Please let someone who knows about policing do the job. You should have done a better job with the resources available. Thanks.	-
242	We already pay far too for a reduced quality of service.	-
243	-	There was an increase last year
244	For front line policing	-
245	As a nation we are already taxed beyond our means. When the top ten per cent and corporations pay nothing, due to loopholes, I recent paying another penny for something I've already paid for.	-
246	You have enough money for cash cow vans labelled up as "safety cameras" so spend that instead on beat police.	-
247	Cannot afford any rise again.	-
248	Providing that this increased contribution leads to a more visible policing presence and pro-active methods used in safeguarding our community.	-
249	There is no point as the amount of officers you talk of is so few and when you work out how many if the 40 will be on duty at any one time it will make no difference You do not care or take rural crime in Norfolk seriously anyway	-

	A	B
250	Though it should be found by Government	Double that if really necessary. More resources MUST be found from somewhere!
251	Provided efficiency is an integral part of utilising the extra money	Clearly, the other options will not make a big difference, so it has to be the 46p per week.
252	<p>BUT FIRSTLY, REDUCE THE DEFICIT.</p> <p>Bring pressure to bear on Councils to abolish the small business council tax relief (avoidance) for holiday home owners. That alone would increase North Norfolk District Council's annual income by over £1.8 million - and likewise other DCs would receive extra cash. Collectively these sums would boost Norfolk County Council's income considerably, helping to close the financial gap - and treat people fairly. Preferential treatment for holiday home owners cannot be justified and should be stopped. Those people are sufficiently wealthy to afford second properties, which they use to generate more income. At the same time they enjoy privileged status of paying no council tax, whilst enjoying the benefits for free, such as policing. It is high time to treat everyone fairly and even-handedly; and thus maximise council income.</p>	See comments in 1. above
253	<p>Nothing is free. Keeping people safe in all aspects of life is essential and the cost is minimal.</p> <p>I became a Neighbour hood watch co-coordinator with support from the local PCSOs ; they no longer exist and it is noticeable that the more dysfunctional in our society are quick to cotton on to the fact they can get away with more anti-social behaviour these days.</p>	It would be worth every penny, I hope this get voted through.
254	<p>No point</p> <p>Police only interested in making money from motorists and even if by chance they catch a criminal nothing in the way of proper punishment happens anyway</p> <p>Prison just a drug filled holiday camp</p>	<p>None</p> <p>Waste of money</p>
255	Definitely need more investment in policing	-
256	I realise that you get what you pay for, i want a well equipped and well staffed police force	Would happy to pay an extra £1 per week, if it meant giving the police the tools and numbers it needs
257	-	I and my family have rated police contact over the past year as very poor with poor feedback and information passed on to us
258	There are too many demands on the hard-pressed budgets of working people. Increases in council tax are very regressive and unfair, weighing more heavily on poorer people. If council tax does increase then the extra money raised should go to essential council services such as the proper care of vulnerable people..	-
259	The spend of the additional money should be transparently tied to increasing officers numbers and increased visible patrol.	If North Norfolk is to continue to be a holiday destination we need to be able to ensure public safety. We cannot have another 'Cromer' incident.
260	We rarely see police officers in sheringham and i am concerned if we lose anymore our phone calls for assistance will be even more difficult to deal with than they are now.	I feel this is blackmail but we have no choice but to pay it if central gov will not cover costs of policing in full where else can you go
261	I would like to see at least one policeman walk down our high street at least once a week.	Why don't we do the American system were the public act as deputys to help the local pc.
262	-	-
263	There are enough new homes and households in North Walsham to generate extra income via council tax without increasing existing precepts.	-
264	But I would like to see more police and / or the return of the police support officers as we have seen more low level crimes since they have been removed	As above
265	We need to maintain our police	-
266	The Police need to concentrate more on catching "real" criminals and stop constantly focussing on motorists.	As long as this money is definitely to be used for the Police and not for anything else.

