

**Norfolk County Council  
Minutes of the Meeting Held at 10 am on  
Tuesday 26 September 2023**

<b>Present:</b>		
	<b>ADAMS</b> Timothy	
	<b>ADAMS</b> Tony	<b>KIDDIE</b> Keith
	<b>ANNISON</b> Carl	<b>LONG</b> Brian
	<b>AQUARONE</b> Steffan	<b>MACKIE</b> Ian
	<b>ASKEW</b> Stephen	<b>MASON BILLIG</b> Kay
	<b>BAMBRIDGE</b> Lesley	<b>MORPHEW</b> Steve
	<b>BENSLY</b> James	<b>NEALE</b> Paul
	<b>BILLS</b> David	<b>PECK</b> Greg
	<b>BIRMINGHAM</b> Alison	<b>PENFOLD</b> Saul
	<b>BLUNDELL</b> Sharon	<b>PLANT</b> Graham
	<b>BORRETT</b> Bill	<b>PRICE</b> Ben
	<b>BOWES</b> Claire	<b>RICHMOND</b> Will
	<b>BROCIEK-COULTON</b> Julie	<b>Reilly</b> Matthew
	<b>CARPENTER</b> Penny	<b>ROPER</b> Dan (arrived at 12:46)
	<b>CLANCY</b> Stuart	<b>ROWETT</b> Catherine
	<b>COLWELL</b> Robert	<b>RUMSBY</b> Chrissie
	<b>CONNOLLY</b> Ed	<b>SANDS</b> Mike
	<b>CROFTS</b> John	<b>SAYERS</b> David
	<b>DALBY</b> Michael	<b>SAVAGE</b> Robert
	<b>DARK</b> Stuart	<b>SHIRES</b> Lucy
	<b>DAWSON</b> Christopher	<b>SMITH</b> Carl
	<b>DIXON</b> Nigel	<b>SMITH-CLARE</b> Mike
	<b>DUIGAN</b> Phillip	<b>STONE</b> Barry
	<b>EAGLE</b> Fabian	<b>STOREY</b> Martin
	<b>ELMER</b> Daniel	<b>THOMAS</b> Alison
	<b>FITZPATRICK</b> Tom	<b>VARDY</b> Eric
	<b>FISHER</b> John	<b>VINCENT</b> Karen
	<b>GRANT</b> Andy	<b>WALKER</b> Colleen
	<b>GURNEY</b> Shelagh	<b>WARD</b> John
	<b>HEMPSALL</b> Lana	<b>WATKINS</b> Brian
	<b>HORSBRUGH</b> Michael Chenery of	<b>WEBB</b> Maxine
	<b>JAMES</b> Jane	<b>WHITE</b> Tony
	<b>JAMIESON</b> Andrew	<b>WHYMARK</b> Fran
	<b>JERMY</b> Terry	<b>WILBY</b> Martin
	<b>KEMP</b> Alexandra	

**Present: 68**

## **1. Apologies**

- 1.1. Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Vic Thomson, Cllr William Nunn, Cllr Julian Kirk, Cllr Mark Kiddle Morris, Cllr Brenda Jones, Cllr Richard Price, Cllr Andrew Proctor, Cllr Margaret Dewsbury, Cllr Ed Maxfield, Cllr Jim Moriarty, Cllr Dan Roper, Cllr Judy Oliver, Cllr Steve Reilly, Cllr Rhodri Oliver, Cllr Graham Carpenter, Cllr Emma Corlett and Cllr Jamie Osborn.
- 1.2 The Chair reminded Councillors that meetings of the Council would not normally extend beyond 3 hours unless this was extended in accordance with rule 11. 1 (n) of the Council Procedure Rules, however it was his intention to enact rule 4 (v) and rule 4 (vi) of the constitution once the meeting period had elapsed if any business remained. The practical application of this would be that the meeting continued on a vote only basis.
- 1.3 The Chair also said that he intended to adjourn the meeting after about 2 hours for a short comfort break.

## **2. Minutes**

- 2.1 The minutes of the Council meeting held on 18 July 2023 were confirmed as an accurate record of the meeting.

## **3. To receive any announcements from the Chair, Leader, or Chief Executive**

- 3.1 The Leader welcomed back Cllr Martin Wilby to Council after a period of illness. She said that she had had a meeting, with the Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities to discuss information relating to the devolution deal. The Leader and Deputy Leader had also held a constructive meeting with Norfolk MPs about the assisted living and housing schemes. They had also attended a County Council Networking event where they had been able to speak with the Local Government Finance Minister. They requested a fairer funding for Norfolk and a three-year settlement rather than the annual one that was currently received.
- 3.2 The Chair welcomed Cllr John Crofts to his first meeting of the County Council following his election as the new County Councillor for Freebridge Lynn Division at the by-election held on Thursday 3 August 2023.
- 3.3 The Chair shared that the Norfolk Fire and Rescue Service was holding a 385-mile relay between all 42 fire stations in the county where more than 250 fire fighters and fire staff will take part to raise money for The Fire Fighters Charity. This would take place between Thursday 28 September 2023 and Sunday 1 October 2023, starting in Great Yarmouth, and finishing at Carrow Jubilee Fire Station. Members were encouraged to get involved and show their support.

## **4. Declarations of Interest**

- 4.1 Cllr Alison Birmingham, Cllr Penny Carpenter, Cllr Paul Neale, Cllr Barry Stone. Cllr Robert Savage and Cllr Karen Vincent declared an 'other interest' related to motion 8 as they were members of the Norfolk Pension Fund.

- 4.2 Cllr Steve Morphew, Cllr Maxine Webb and Cllr Ben Price declared an 'other interest' related to motion 8 as their spouses or family members were members of the Norfolk Pension Fund.
- 4.3 Cllr David Sayers declared 'an other' interest related to motions 2 and 11 as he worked in the construction industry and had a personal interest in the easing of restrictions on nutrient neutrality.
- 4.4 Cllr John Ward declared 'an other' interest related to item 17.1, museums report as he was the Chairman and Director of the Norfolk Museums Development Foundation.
- 4.5 Cllr Michael Chenery of Horsburgh declared an 'other interest' that he was a Trustee of the Norfolk Record Office and a Governor of the Norfolk and Suffolk Foundation Trust.

## **5. Petitions presented to Council**

- 5.1 There were no items of petition for Council to consider within the timeframe for this meeting.

## **6. Business (if any) remaining from the last Council meeting**

- 6.1 No business was outstanding since the meeting of the 18 July 2023.