	A	B
267	-	I hope you would advocate bringing back the PCSO teams that have done invaluable work in the community and especially with young people. The police force in all its forms are the most valuable asset and must be supported and maintained by local and national government. The future with or without Brexit and all the civil disruption that may cause, is uncertain, but what is certain is that crime of all kinds is not going away, and we need our police to be given the strength in numbers and technology to keep us and them safe.
268	I would be willing to pay extra if the money is used to provide more police on the beat. If it is used on management wages then NO I have worked for the civil service, and too much money is wasted on upper management projects and meetings.	Would management be willing to take a pay cut too match my increase.
269	-	We need to give policing the funding it needs. Whilst I understand that some families struggle financially, this feels like a very modest rise to support investment.
270	The police service has already suffered losses and think it needs more investment to deal with the current problems in Norfolk especially the stealing of dogs which has an horrendous effect on the family. It also needs more support in schools and disadvantaged areas.	I would be happy to pay £24 extra per year.
271	The Police have put up their portion of the Council Tax for a few years running now and yet officers are still very sparse and still being removed. What has happened to the Council Tax charged for 'extra resources' previously?????	-
272	-	Providing it is spent sensibly . Not using the police helicopter to chase minor criminals who then are not prosecuted . And not on traffic police , you have enough of a cash cow there as it is !
273	As long as extra cash is spent as proposed. Make Norfolk the place that criminals choose to avoid.	I want to see the step-change in policing which has been promised. Do it now !!
274	Working people already pay a huge amount for public services, which are being cut to the bone. We need to tax larger corporations more, close tax loopholes and start looking after all of society. Austerity is hurting people, and that's part of the reason for increase in crime. Another reason is that there have been 20,000 jobs lost in the Police service since 2010. Not least of which has been the loss of 150 PCSO's across the region.	-
275	I'm a single parent on a low wage and struggle as it is.	-
276	The PCSO where got rid of to pay for more police officers and you are now saying that isn't enough. And are the 40 extra officer just going to fill places of officers that are retiring or just leaving as they are fed up with the police force.	-
277	The disappearance of police officers from our streets has to be rectified if confidence is to be restored in the Norfolk Constabulary.	Who really would object to 46p per week?
278	I fully support the police and realise that we do need extra officers on the street but not at the cost of the public . We pay enough .maybe the top brass and mps need to take a pay cut to fund this .	-
279	Provided any increase would go solely to putting more officers on the streets and not into back office staff or technology.	Would be happy to pay double this as 40 officers across approx 37 open Police Stations in Norfolk is approx 1 per station. This is not enough from my experience (retired Police Officer) and at least 2 will be required to make any difference, preferably 3.
280	I would be happy to pay an extra 46p per week towards policing if it is verified and confirmed that the extra money was to go towards the issues stated and not to be paid to management or executives in bonuses or pay rises, although I support a rise in pay for front line staff.	My comments are as above.

	A	B
281	It increased last year	-
282	We, sadly, require more dedicated officers. At present the Thin Blue Line is far too thin.	We can do our bit to increase officer numbers and available technology for the price of a few pints of beer a year.
283	absolutely. after all it's in all our communities best interest to invest in resources including people to keep us safe.	As a healthcare student my resources are very limited but I hope every little helps.
284	-	-
285	We live in rural area only see police on main roads, speed checks. Never see one walking around our village	Pay enough council tax for little services we get
286	At present we have little non-reactive policing. This needs to be changed.	If it is going to be done it needs to be done properly but it needs to be efficient
287	-	Up to £16 per year would be acceptable - however would need to be considered alongside other increases which contribute towards council tax too.
288	Police perform a vital role in the community and cutbacks have already led to reduced numbers of officers.....yet the majority of the public still want police response immediately when needed. Everything costs more nowadays so why shouldn't we have pay more for these services. People think nothing of paying more for household utilities and insurance each year - in my view this is no different.	Fund the police to let them do their jobs with right resource levels for the communities they police.
289	Already overpaid	-
290	If it meant more police officers were recruited and more training to officers became available.	-
291	Provided it is spent on Front Line policing - i.e. more police visibility in Sheringham - at least one more pc added to the SNT (to replace all the PCSO's which we have lost and never yet had replaced by additional pc's)	Make it a meaningful sum of money
292	A good standard of policing needs a good standard of support from the public.	It would be a small price to pay for safer streets.
293	We are privileged to live in a very safe county. We need to ensure this continues. It's a small price to pay.	Less than the cost of a costa coffee once a month. A no brainer.
294	But after you have made other savings . More efficient office staff, better management of budgets, closer look at sick leave and how much is taken . Where does the police uniform come from. Is it the cheapest supplier? Ditto with stationery etc. Do we need a Police Commissioner or could we use his salary to pay for more officers ?	-
295	Police cuts really impact on safety. We need to ensure we maintain and hopefully increase police capability particularly now that there is so much additional demand with the impact that online crime has had	I am happy with this amount.
296	-	I would gladly make annual donations to police general operating to overcome limits imposed on Option 3.
297	Maybe if I lived in a town.	-
298	-	£2 per month is nothing for most residents. If we want an excellent police force in Norfolk then we have to pay for it.
299	I do not think we should have to pay more, it appears that the government is dividing departments and trying to raise taxes via a backdoor route. We pay enough in tax already the government should give more to start with. No absolutely not!	-
300	In my opinion we are only paying for salary increases for the senior police officers	-