## **7. Questions to the Leader**

### **7.1 Question from Cllr Mike Sands**

- 7.1.1 Cllr Sands had advised in March 2023 that 640 pharmacies in England had permanently closed, this number now stands at over 900 that have permanently closed. Many pharmacies are subsidising their shops; one local pharmacist has even mortgaged his own home to keep his pharmacy open to provide a service to the public. It is high time that the government reviews the rates at which pharmacies are paid for prescriptions and other services. Will the Leader join me in a call for immediate action by Westminster to review the rates of these payments?

- 7.1.2 In reply, the Leader highlighted that Motion 10 focused on protecting local pharmacies and that this would be discussed then. She noted schemes are available through the District Councils to help with business rates and other services.

### **7.2 Question from Cllr Saul Penfold**

- 7.2.1 It is stated that even one or two external shocks can be enough to destabilise even well-run authorities. With this in mind and with the Council's significant amount of debt and interest payments, the overspend and uncertainty in transformation in Children's Services, the limbo of the Norwich Western Link, the crisis in Adult Social, and the changes in DIY charges at the recycling centre, is the Leader of the Council concerned that any of these potential shocks or indeed other uncertainties could result in our own bankruptcy?

7.2.2 In response, the Leader assured members of her confidence that Norfolk County Council would not be facing a section 114. The circumstances that resulted in Birmingham County Council facing a section 114 had been investigated, the issues they faced were issues that Norfolk County Council had resolved over a decade ago in relation to gender pay gap deficits. Whilst there was increasing pressures, work towards a balanced budget for 2024/25 was being undertaken.

7.3 **Question from Cllr Ben Price**

7.3.1 The Friends of Wensum Lodge is a newly formed community group, and their mission statement is to work with others to make Wensum Lodge a creative and learning centre for Norfolk and Norwich, and a hub for community activities. This politically neutral community group will be meeting at 7pm on October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2023, at the King's Centre Cafe on King Street, Norwich. Will the Leader demonstrate this council's willingness to work with Norfolk residents in developing a long-term strategy for Wensum Lodge by agreeing to attend this meeting?

7.3.2 The Leader replied that they wanted to see Wensum Lodge retained for community use and were keen to find a way forward, through communication with Norwich City Council and community involvement, to ensure this. With regard to the meeting on 12 October 2023, the Leader noted that they would check their availability.

7.4 **Question from Cllr Lesley Bambridge**

7.4.1 Cllr Lesley Bambridge asked if the Leader would join her in congratulating the successful English women's football team, including Norfolk's Lauren Hemp, in the recent World Cup?

7.4.2 The Leader in response shared how thrilled she was that women's football was being broadcasted on mainstream television channels, she acknowledged the significance of this but also mentioned the difference that remained between men's football, notably that some women footballers are still required to work. The Leader referred to her pride that Lauren Hemp was a Norfolk resident.

7.5 **Question from Cllr Alexandra Kemp**

7.5.1 September's 2023 Integrated Care Partnership (ICP) report says that 5-year-olds in West Norfolk and Great Yarmouth have the highest prevalence of tooth decay in the whole of the East of England and that 15–19-year-olds in Norfolk are being referred to secondary care for tooth extractions. Public Health at the County Council has a statutory duty for prevention, so can the Leader ask Public Health to commission the School Dental Service, and provide free toothbrushes and toothpaste for children?

7.5.2 The Leader replied that the challenges with dentistry in Norfolk were a concern that was shared by many. The issue was a national problem and there was no easy fix. There were plans for a Dental School in Norfolk which would allow us to grow our own dentists and encourage them to stay in the county. The issues with workforce and recruitment in Norfolk was challenging and there were hopes that the dental schools would play a role in combating this. The Leader noted that the

Council does not have oversight on what dental service schemes are provided within multi academy trust schools.

**7.6 Question from Cllr Julie Brociek-Coulton**

7.6.1 Members are reportedly referring to themselves as Member Champions, but these roles haven't been confirmed. These were supposed to be made after the first Cabinet meeting of the year, but I cannot find where they've been formally announced. Can the Leader confirm when she did this?

7.6.2 The Leader replied that this work was being undertaken by a member of Cabinet and they were refining roles to ensure that the focus was where it needed to be.

**7.7 Question from Cllr Rob Colwell**

7.7.1 Earlier this month, a list published by the Department of Education identified a single school in Norfolk containing reinforced autoclaved aerated concrete (RAAC). However, we are yet to receive a full report back from academy trust run schools. Is the Cabinet Member aware of any buildings containing RAAC or asbestos which used to be owned and maintained by the council but are now in control of an academy trust?

7.7.2 In response, the Leader shared that they were not aware of any schools that were facing issues relating to RAAC. One school was identified as having RAAC in its main hall and, because it was not identified in the classroom, has been able to continue largely unaffected. This was a national issue that required a government response about its resolution.

**7.8 Question from Cllr Catherine Rowett**

7.8.1 Chris Norbury, Chief Executive of energy supplier E.ON, speaking about Rishi Sunak's decision to scrap targets for insulation in private rental housing said "we risk condemning people to many more years of living in cold and draughty homes that are expensive to heat, in cities clogged with dirty air from fossil fuels". With the Government having now abandoned renters along with realistic climate change targets, how will the County Council work with districts, landlords and other partners to address the crisis of sub-standard private rental housing and get more private rental houses insulated?

7.8.2 In response, the Leader replied that this remit was the responsibility of District Councils, to regulate, and ensure, that private rental sector standards were being adhered to.

**7.9 Question from Cllr John Fisher**

7.9.1 With regard to the recent catch up discussion with the Leader and CEO were records kept of how many staff tuned in and benefited from hearing the discussion and are there plans in the future for more catch-up chats to take place?

- 7.9.2 The Leader shared that the 'Catch up with Kay and Tom' was an opportunity to engage with staff and for staff to be introduced to the Leader and the CEO. At its peak, 900 people were attended the virtual meeting. The Leader felt that it was a useful exercise and opened up communication. There were plans to run another session, which it was hoped would be in person.

## **8. Recommendations from Cabinet**

- 8.1 The Leader moved, seconded by Cllr Andrew Jamieson, the recommendation 1 from the Cabinet meeting held on 4 September 2023. As recommendation 2 concerned a change within the Budget and Policy Framework it would be taken as a substantive item at item 19.

- 8.2 Council **RESOLVED** on a show of hands

- To recommend that the Council approves the addition of a net £8.94m to the P6 capital programme on 26 September 2023 for the following schemes as set out in Capital Appendix 3, paragraph 4.2-4.4 as follows:
- £10m new capital loan for the Norse Group Project One replacement and integration of its HR and Finance systems as set out in Appendix 3, note 4.2
- £3.4m uplift to the Great Yarmouth O & M Campus project to fund the cost pressures identified in the latest forecast including inflationary cost pressures and additional drainage, decontamination and remedial works identified as set out in Appendix 3, note 4.3.
- £1.05m temporary uplift to the NCC Borrowing contribution to fund the West Winch Housing Access Road project up to the end of November 2023, asset out elsewhere in the agenda
- Offset by £5.515m reduction in the Adult Learning capital programme following the decision to pursue alternative more accessible venues for the delivery of the Adult Learning programme and dispose of the Wensum Lodge site.