	A	B
301	The county have paid Lorne a huge amount which could have paid for policing instead. They have further lined their own pockets with councillors stipend rates going up and up. Use that money rather than clawing it from those struggling to pay mortgages and food bills especially with the brexit shambles soon to hit our pockets	-
302	To avoid officers being used to deal with an excess of social and mental health issues, the government must be lobbied to ensure the other services (Social, mental health etc) take up more of this role, and provide the funds to do so.	Pressure must be applied to UK government to also substantially increase funding to Police.
303	The consultation document does not tell me enough. If you wanted me to buy a house then you would provide more than pictures and some careful wording.	Whilst I want to support you and would increase more I would if I felt I have the right information.
304	As a result of drastic Government cuts of the Police service, we are being asked to pay for the shortfall.	-
305	Never seen an officer in my area. Cost savings need to be done and the number of senior ranks cut by a large number as they provide no value for money unlike beat officers or CID or firearms officers.	-
306	As a pensioner annual increases in my pension do not keep up with inflation for food or heating as it is. There is absolutely no flexibility in my spending.	-
307	Crime is rising -resources for policing are not. the government are being slow to respond. I can afford it.	In light of my income
308	The Police part of our council Tax has risen without fail for at least the last 20 years in 2002 we paid £125 or so pounds in 2018 we paid £265 and in all that time I have never seen A P C in Downham Market except Police Cars flashing off to other places. It is complete greed to expect Pensioners to keep paying more and more	-
309	We need more uniformed Police officers on the street on foot,on mobile patrol. Over the last few years (since 2010) police numbers have dropped to the level that offenders can ruin the quality of life for residents (and have done) our roads are not safe either with dangerous driving/road rage etc. there has been a massive increase in fatal road traffic collisions.	I would increase it to £50
310	-	24£ extra a year is nothing if it means there are more police available to be out in the community. And we are a single family income, with a special needs son and a 3 year old. It would benefit everyone.
311	All options give seem very cheap	This would probably be the most cost effective £2/month I spend
312	It's already too hard to afford food and rent. Council tax is not a progressive tax. It's easy for middle and high earners to pay, but the exact same rate goes to people like myself who struggle to eat enough and pay rent. Even holding this consultation suggests that you don't understand the impact it will have. It doesn't matter how many people tell you they can pay more, you ought to be more concerned about people who absolutely cannot.	-
313	Why on earth would i pay more for less of a service while all the officers roll round in BMW patrol cars for?	-

	A	B
314	<p>There was an increase in Council tax last year to pay for more policing - but what have you done to provide reassurance to the public and make them feel safer. As far as I can see the answer is NOTHING.</p> <p>There has been no visible increase in presence of Police in my particular area (Terrington St Clement) and even visits to Kings Lynn do not show any improvement.</p> <p>The question should be "Why should we keep paying more for a service we are not being provided with?"</p>	-
315	-	<p>Our earnings are good enough to support this rise, however I appreciate that it might be a struggle for other families, and I would be happy with a £16/year rise. The rise must be ringfenced front line officers and their work in communities, not on extra management levels or administration.</p>
316	I would pay more council tax if the money went to extra police on the streets	-
317	<p>If the money is spent on frontline officers and equipment they need. I would not be prepared to increase my payments if it is spent on data collectors, pencil squeezers, politically in vogue fads and diversity commissars and other such non jobs that local & national government seem to embrace as necessary. Top tip they are not...</p>	<p>Most people would pay twice that if you could guarantee that 10% of the low life's that cause 90% of the grief are kept off the streets.</p>
318	<p>I want more policing, a better funded police force and a police force that is able to respond appropriately however this shortfall should not be funded by local residents who have had below average or no pay increases over recent years due to the disastrous mismanagement of our economy from a Tory government. They should be fully funding our police force nationally not passing the buck of their ineptitude on to local government leaving them with the can to carry.</p>	-
319	<p>THIS IS ANOTHER STEALTH TAX. IF PEOPLE IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR DID A FULL DAYS WORK (IE 2 WORKING AND THREE WATCHING) THERE WOULD BE MORE MONEY AVAILABLE. ALSO IF MP'S HAD A PAY FREEZE FOR THE NEXT FEW YEARS IT WOULD HELP. (THE WAY ABOVE INFLATION IN THE PAST SHOULD HELP THEM TO GET BY).</p>	-
320	<p>This is a central government issue! Chronic underfunding in services is not ok and down to the current government. Schools, NHS, fire and police services at risk of failing people due to the short sightedness of Westminster politicians on all sides!</p> <p>☹️☹️☹️</p>	-
321	We need to invest more in Policing Norfolk.	No Problem
322	<p>All efforts would seem to revolve around Norwich. Indeed the chance to question the Chief Constable is at the Forum in Norwich. The rest of the county is being ignored. I therefore believe that any increase in numbers would largely be seen in Norwich and surrounding areas. I would not object to a small increase in council tax, (although my government pension is not very rapidly). If we saw a noticeable increase in policing in King's Lynn. The rates of Pubs and nightclubs should be greatly increased to reflect the amount of police time devoted to problems caused by them.</p>	See above
323	<p>Along with all other aspects of local government related funding, policing has been hit unreasonably hard by the current and other recent governments. The balance needs to be redressed.</p>	-
324	<p>For years there has been annual increases in the police budget. Surely above inflation increases in funding are not acceptable, and there should be more effort to improve efficiency to overcome short falls, as happens in private business, in order for a company to stay competitive to survive.</p>	-