## **9. Cabinet Report (Questions to Cabinet Members)**

- 9.1 The Leader moved the report of the Cabinet meetings held on 7 August and 4 September 2023.

Council **RESOLVED** to **AGREE** the report.

### **9.2 Question from Cllr Terry Jermy to Cllr Penny Carpenter, the Cabinet Member for Children Services**

- 9.2.1 Cllr Terry Jermy advised that there was significant concern amongst Thetford residents about the quality of one of the providers of the Summer Holiday Activity Scheme and after complaints were raised with the Council, the arrangement with that provider was terminated this, Summer. Can the Cabinet Member reassure residents that the County Council's processes for selecting providers is sufficiently robust so that parents can have confidence that the activities that their children are attending, linked to Norfolk County Council, are delivered by reputable companies and that children attending the activities are at all times safe from harm?

- 9.2.2 The Cabinet Member for Children Services provided reassurance that there were robust plans in place for selecting providers for the Summer Holiday Activity Scheme. The feedback from the sessions run over the summer had been collated and was being produced into a report. Cllr Penny Carpenter thanked Cllr Terry Jermy for raising concerns and for his question.
- 9.3 **Question from Cllr Steffan Aquarone to Cllr Eric Vardy, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste**
- 9.3.1 Cllr Steffan Aquarone said that earlier this year, this council decided to implement plans to build a new recycling centre in Sheringham. The chosen site is a designated Area of Outstanding Natural beauty (AONB) which is now threatened with large scale industrialisation. However, it is not just the environmental cost, but also the financial cost to the taxpayer that this administration must justify. How much per month in rent will use of this site cost the council?
- 9.3.2 The Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste responded that they did not have this information to hand and that a written response would be provided.
- 9.4 **Question from Cllr Paul Neale to Cllr Fabian Eagle, the Cabinet Member for Economic Growth**
- 9.4.1 Cllr Paul Neale noted that Ford Motor Company advised last week that the Conservative Government's U-turn on electric car targets undermines ambition, commitment, and consistency - three things that are vital to achieving a green economy. Businesses need certainty to have the confidence to invest in the green economy - and that means setting and sticking to targets. With the Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEP) being brought into the county council and thus a stronger business growth mandate for the council, how is he seeking to set targets and policies to ensure confidence in the green economy?
- 9.4.2 The Cabinet Member for Economic Growth replied that the green economy, like any other economy, would be led by the consumer.
- 9.5 **Question from Cllr Stuart Clancy to Cllr Andrew Jamieson, the Cabinet Member for Finance**
- 9.5.1 Cllr Stuart Clancy asked for more information in relation to the Council's finances, especially with the uncertainty in Local Government finances and the section 114 notices being issued by various councils.
- 9.5.2 The Cabinet Member for Finance replied that they were able to report that Norfolk County Council had a sound financial budget and that all that could be done to safeguard the Council's financial position, was being done. He advised that the increase in section 114 notices was specific to the councils in question and their issues i.e., equal pay, poor commercial activities, and failure to set budgets sufficiently. Whilst these were not issues that the Council experienced, there was still a need to remain vigilant with the monitoring of the budget and to consider the pressures that were being faced.

9.6 **Question from Cllr Alexadra Kemp to Cllr Jane James, the Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation**

9.6.1 Cllr Alexadra Kemp advised that by the end of 2025, all analogue landlines will be switched over to digital Voice Over the Internet (VOIP) provision. This will be a worse service for residents and could put vulnerable Norfolk residents, at risk during storms and power cuts, who struggle to use mobile phones. VOIP goes when the internet goes down. VOIP does not work in a power cut, unlike analogue phones. Rural broadband can be unreliable. West Norfolk has not yet been included in Project Gigabyte. The power back up for VOIP is expensive and only works for up to an hour. Can the Cabinet Member bring VOIP to a Committee, with the industry present, to help safeguard vulnerable residents in the switchover, and encourage the industry to develop a better back-up technology. No resident should have to switch to a worse service.

9.6.2 The Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation shared concerns that the switch over to VOIP could leave vulnerable residents of Norfolk without connection. Information about what to expect from the changes has been published on the Council's website. The industry was being challenged on these questions and Norfolk was part of the Local Government Association working group on this issue. It was felt that collaboration between the districts and the sharing of information and databases would be worthwhile to identify negative implications and try to minimise them. It was the Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation's opinion that a collaborative piece of work between Norfolk County Council, district councils, children, and adult services would be valuable, and it would be their desire that this was brought to a select committee to be discussed in a public forum.

9.7 **Question from Cllr Mike Smith-Clare to Cllr Graham Plant, the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport**

9.7.1 Cllr Smith-Clare noted that the 'Volegate' issue had been resolved and September was nearing an end, was the exact date known of when Great Yarmouth's third river crossing will be opened?

9.7.2 The Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure, and Transport replied that there were still issues and work was still required. He did not have an exact date when this work would be completed but said that this would be shared once it was known.

9.8 **Question from Cllr John Crofts to Cllr Kay Mason Biling, the Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance**

9.8.1 Cllr John Crofts shared that last month, Norfolk and Suffolk Police admitted to a data breach after mishandling sensitive information on victims, suspects, and witnesses, some of which were related to domestic abuse. This comes after the countywide 999 outage earlier in the year. Does the Cabinet Member have confidence in Norfolk's Conservative Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) to deliver an effective strategy focused on community safety and to maintain an effective and efficient police service?