	A	B
325	Yes but it should come from central government	There should be more input to budget from central government. Austerity kills and is a political choice, not a fiscal necessity
326	-	We rarely see any police presence in our local area and if extra funding meant there would be more community policing and 'bobbies on the beat' , we heartily support this. We also appreciate the need to keep abreast of modern technology.
327	-	Having moved from a high crime area , Essex. It was a nice change to live in Norfolk for the last 8 years. But Im seeing a trend in increased local crime, especially petty crime in villages, like smash a grab from cars, drone spying on property, garage burglary. Also the trend for organised crime from London making inroads into Norwich, and the high level of knife crime. We are seeing a push for property builds in the hundreds, if not thousands, we need more police, more funding.
328	County services are not free so we as the residents should be prepared to pay in order to receive an acceptable service.	I would be prepared to pay an additional £60 pa if it was guaranteed we would receive a better service.
329	What will they do with this extra money?	-
330	I would have preferred a bit more information as to where the money is spent now. A simple pie diagram would have helped .	Happy to support this proposal BUT perhaps a bit more effort in the future could be made telling us how the money is spent.
331	Policing should be paid for by the government.	-
332	What police? I have paid extra every year but it never seems to be enough!	-
333	But only if I see a significant improvement to the current levels of policing service.	I opted to pay extra last year but have not witness any change to the level of service of police visibility so I want to know where my money is being spent and how this improves the service.
334	Essential to maintain the existing police numbers, but need to reduce the administration costs	-
335	If that meant an increased police presence on the streets, not additional pen pushers.	Again, only if it went into feet on the street/beat.
336	I have ticked yes, however this is not a simple Yes or No question. Decisions for the tax payer, the Police and the Local Authority need to be presented together with the overall cost stated.	Please see response above to question 1
337	I would happily pay more for policing as part of my council tax	-
338	I believe i pay enough	-
339	We already pay for very few services	-
340	I also think the government should pay more for policing in Norfolk	The police really need for funding although I think the government should make more funds available as well
341	Already overpaying for reduced service. Increase the recovery of proceeds of crime to fund services. Get rid on unnecessary political posts within the service, commissioner is a waste of resources.	-
342	But all the other organisations who take part of their budget from the Council Tax are asking the same of local people, which means that the disadvantaged will inevitably be squeezed.	If you're going to do it please do it properly.
343	We pay enough in other taxes. So NO I would not be prepared to pay more for the Police part of Council Tax.	-
344	I feel that currently resources are inadequate	That equates with only 5 bottles of wine per annum.
345	I pay too much tax as it is. NO I WOULD NOT BE PREPARED TO PAY MORE COUNCIL TAX FOR THE POLICE SECTION.	-
346	I don't see officer patrolling where I live	-

	A	B
347	Policing should be funded via our council tax, the government needs to cover the cost, but I would be willing to pay extra if it went to my local area.	-
348	we need to keep Norfolk safe.	-
349	only if you tackle crime that matters, not online abuse etc. You need to tackle drunks and violence in Thetford, car crime, burglary, antisocial behaviour etc.	-
350	-	Cannot support a higher increase as, many people myself included on fixed incomes consistently see the public sector having higher increases than the private sector
351	Merge and make savings on senior staff and admin	-
352	It's important to have a well trained, adequately resourced and up to date police force.	-
353	Yes, I would but not as part of a council tax increase. Council tax should be used for council services which are already underfunded. Increasing police funding by increasing the precept is disingenuous. I have therefore ticked No as I don't want my opinion to be misrepresented.	-
354	After raising last time. PCSO's still scrapped just to pay for police commissioner. Crime in costessey is ridiculous and police don't have time to come out due to these cuts.	-
355	For sure	-
356	All Forces and the like should learn to work within their budgets and not constantly requesting ever more funds from the public, members of whom have also to work restraints without the luxury of an bottomless pit of money or source of income	-
357	At this present time, although year-on-year the police have had increases in their funding, the public perception of 'better policing' has fallen, with this in mind, and, having had personal experience of recent failings, when requesting help, I would not be able to see my way clear to further fund a failing public body.	But I didn't.
358	I think they've made enough cuts to the police service, and yes they deserve more income however I feel from the police officers I have spoken to that the sergeants and other offices (in and around NORWICH/Norfolk) are not pushing /questioning their teams as to why jobs are not being completed or spending more than the average time responding to a job. (sitting in the station and saying they're too busy to take on other jobs from control room). Surly someone is looking at the CAD figures for teams and stations on shift to see where the issues are. Also people abuse four hours at time and a half for overtime finishing on a rest day. Some times these are ligitimate but I bet not all of them and the majority of them are not.	-
359	Despite a previous increase PCSOs have been sacked and Police officers are not obvious on the streets. A brand new Police station has been built but it is closed more often than not. When people spend more money they expect to see what that money is spent on.	-
360	Providing a better service will be given	-
361	They are part of our emergency services what more needs to be said?! 😊	More officers and technology should result in faster crime solving and hopefully less crime if there are opportunities for it to be proactive.
362	as long as it goes on front line policing	as long as it goes into local policing and law enforcement
363	-	-

	A	B
364	-	-
365	Money just gets poured into senior staff's pockets.Rural crime is getting worse and worse and so are Norfolk police's clear up rates-the worst in the country?	-
366	How about top brass take a small pay cut ?	-
367	Not under any circumstances. The public contribution is high enough, when my wages increase then fine, but wages haven't increased in years yet taxes just keep going up and up!	-
368	NO WAY!!!!	-
369	Absolutely not. They don't bother to come out for most things now. I would want to see a lot more for my money.	-
370	More needs to be spent at a time of rising crime, but ONLY if the focus of extra revenue is on more police officers whilst continuing efficiency savings (ie admin being shared with other forces etc)	I personally think £24 seems to much on top of other rises. £20 would be better
371	They need to be patrolling the area on foot again.	I don't mind paying more for a 'service'.
372	spend less on the PCC and his staff	-
373	I feel the government should be increasing their funding to the Police	-
374	It is totally crazy to skimp on policing, ruins so many lives & surely costs more in the long run.	£24 per year is a very small amount for anyone liable for Council Tax who does not qualify for rebate.
375	Perhaps if the PCC gave money to Norfolk Constabulary rather than giving money to other causes that we read about in the press then there would be no need to ask people to pay more.	N/A
376	We need to install a robust system of prevention beginning with parental responsibility. Then concentrate on building communities. PCSOs played a vital part in this bring them back.	-
377	The police have historically always had above inflation tax rises. They should stop eating my money. They can make a start by getting rid of the PCC and his overplayed entourage.	-
378	I think it's important we resource the police to deal with low level as well as higher priority crime. Also that the police look to streamline their back office services as far as possible to divert resource into the front line.	-
379	But it depends on what that was being used for	-
380	because having experienced crime of which seems to go on and on because AVIVA PLC other people dominating the police services yes they are on lesser monies they do work they arnt effective at many crime such as financial crime as those perpetrating have more money than they do	they are a service of which ever individual citizen rels upon so much of the crime is preventable
381	One has to otherwise things don't improve.	First one doesn't help and last one bit too expensive.
382	I would like to know what the additional spending proposals are and how it would be spent before finally agreeing or having my council tax increased	-
383	Cut the pay to high paid officers or cut the number of higher paid officers.	-
384	We need to make sure that all crime is treated seriously and people feel that the police are trying to trace the people who committed a crime.	I would need to know that the extra money collected was definitely going to policing