- 9.8.2 The Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance replied that the Council no longer had responsibility for the police service and that this was now the role of the Police and Crime Commissioner. They encouraged this question to be directed towards them for a response.
- 9.9 **Question from Cllr Catherine Rowett to Cllr Graham Plant, the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure, and Transport**
- 9.9.1 Cllr Catherine Rowett congratulated the Cabinet Member on securing funding for improvements to bus travel, including the upgraded facilities at the bus hubs in Diss, among other places, and for the improvements to information at bus stops. The Travel Norfolk journey planner which has been promoted extensively this week also revealed many good connections for car free journeys. However, many regret the loss of the flexibus dial a ride scheme which provided a means of travel for those living far from the nearest bus stop and with limited mobility. Will the Cabinet Member join me in travelling to Tibenham one day this month by public transport, to see if we can make the journey there and back successfully within a day? I am happy to come to Gorleston to begin the journey from there.
- 9.9.2 In response, the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure, and Transport thanked Cllr Catherine Rowett for recognising the work that had been done to improve bus travel. He was keen to create a bus service that made good use of the funding and encouraged bus usage. He would consider the offer to travel to Tibenham.
- 9.10 **Question from Cllr Ed Connolly to Cllr Alison Thomas, the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care**
- 9.10.1 Cllr Ed Connolly asked if the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care could give the context around the decision to cease provision of in-patient reablement at Benjamin Court and Grays Fair Court
- 9.10.2 In response, the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care clarified that the decision to withdraw from in-patient reablement services at Benjamin Court and Grays Fair Court was a service decision and not a Cabinet Member decision. The needs for in-patient reablement have changed over time due to strengthening community-based services and the introduction of virtual beds. Additionally, patients want to return home and support can be provided by Norfolk First Support within a home environment. The Cabinet Member also explained the difference between reablement and convalescence, the latter referred to the active engagement of the patient to assist them to regain independence and to return home. The former is related to a patient who was recovering from a period of ill health or operation but do not need to remain on the ward and can be nursed within a community setting. She also noted that Priscilla Bacon Lodge has moved location and now has additional capacity.
- 9.11 **Question from Cllr Julie Brociek-Coulton to Cllr Alison Thomas, the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Cllr Graham Plant, the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure, and Transport**
- 9.11.1 Cllr Julie Brociek-Coulton asked if either the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care or the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport could

confirm whether the Council records the number of hours paid carers are losing between visits trying to find safe, legal parking.

9.11.2 In response, the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure, and Transport noted that this was an area that had not been looked at but that the systems put in place in Devon were being considered. It was clarified that parking permits were available through businesses that provided care. He acknowledged that not all carers are employed as carers. There was a need to better understand who was identified as a carer.

9.11.3 The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Services added that this issue had been raised with the interim Executive Director of Adult Social Care and was being investigated. Care providers have a duty of care to ensure that their carees can park and to ensure that they are providing parking permits to their employees.

9.12 **Question from Cllr Saul Penfold to Cllr Eric Vardy, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste**

9.12.1 Cllr Saul Penfold advised that last year in Norfolk there were 1,939 spills from sewage discharge points for a combined total of 8,713 hours. The pollution of our waterways by these discharges has remained unchecked for too long. When will this administration take its duty to protect our waterways and the health of our residents seriously?

9.12.2 The Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste replied that the administration does take their duty to protect waterways and the health of our residents seriously. He agreed that water companies were not operating well enough and that he would continue to drive for cleaner water.

9.13 **Question from Cllr Catherine Rowett to Cllr Jane James, the Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation**

9.13.1 Cllr Catherine Rowett thanked Councillor Price for inviting the Council to explore the option of a four-day working week, at Corporate Select Committee, and also thanked Councillor Nunn for supporting the idea by seconding Councillor Price's initiative. Does the Cabinet Member agree that the four-day week approach would be a positive move for local authorities, and that local authorities should be allowed to take part in trials and pilot schemes?

9.13.2 The Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation replied that she did not agree that the four-day week approach would be a positive move for local authorities. She noted Norfolk County Council's flexible working policy and that working arrangements had to ensure the best outcomes for Norfolk residents.

9.14 **Question from Cllr Stuart Clancy to Cllr Fabian Eagle the Cabinet Member for Economic Growth**

9.14.1 Cllr Stuart Clancy noted that a Norfolk based company, Solinatra, have been struggling with Defra regulations to manufacture a product in Norfolk which currently is being imported from the Far East. What are Norfolk County Council doing to support this company so that the product that can be manufactured in Norfolk instead of being imported?

9.14.2 The Cabinet Member for Economic Growth responded that Solinatra is a highly innovative and exciting company in Norfolk and was the type of company that should be encouraged more to show the value that Norfolk has to offer. The Cabinet has been pushing this concern and has spoken to MPs and pushing for their support. This concern was also being taken up with Lord Johnson.

9.15 **Question from Cllr Maxine Webb to Cllr Penny Carpenter, the Cabinet Member for Childrens Services**

9.15.1 Cllr Maxine Webb said that the report by Pro Bono Economics published yesterday "Wasting money, wasting potential - The cost of SEND Tribunals" found that nationally at least £60 million was wasted from council budgets during 2021-22. Last year, the cost of SEND tribunals to this council was £750,000 in legal costs alone, without factoring in officer time or other administrative costs. Is the Cabinet Member committed to action halting this financial burden on the council and unnecessary emotional burden on affected residents?

9.15.2 The Cabinet Member for Children Services acknowledged the impact that the SEND tribunals had on families but that they have no control over the judicial system or the waiting times. She shared that the Executive Director for Children Services had contact with the judge to improve the service and to better understand the timings.

**10. Recommendations from the Scrutiny Committee and Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee**

10.1 There were no recommendations from the Scrutiny Committee and the Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

**11. Recommendations from Committees**

11.1 There were no recommendations from Committees.

**12. Scrutiny Committee meetings held 19 July 2023**

12.1 Cllr Steve Morphew, Chair of the committee, moved the report. Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

**13. Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee meetings held on 14 September 2023**

13.1 Cllr Fran Whymark, Chair of the committee, moved the report. Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

**14. Reports from other Committees**

**14.1 Audit and Governance Committee held on 25 July and 7 September 2023**

14.1.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

**14.2 Planning Regulatory Committee held on 21 July 2023**

14.2.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

14.3 **Pensions Committee held on 12 September 2023**

14.3.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

14.4 **Employment Committee**

14.4.1 There had not been a meeting of the Employment Committee since the last Council meeting.

14.5 **Health and Wellbeing Board**

14.5.1 There had not been a meeting of the Health and Wellbeing Board since the last Council meeting.

**15. Reports from Select Committees**

15.1 **Corporate Select Committee held on 10 July and 11 September 2023**

15.1.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

15.2 **Infrastructure and Development Select Committee held on 12 July and 13 September 2023**

15.2.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

15.3 **People and Communities Select Committee held on 14 July and 15 September 2023**

15.3.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

**16. Reports about the business of joint arrangements and external organisations**

16.1 **Norfolk Joint Museums Committee held on 28 July 2023**

16.1.2 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report

16.2 **Norfolk Records Committee held on 28 July 2023.**

16.2.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

**17. Proportional Allocation of Places on Committees**

17.1 Cllr Kay Mason Billig, seconded by Cllr Jamieson, moved the recommendations contained in the report.

17.2 Council **RESOLVED** to approve the revised allocation of committee places and note that the Conservative Group will give up one place on the Corporate Select Committee to the Liberal Democrat Group.

**18. Pay Policy Statement 2023-24 Revisions**

- 18.1 Cllr Kay Mason Billig, seconded by Cllr Jamieson, moved the recommendations contained in the report.