	A	B
385	I would be willing to spend more on the police force is assured the 9's service would stop being abused by mental health trust and ambulance service. The police are there 24-7 but increasingly their resources and man power are used to go chasing around in signs of safety cases - often these are false claims as the person calling up is drunk and not at the place they say they are which means manpower hours tied up chasing around after mentally ill people who just want attention. The police force is not an extension of the NSF trust which has the mental health contract for service in Norfolk & Suffolk.	Unless NHS England and NSFT can put in place a mental health service in Norfolk which has 24/7 help line that the 9s can divert calls to. No to more money to have police - go chasing mental health patients. THAT IS NOT WHAT THE POLICE FORCE IS THERE FOR. they are not an extension of the NHS. If the money was to be spent on resources for policing matters then yes. BUT WHAT GUARANTEE CAN YOU GIVE WHERE THIS MONEY WILL BE SPENT?
386	-	With the removal of 150 PCSO's last year and the closure of 7 PEO's, with the savings made you supposedly had funds to pay for 81 new PC's and new investigation hubs, and smart phones for all officer. And a year later it can't be maintained? Hmmm... who's going to be next in line for the chop
387	within reason as long as frontline policing is the priority.	This should be matched by government spending increases
388	we were asked to finance last years at max amount in return for more police on streets which hasn't and didn't materialise im afraid i no longer have trust in the commissioner to deliver on his promises	-
389	Increase in funding should be met by central government	-
390	But we already did this at least once before and SB still scrapped the PCSO's.	-
391	No more were dose it stop. Were do we get the money from?	-
392	I am a resident of Caister you have closed our police station and response time has increased since closure or in some cases NO RESPONCEat all. If we gave more money I do not believe we would get a better service.	-
393	Taxation of any sort is a matter of priorities. I perceive adequate policing to be a very high priority.	This is actually a trivial sum of money to anyone, of any means, and cannot possibly be resented.
394	Still an underfunded service and although its a shame that the funds have to come from the public rather than a government source, but it has to come from somewhere.	-
395	I already pay income tax and national insurance, my pension contributions have gone up while the eventual return has plummeted and I will have to work for longer. I have been on a pay freeze for several years while prices keep rising. Council tax has already risen while council services have been drastically reduced so frankly no I am not willing to pay yet more for what should be a fundamental right for a full time worker in a modern democracy. Considering I am a police officer and therefore my working life would potentially be improved by more funding it would be easy to simply say "yes of course, the police need more money" but there is a bigger picture. Yet again those of us who do contribute are being asked to contribute more while receiving less. Whereas those who choose not to contribute towards society are the ones who claim the most from it. A more honest and courageous discussion regarding some of the nonsense the police and other public services deal with would bear more fruit than taking this easy option of simply asking for ever more funding.	-
396	The police should be funded more by the central government and not the local government. We pay enough taxes and should be getting what we pay for.	-
397	only and ONLY if I see more police presence in Downham Market and not in larger towns	this is the maximum I would be willing to pay

	A	B
398	Yes, BUT! Policing isn't the only service that's short of funding, how about social care, children's services etc. Where does it stop? A lot of people just can't afford any increase at all. I blame modern technology! All the ever increasing 'essential' gadgets, which will all no doubt need updating very soon at even greater cost.	Can't afford any more
399	I am prepared to pay more for policing. But I would like to see a lot more RESTORATIVE JUSTICE, COMMUNITY SENTENCES with REHABILITATION MENTORING such as the COMMUNITY CHAPLAINCY and ST STEVENS CHURCH FACILITIES PREVENTATIVE MEASURES RE : WORK and MENTAL HEALTH	-
400	-	-
401	Every year the increase in council tax virtually wipes out the increase in my old age pension	-
402	Provided we as the public can see a police presence as we go about our daily lives and feel safer than we do now.	-
403	-	Above choice indicates willingness to pay more tax to invest in services BUT as policing is only one element of tax it is possible that a BIG increase across the board might make me less enthusiastic.
404	-	Would be happy to pay 50 pence a week. We need more police.
405	My income remains the same, no increase, I have to manage somehow on what I have, so should the police	-
406	-	more police on the street is better
407	-	more beat police officers
408	Central government should pay!	-
409	-	I have no obligation to paying more for policing, my only concern is that the money would go to where it is needed rather than administration.
410	But do they actually fire Chief Constables? Nine out of ten times you read any rank of policing has "resigned" so they can hold on to their pension. On top of this, a PCC can only be fired by somebody within the Government, who is unlikely to know about the feelings in the region where they are unhappy with a PCC	-
411	normally i would say yes but i believe that most "on the street"policing is retrospective and not proactive like it used to be in my youth and i have now lost all faith in policing and as a pensioner finding even more increases on my council tax is just not feasible as my state pensions do not get increased anywhere near enough to meet these increases!!	-
412	-	I support an increase of 46p per week providing the resulting increased budget is spent on visibility and effectiveness of policing.
413	You need the give the police the tools to do the job	-
414	I believe the police need to ensure they are obtaining value for money from existing resurces	-
415	We need many more pc's ,the Chief Constable can manage the Police Force. Save lots of money as we do NOT need a Police Commissioner	-
416	Why should i pay more for this when London take out next year then you keep put it up up year after year if we people of Norfolk cut pcc job use money from his job it be bet take from Norfolk people	-

	A	B
417	Ask London for it not Norfolk people council do not need help put council tax there good do it for there suf there fax cat wast money	-
418	Whilst I do appreciate that more money needs to be given to the police for more officers, I personally, would find it very difficult to find the extra monye.	-
419	-	It is essential to maintain a police presence on the streets as a deterrent to crime. I was borne in an ear when the local bobby was your friend and kept us out of trouble. Don't let us lose anymore on our streets
420	Public services need to be paid for and government cuts have reduced our quality of life . It is right that funds for policing should be raised by taxation if this is not on a national scale then the only recourse is at a local level.	-
421	We need more realistic roads policing. Breaking the traffic laws is rife and puts public health at risk in so many ways	I would like details of where the money would go. Justify the amount, not hit a random number target
422	I think it could be used better for the NHS and ambulance service rather than police.	-
423	You got rid of pco's to be able to put more officers on the street - where are they??	-
424	-	I think it would be well worth the extra cost in order to feel safe in my home and home town.
425	More police resources are important	-
426	Having more police would means they are noticeable either in their cars or on foot. This is a good deterrent for any who might break th law.	Not a lot over a year and affordable by virtually all.
427	The government should be funding theses services, from the incredible amount of taxes normal people pay.	-
428	Always better to have more. Better than less by a mile.	-
429	-	I think the 2.5% VAT which was put in place from the poll tax and never removed should be available to local authorities from the treasury to help prevent some of the problems we are all faced with. clubs, pubs and football clubs support financially the police and councils should look at closing times and have disturbing the peace dropped from the agenda. The house hold budget is being out under immense pressure and is not a bottomless pit.
430	We all pay enough for policing in our council tax, the government should pay	-
431	No.	-
432	Cromer feels unpoliced at the moment	So long as the money is spent as indicated
433	Struggle to pay the £115 a month as it is.	-
434	Because nothing gets done when you call them	-
435	As long as it meant an increase in constables.	-

	A	B
436	<p>Policing is constantly used for people who are abusing alcohol and drugs and think I shouldn't have to pay for further policing when it goes in people like that.</p> <p>I live on a road in Cromer, where there is constantly police cars late at night, dealing with interruptions and not for important things.</p> <p>Where are the police when I have to walk home at night on my own? No police cars to be seen when I'm walking home gone 11pm after work as they are constantly dealing with drug and alcohol abuse.</p> <p>I am currently going through a domestic abuse case with my family and can barely get them to reply for this. They should be spending time with people who need the help not with people who are abusing the system and using the police for attention</p>	-
437	<p>Given the existing outrageous levels of local taxation its an absolute disgrace that the residents of the area are being asked to pay even more. Given that most of the local inhabitants are of a certain demographic, some on much reduced incomes, are hardly in a position to pay more. Suggest you go back to Central Government and ask them to pay for any additional increase</p>	-
438	<p>Being a single person I pay council tax and it's quite high now plus I only work 25 pw so no I would be able to afford higher C/T.</p>	-
439	<p>I would rather that the money I already pay for my council tax is used more for policing. The amount of council tax I already pay is to much and with a young family I can't afford to pay more.</p>	-
440	<p>My finances are not finite.</p>	-
441	<p>But only if £978,000 is saved by scrapping the PCC</p>	-
442	-	Provided it is spent in my area.
443	<p>I would not normally bother to complete a survey of this nature and hitherto have always excepted the inevitable of a rise in the policing precept. However in recent years our area and indeed particularly our village of Poringland, has seen nothing in the way of a policing presence. Intelligence on criminality (drug distribution) is not pursued and crimes go un-investigated. If you stopped some of the wholesale waste of money that continually goes ion within your service, disguised as "re-organisation" (departments moved from building to building, redundancies only to re-employ the same staff because you realise you cant do without them etc etc) then perhaps I would have a more sympathy with your request to increase the tax payers contribution.</p>	-
444	<p>Sorry but I am on a pension and with every thing from daily shopping going up money is tight.</p> <p>The Government should stop wasting money and fund the police, we had a good force years ago, now we have more homes with more people paying Council Tax, so you do the Maths,</p>	-
445	<p>What for? As far as i see Police waste far too much money over nothing! Learn to be efficient with what you have and the result will be the very same. If i had a choice on what to do with the tax money i pay i believe it would be million times better spent on Health, Education and Social Care, then we would not need the Police anyway...</p>	-
446	-	We already pay through the council tax.
447	<p>As long as this money goes towards improvements such as new officers, new technology & equipment, increases in pay for frontline officers and not senior management/leadership, increased presence of officers in rural areas.</p>	-
448	<p>They need more technology.</p>	-