Council **RESOLVED** to approve the draft revised statement and recommends the Pay Policy Statement 2023-24.

**19. Amendment to the Annual Investment and Treasury Management Strategy 2023-24**

Cllr Kay Mason Billig, seconded by Cllr Jamieson, moved the recommendations contained in the report.

Council **RESOLVED** to approve the amendment to the Annual Investment and Treasury Management Strategy 2023-24 (as appended) to increase the treasury management investment limit for Norse Group to £25.000m in order to maintain the existing level of cash flow facility available to the company.

**20. Notice of Motions**

**20.1 Motion 1 - Norfolk's Coastal Communities**

- 20.1.1 The following motion was proposed by Cllr James Bensley and seconded by Cllr Carl Smith

In the Parliamentary Select Committee 'The Future of Seaside Towns' report (2019), VisitBritain found that tourism was worth £127 billion to the British economy, of which domestic tourism was £86 billion, and tourism remains a crucial cornerstone of the local economy in many parts of Norfolk.

In places this economic arrangement is potentially jeopardised by coastal erosion. In Norfolk our soft eroding coast is already very vulnerable. Erosion impacts wider community and society as it undermines livelihoods, investment and accessibility and areas of our coast become blighted. We are seeing an unprecedented pace of coastal change and we can no longer afford to react. We must plan now if we want options that are resilient for the near and far future.

However, erosion is also an integral part of a dynamic healthy coastal system. Cliffs erode and feed beaches with sand, which in turn provide a natural defence against further erosion, as well as creating habitats for nature to flourish. The Government's Levelling up agenda aspires to target areas most in need, which will include our coastal communities, and the council welcomes the strong advocacy of local Members of Parliament for their communities. This Council welcomes the Flood and Coastal Resilience Innovation Fund, managed by the Environment Agency, allocating vital funding to support against the negative impacts of coastal erosion through innovative programs such as Coastwise and Resilience Coasts. However, the council does feel that further options should be considered to provide support to these valued communities. This Council resolves to write to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, asking them to consider the following options to help provide further support to Coastal Communities:

- Amendments to be made to the Defra Partnership Funding Calculator – acknowledging and providing more weighting to the value and importance of tourism in coastal areas.
- Legislation relating to income derived from offshore dredging and wind energy companies to allow a greater percentage to remain with local authorities for use on the coast.
- The Government to urgently make provision for an emergency fund for coastal areas impacted by erosion before the Flood and Coastal Innovation Programme completes. This would be for Risk Management Authorities to access and could be time limited until 2027.
- Dedicated resource to establish a National Coastal Adaptation Framework as recommended by the Climate Change Risk Assessment in 2021.

20.1.2 On being put to a vote there were 64 votes in favour of the motion, 0 votes against and 1 abstention the motion was **CARRIED**.

## 20.2 **Motion 2- Nutrient Neutrality**

20.2.1 The following motion was proposed by Cllr Terry Jermy and seconded by Cllr Maxine Webb

Council welcomes the House of Lords' rejection of Government proposals to abandon nutrient neutrality rules that force developers to mitigate pollution from new developments.

Council recognises the collaboration between councils in Norfolk to develop mitigation schemes to enable new developments that are compliant with nutrient neutrality rules and supports the acceleration of those efforts.

Council urges developers to take responsibility for the pollution derived from housebuilding, to build out existing consents rather than hide behind nutrient neutrality as an excuse to avoid building and drive the cost of properties higher to improve their profits.

Council further calls upon government to urgently bring together housebuilders and environmental groups to find a workable solution to enable sustainable development and nature protection to proceed hand in hand.

20.2.2 On being put to the vote (Appendix A) there were 21 votes in favour of the motion, 36 votes against and 0 abstentions the motion was **LOST**.

## 20.3 **Motion 3- Benjamin Court**

20.3.1 The following motion was proposed by Cllr Steffan Aquarone and seconded by Cllr Lucy Shires

Convalescent care settings are a vital component in any well-functioning and patient-centred health service. They offer an important steppingstone on the path to rehabilitation for residents who require more time to rest and recover before returning home, but who have surpassed the need for hospital supervision.

The benefits of convalescent care include improved health outcomes, enhanced recovery, and a reduced rate of hospital readmission. The level of support, advice and rehabilitation offered in these settings is not something that can be realistically or easily replicated in the home.

Earlier this year, this council made the naïve decision to close the reablement centre at Benjamin Court and is considering a further closure of the reablement centre at Grays Fair Court which provide 18 and 20 beds respectively for convalescent care. Norfolk's residents are being stripped of a vital service provided by these centres under the guise of reinvestment in care services and the emergence of 'virtual wards' making such settings allegedly less necessary. Although such advances in the care system are welcome, they do not offer the bespoke care that convalescent settings can provide.

Benjamin Court and the services it provided, from a resident's perspective, was a valued resource. Its closure was not without protest or controversy. Indeed, the campaign against its closure is still ongoing and the petition protesting its fate has nearly reached 1000 signatures with more being added each day.

The Liberal Democrat Group recognise the commendable and dedicated work undertaken by colleagues in the adult social care directorate, healthcare providers across the county, care givers and all those involved within the healthcare system. Even more so, given the disjointed system in which they must work with multiple, often conflicting sources of funding and complicated bureaucracy. These closures serve to make their jobs even more difficult and remove a valuable tool in their arsenal to provide a bespoke and effective service.

This Council resolves to:

1. Recognise the importance of 'convalescence' and its many benefits as outlined above as a way of supporting people between leaving hospital and returning home.
2. Recognise the current system for health and social care is disjointed due to the separate funding sources that exist within the system, and that from the citizen's perspective this falls short on delivering a seamless, dignified, and supportive service that meets the individual's needs.

3. Protect and serve all existing adult social care convalescence facilities and prevent them from transferring out of the remit of control of adult social care.
4. Work further with partners to improve upon the disjointed chasm that exists between public health, preventative measures, acute treatment, hospital servicer and adult social care.

20.3.2 Cllr Bill Borrett provided a point of order and clarified that care homes and hospitals are not included within the scope of nutrient neutrality restrictions.

20.3.3 On being put to the vote (Appendix B) there were 20 votes in favour of the motion, 34 votes against and 0 abstentions the motion was **LOST**.

#### 20.4 **Motion 4 - Protecting Important Centres of Learning**

20.4.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr Ben Price and seconded by Cllr Paul Neale

In 2019, before the Covid pandemic, Norfolk County Council unveiled proposals to transform Wensum Lodge into a creative hub, commissioning Hudson Architects. Plans were made for upgraded rooms for adult education courses, along with rental space for start-up creative and arts organisations to bring in money to help pay the centre's running costs. The vision included a café and business support to help start-up companies.

In June 2023, the county council announced its plan to close Wensum Lodge as a centre for adult learning and sell off the building. This decision was announced without consultation with the Adult Learning Steering Group or with tutors or learners at Wensum Lodge. The decision was also announced even though there is no available space for some popular courses, including ceramics and other courses where there is a requirement for specialist equipment. The decision to close Wensum Lodge means the times of day that some courses are offered will need to change.

Nearly 6,000 people (of which nearly 5,000 live in Norfolk) signed a petition against the closure of Wensum Lodge in the space of 5 weeks.

The selling off of Wensum Lodge threatens to undo years of effort by the local community to build up a space that is well-used and well-loved.

There is a similar threat to the Angel Road school site. The local community fears that the site, which is an important community asset and has been a vital location for education, will be sold off without consultation. As with Wensum Lodge, there has been a lack of information provided to the local community whose children went to school there as to what alternative provision there will be. This speaks of a county council that is out of touch and seems reluctant to engage with local communities regarding the future of essential services.



The closure of Wensum Lodge and the lack of action to bring Angel Road back into use is reflective of a trend within the county council of closing physical hubs where local communities can access services.

Council resolves to:

- 1) Immediately review the decision to close the only physical hub dedicated to adult learning in Norwich.
- 2) Initiate a consultation regarding the closure of Wensum Lodge, to run for an appropriate amount of time to gather significant responses, and provide a transparent process for how community responses regarding the site will be considered.
- 3) Establish a consultation process ahead of selling off of any other assets that are used to provide public-facing council services, to ensure that decisions are made with full and proper consideration of their impacts.
- 4) Review the process and criteria by which the county council decides whether to de-prioritise physical hubs for service provision.

20.4.2 This motion was **WITHDRAWN** following the proposal from Cllr Ben Price which was seconded by Cllr Paul Neale.

#### 20.5 **Motion 5 - Voice Over Internet Provision**

20.5.1 The motion was **WITHDRAWN**.

#### 20.6 **Motion 6- Devolution Deal**

20.6.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr Steve Morphey and seconded by Cllr Mike Sands

Council requests the Chair to summon an additional meeting of Council on a convenient date around the end of October to consider a report from the Leader updating Council on progress on the substance of the county deal in advance of the deliberations by council on future governance arrangements in December.

Council recognises that decisions related to the substance of the county deal are reserved to Cabinet but seeks an additional meeting to debate the elements of the deal not covered by constitutional arrangements so comments can be considered by Cabinet prior to the Council meeting in December.

#### 20.6.2 **Three Hour Meeting Time Elapses**

During debate of the motion, the Chair pointed out that the 3 hours for the meeting had elapsed. Cllr Morphew proposed a motion to extend the meeting to the end of Motion 6. This was seconded by Cllr Dan Roper.

Following a show of hands, it was **agreed** that the meeting would be extended to finish discussions on motion 6.

20.6.2 On being put to the vote (Appendix C) there were 21 votes in favour of the motion, 33 votes against and 0 abstentions the motion was **LOST**.

20.7 As a result of the three-hour meeting period having elapsed, Council agreed to move to the part of the meeting where all remaining business be they motions or amendments to motions would be considered moved and seconded in line with procedure rule 4 (iv).

20.7.1 The Chair said that he would deal with each motion in turn. Initially he would ask the proposer of the motion if they wanted the motion to go ahead or be withdrawn. If the motion was withdrawn Council would continue through the motions in the order they appeared on the agenda, which was by reference to the size of the group. If the motion was not withdrawn, the Chair would consider if there were amendments. If there were, then Council would vote on those first and then, when those were completed, Council would vote on the substantive motion.

20.8 **Motion 7 - End Fuel Poverty**

20.8.1 This motion was **WITHDRAWN**.

20.9 **Motion 8- Green Finance**

20.9.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr Catherine Rowett was deemed moved and seconded.

20.9.3 The motion which was on the table was put to a recorded vote (Appendix D) and with 21 votes in favour, 32 votes against and 0 abstentions the motion was **LOST**.

20.10 **Motion 9 - Carer Parking Permits**

20.10.1 The motion was **WITHDRAWN**

20.11 **Motion 10- Protecting Local Pharmacies**

20.11.1 The motion was **WITHDRAWN**

**21. Questions on notice under rule 9.3**

One question had been received from Cllr Alexandra Kemp. The Chair advised the answer to the question had been published and copies had been circulated to Members in the Chamber prior to the meeting.

**22. Appointments to Committees, sub-Committees and Joint Committees**

Council **RESOLVED** to note:

- Cllr Mark Kiddle Morris to be replaced on People and Communities Select Committee. The replacement to be confirmed.
- Cllr John Crofts replaces Cllr Tim Adams on People and Communities Select Committee.
- Cllr Rob Colwell replaces Cllr Saul Penfold on Infrastructure and Development Select Committee.
- Cllr Brian Watkins replaces Cllr Rob Colwell on Pensions Committee.
- Following Council's approval at item 17.1; Cllr David Sayers on Corporate Select Committee to replace Cllr Lana Hempsall.
- The political group allocations to the Police and Crime Panel are calculated with reference to the requirement for the Panel to be politically balanced based upon the overall political balance of Council seats in Norfolk. The 7 district councils each appoint 1 representative and the County Council makes its appointments to ensure that the overall political balance is achieved. Following notification of District Council preferred appointments, the County Council group allocation has been changed to support the overall political balance and Cllr Stuart Dark has now been appointed to the third Conservative place on the Panel.

**The meeting concluded at 13:54 pm**  
**Chair**



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact Customer Services on 0344 800 8020 or 18001 0344 800 8020 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