	A	B
449	Yes but the removal of the PCC must be considered.	-
450	No , dealings with officers over the last year are not worth the increase .	-
451	I would be prepared to pay more however it needs to be noted that tens of thousands of pounds was wasted on the fire service consultation by the PCC for Norfolk which could have paid for at least one police constable. also its not that long ago that PCSO's were made redundant to employ more police officers. what happened to this financial saving?	Is the OPCC campaigning at a national level for more money from central government?
452	We need more officers and resources to cope with the increase in crime brought to our county by drugs and criminals from outside the area.	-
453	I dont live in kings lynn so it does not effect me so why should people pay more council tax living in a village.	-
454	Following the royal accident(amongst others), the result of which is to be a decrease in speed limits and an increase in cameras. As speed apparently was the only deciding factor of these accidents we have been told that these measures will reduce the number of accidents and also financially penalise those speeding. The result of which means less incidents for the Police and other emergency services to attend. giving them more time in other areas such as community and crime detection. Also an increase in revenue due to the fines, these measures county wide should surely mean a more effective efficient service without the need to increase Council tax.	-
455	Im really sorry but no! We barely get by as it is and we cannot afford anymore.	-
456	We already pay enough council tax, I believe the problem lies within the force its self and the way they are distributed, You'll always see a speed trap or a motorist pulled over, but you never see a patrol in King's Lynn town center where the trouble is, with the gangs and cyclists riding dangerously past the shoppers, someone will be killed by a cyclist one day, then you'll do something and it'll be too late.	-
457	We pay a generous amount	-
458	It is not down to the public to make up the lack of funding by this government,	-
459	Funding needs to come from central government. While Norfolk police are called away to cover London at a drop of a hat, why should norfolk residents fund it. Policing is a national resource not local.	-
460	It rises every year and policing levels never increase. Kings lynn is currently under yob rule in the evenings and its unsafe to walk around. You can't walk around the bus station or the car park next to sainsburys with out the strobg smell of weed.	None, no rise
461	Absolutely not. You make the choice to remove locally based policing (PCSO's) which provided the community with direct police contact and a level of reassurance. Since then, ASB has gotten out of hand and you expect the public to be responsible for funding the Policing gap?? Seriously!	-
462	It's not my responsibility to cover up for an inept government. Use the brexit dividend 😊	-
463	I would like to see the role of PCC as an un-paid role. Their salary saving would go to assist the funding shortage.	-

	A	B
464	Feel there should be enough	-
465	<p>It is quicker to get a pizza delivered than it is a police officer. We gave more money last year and got less.</p> <p>Why not get rid of the police commissioner and use that money for real police officers and not pen pushers.</p>	It is only fair that all bands have to pay not just the people that work and pay enough taxes
466	<p>Funding extra policing via local taxation is plain wrong.</p> <p>This should be funded by Central Government- we are in danger of implementing postcode policing, where some counties can afford more, some a lot less- the government MUST fund policing properly and reverse the cuts of the last 9 years. Locally, we have axed PCSO's and the gap has not been properly filled- to say otherwise is disingenuous at best.</p> <p>I am inherently against anymore precept raises.</p>	No more precept rises- Central Government should fund policing properly!!
467	I already pay £179 a month when I only earn £1049, every year for the last 5 years my council tax has risen by £5 a month and the services being provided have decreased as each year passes so I'm sorry I do not support a rise on my bill.	-
468	My wages are same as last year, I can't afford to pay more	-



OFFICE OF THE POLICE & CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR NORFOLK

Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner Budget Consultation 2019/20 Results

Appendix C: PCC community engagement

Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner Budget Consultation

Appendix C- District Engagement

Below are photographs from each district public engagements:

NORWICH



DOWNHAM MARKET



GREAT YARMOUTH



FAKENHAM



WYMONDHAM



DEREHAM



AYLSHAM