**Norfolk County Council - Date: 26 September 2023**

**RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 2 Nutrient Neutrality Appendix A**

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>ADAMS Timothy</b>	Absent		
<b>ADAMS Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>ANNISON Carl</b>		X	
<b>AQUARONE Steffan</b>	X		
<b>ASKEW Stephen</b>		X	
<b>BAMBRIDGE Lesley</b>		X	
<b>BENSLY James</b>		X	
<b>BILLS David</b>		X	
<b>BIRMINGHAM Alison</b>	X		
<b>BLUNDELL Sharon</b>	X		
<b>BORRETT Bill</b>		X	
<b>BOWES Claire</b>		X	
<b>BROCIEK-COULTON Julie</b>	X		
<b>CARPENTER Graham</b>	Absent		
<b>CARPENTER Penny</b>	Absent		
<b>CLANCY Stuart</b>		X	
<b>COLWELL Robert</b>	X		
<b>CONNOLLY Ed</b>		X	
<b>CORLETT Emma</b>	Absent		
<b>CROFTS John</b>	X		
<b>DALBY Michael</b>	Absent		
<b>DARK Stuart</b>		X	
<b>DAWSON Christopher</b>		X	
<b>DEWSBURY Margaret</b>	Absent		
<b>DIXON Nigel</b>	Absent		
<b>DUIGAN Phillip</b>		X	
<b>EAGLE Fabian</b>		X	
<b>ELMER Daniel</b>		X	
<b>FISHER John</b>		X	
<b>FITZPATRICK Tom</b>		X	
<b>GRANT Andy</b>	Absent		
<b>GURNEY Shelagh</b>	Absent		
<b>HEMPSALL Lana</b>		X	
<b>HORSBRUGH Michael</b>		X	
<b>Chenery of</b>			
<b>JAMES Jane</b>		X	
<b>JAMIESON Andrew</b>		X	
<b>JERMY Terry</b>	X		
<b>JONES Brenda</b>	Absent		
<b>KEMP Alexandra</b>	X		
<b>KIDDIE Keith</b>		X	
<b>KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark</b>	Absent		

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>KIRK Julian</b>	Absent		
<b>LONG Brian</b>		X	
<b>MACKIE Ian</b>		X	
<b>MASON BILLIG Kay</b>		X	
<b>MAXFIELD Ed</b>	Absent		
<b>MORIARTY Jim</b>	Absent		
<b>MORPHEW Steve</b>	X		
<b>NEALE Paul</b>	X		
<b>NUNN William</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Judy</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Rhodri</b>	Absent		
<b>OSBORN Jamie</b>	Absent		
<b>PECK Greg</b>		X	
<b>PENFOLD Saul</b>	X		
<b>PLANT Graham</b>		X	
<b>PRICE Ben</b>	X		
<b>PRICE Richard</b>	Absent		
<b>PROCTOR Andrew</b>	Absent		
<b>RICHMOND Will</b>		X	
<b>Reilly Matthew</b>	X		
<b>RILEY Steve</b>	Absent		
<b>ROPER Dan</b>	X		
<b>ROWLETT Catherine</b>	X		
<b>Rumsby Chrissie</b>	X		
<b>SANDS Mike</b>	X		
<b>SAYERS David</b>	Absent		
<b>SAVAGE Robert</b>		X	
<b>SHIRES Lucy</b>	X		
<b>SMITH Carl</b>		X	
<b>SMITH-CLARE Mike</b>	Absent		
<b>STONE Barry</b>		X	
<b>STOREY Martin</b>	Absent		
<b>THOMAS Alison</b>		X	
<b>THOMSON Vic</b>	Absent		
<b>VARDY Eric</b>		X	
<b>VINCENT Karen</b>		X	
<b>WALKER Colleen</b>	X		
<b>WARD John</b>		X	
<b>WATKINS Brian</b>	X		
<b>WEBB Maxine</b>	X		
<b>WHITE Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>WHYMARK Fran</b>		X	
<b>WILBY Martin</b>		X	

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

<b>For</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Against</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Abstentions</b>	<b>0</b>

**LOST**

**Norfolk County Council - Date: 26 September 2023**

**RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 3 Benjamin Court Appendix B**

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>ADAMS Timothy</b>	Absent		
<b>ADAMS Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>ANNISON Carl</b>		X	
<b>AQUARONE Steffan</b>	X		
<b>ASKEW Stephen</b>		X	
<b>BAMBRIDGE Lesley</b>		X	
<b>BENSLY James</b>		X	
<b>BILLS David</b>		X	
<b>BIRMINGHAM Alison</b>	X		
<b>BLUNDELL Sharon</b>	Absent		
<b>BORRETT Bill</b>		X	
<b>BOWES Claire</b>		X	
<b>BROCIEK-COULTON Julie</b>	X		
<b>CARPENTER Graham</b>	Absent		
<b>CARPENTER Penny</b>	Absent		
<b>CLANCY Stuart</b>		X	
<b>COLWELL Robert</b>	X		
<b>CONNOLLY Ed</b>		X	
<b>CORLETT Emma</b>	Absent		
<b>CROFTS John</b>	X		
<b>DALBY Michael</b>	Absent		
<b>DARK Stuart</b>		X	
<b>DAWSON Christopher</b>		X	
<b>DEWSBURY Margaret</b>	Absent		
<b>DIXON Nigel</b>	Absent		
<b>DUIGAN Phillip</b>		X	
<b>EAGLE Fabian</b>		X	
<b>ELMER Daniel</b>		X	
<b>FISHER John</b>		X	
<b>FITZPATRICK Tom</b>		X	
<b>GRANT Andy</b>	Absent		
<b>GURNEY Shelagh</b>	Absent		
<b>HEMPSALL Lana</b>		X	
<b>HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of</b>		X	
<b>JAMES Jane</b>		X	
<b>JAMIESON Andrew</b>		X	
<b>JERMY Terry</b>	X		
<b>JONES Brenda</b>	Absent		
<b>KEMP Alexandra</b>	X		
<b>KIDDIE Keith</b>		X	
<b>KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark</b>	Absent		

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>KIRK Julian</b>	Absent		
<b>LONG Brian</b>		X	
<b>MACKIE Ian</b>		X	
<b>MASON BILLIG Kay</b>		X	
<b>MAXFIELD Ed</b>	Absent		
<b>MORIARTY Jim</b>	Absent		
<b>MORPHEW Steve</b>	X		
<b>NEALE Paul</b>	X		
<b>NUNN William</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Judy</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Rhodri</b>	Absent		
<b>OSBORN Jamie</b>	Absent		
<b>PECK Greg</b>	Absent		
<b>PENFOLD Saul</b>	X		
<b>PLANT Graham</b>		X	
<b>PRICE Ben</b>	X		
<b>PRICE Richard</b>	Absent		
<b>PROCTOR Andrew</b>	Absent		
<b>RICHMOND Will</b>		X	
<b>Reilly Matthew</b>	X		
<b>RILEY Steve</b>	Absent		
<b>ROPER Dan</b>	X		
<b>ROWLETT Catherine</b>	X		
<b>Rumsby Chrissie</b>	X		
<b>SANDS Mike</b>			
<b>SAYERS David</b>	X		
<b>SAVAGE Robert</b>	Absent		
<b>SHIRES Lucy</b>	X		
<b>SMITH Carl</b>		X	
<b>SMITH-CLARE Mike</b>	Absent		
<b>STONE Barry</b>		X	
<b>STOREY Martin</b>	Absent		
<b>THOMAS Alison</b>		X	
<b>THOMSON Vic</b>	Absent		
<b>VARDY Eric</b>		X	
<b>VINCENT Karen</b>		X	
<b>WALKER Colleen</b>	X		
<b>WARD John</b>		X	
<b>WATKINS Brian</b>	X		
<b>WEBB Maxine</b>	X		
<b>WHITE Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>WHYMARK Fran</b>		X	
<b>WILBY Martin</b>		X	

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

LOST

For	20
Against	34
Abstentions	0

**Norfolk County Council - Date: 26 September 2023**

**RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 6 Devolution Deal Appendix C**

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>ADAMS Timothy</b>	Absent		
<b>ADAMS Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>ANNISON Carl</b>		X	
<b>AQUARONE Steffan</b>	X		
<b>ASKEW Stephen</b>		X	
<b>BAMBRIDGE Lesley</b>		X	
<b>BENSLY James</b>		X	
<b>BILLS David</b>		X	
<b>BIRMINGHAM Alison</b>	X		
<b>BLUNDELL Sharon</b>	X		
<b>BORRETT Bill</b>	Absent		
<b>BOWES Claire</b>		X	
<b>BROCIEK-COULTON Julie</b>	X		
<b>CARPENTER Graham</b>	Absent		
<b>CARPENTER Penny</b>	Absent		
<b>CLANCY Stuart</b>		X	
<b>COLWELL Robert</b>	X		
<b>CONNOLLY Ed</b>		X	
<b>CORLETT Emma</b>	Absent		
<b>CROFTS John</b>	X		
<b>DALBY Michael</b>	Absent		
<b>DARK Stuart</b>		X	
<b>DAWSON Christopher</b>		X	
<b>DEWSBURY Margaret</b>	Absent		
<b>DIXON Nigel</b>	Absent		
<b>DUIGAN Phillip</b>		X	
<b>EAGLE Fabian</b>		X	
<b>ELMER Daniel</b>	Absent		
<b>FISHER John</b>		X	
<b>FITZPATRICK Tom</b>		X	
<b>GRANT Andy</b>	Absent		
<b>GURNEY Shelagh</b>	Absent		
<b>HEMPSALL Lana</b>		X	
<b>HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of</b>		X	
<b>JAMES Jane</b>		X	
<b>JAMIESON Andrew</b>		X	
<b>JERMY Terry</b>	X		
<b>JONES Brenda</b>	Absent		
<b>KEMP Alexandra</b>	X		
<b>KIDDIE Keith</b>		X	
<b>KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark</b>	Absent		

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

	For	Against	Abstain
<b>KIRK Julian</b>	Absent		
<b>LONG Brian</b>		X	
<b>MACKIE Ian</b>	Absent		
<b>MASON BILLIG Kay</b>		X	
<b>MAXFIELD Ed</b>	Absent		
<b>MORIARTY Jim</b>	Absent		
<b>MORPHEW Steve</b>	X		
<b>NEALE Paul</b>	X		
<b>NUNN William</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Judy</b>	Absent		
<b>OLIVER Rhodri</b>	Absent		
<b>OSBORN Jamie</b>	Absent		
<b>PECK Greg</b>		X	
<b>PENFOLD Saul</b>	X		
<b>PLANT Graham</b>		X	
<b>PRICE Ben</b>	X		
<b>PRICE Richard</b>	Absent		
<b>PROCTOR Andrew</b>	Absent		
<b>RICHMOND Will</b>		X	
<b>Reilly Matthew</b>	X		
<b>RILEY Steve</b>	Absent		
<b>ROPER Dan</b>	X		
<b>ROWLETT Catherine</b>	X		
<b>Rumsby Chrissie</b>	X		
<b>SANDS Mike</b>	X		
<b>SAYERS David</b>	Absent		
<b>SAVAGE Robert</b>		X	
<b>SHIRES Lucy</b>	X		
<b>SMITH Carl</b>		X	
<b>SMITH-CLARE Mike</b>	Absent		
<b>STONE Barry</b>		X	
<b>STOREY Martin</b>	Absent		
<b>THOMAS Alison</b>		X	
<b>THOMSON Vic</b>	Absent		
<b>VARDY Eric</b>		X	
<b>VINCENT Karen</b>		X	
<b>WALKER Colleen</b>	X		
<b>WARD John</b>		X	
<b>WATKINS Brian</b>	X		
<b>WEBB Maxine</b>	X		
<b>WHITE Tony</b>	Absent		
<b>WHYMARK Fran</b>		X	
<b>WILBY Martin</b>		X	

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--



LOST

For	21
Against	33
Abstentions	0

**Norfolk County Council - Date: 26 September 2023**

**RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 8 Green Finances Appendix D**

	For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent		
ADAMS Tony	Absent		
ANNISON Carl		X	
AQUARONE Steffan	X		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		X	
BENSLY James		X	
BILLS David		X	
BIRMINGHAM Alison	X		
BLUNDELL Sharon	X		
BORRETT Bill	Absent		
BOWES Claire		X	
BROCIEK-COULTON Julie	X		
CARPENTER Graham	Absent		
CARPENTER Penny	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart		X	
COLWELL Robert	X		
CONNOLLY Ed		X	
CORLETT Emma	Absent		
CROFTS John	X		
DALBY Michael	Absent		
DARK Stuart		X	
DAWSON Christopher		X	
DEWSBURY Margaret	Absent		
DIXON Nigel	Absent		
DUIGAN Phillip		X	
EAGLE Fabian		X	
ELMER Daniel	Absent		
FISHER John		X	
FITZPATRICK Tom		X	
GRANT Andy	Absent		
GURNEY Shelagh	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		X	
HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of		X	
JAMES Jane		X	
JAMIESON Andrew		X	
JERMY Terry	X		
JONES Brenda	Absent		
KEMP Alexandra	X		
KIDDIE Keith		X	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark	Absent		

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

	For	Against	Abstain
KIRK Julian	Absent		
LONG Brian		X	
MACKIE Ian	Absent		
MASON BILLIG Kay		X	
MAXFIELD Ed	Absent		
MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
MORPHEW Steve	X		
NEALE Paul	X		
NUNN William	Absent		
OLIVER Judy	Absent		
OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
OSBORN Jamie	Absent		
PECK Greg		X	
PENFOLD Saul	X		
PLANT Graham		X	
PRICE Ben	X		
PRICE Richard	Absent		
PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
RICHMOND Will		X	
Reilly Matthew	X		
RILEY Steve	Absent		
ROPER Dan	X		
ROWLETT Catherine	X		
Rumsby Chrissie	X		
SANDS Mike	X		
SAYERS David	Absent		
SAVAGE Robert		X	
SHIRES Lucy	X		
SMITH Carl		X	
SMITH-CLARE Mike	Absent		
STONE Barry		X	
STOREY Martin	Absent		
THOMAS Alison		X	
THOMSON Vic	Absent		
VARDY Eric		X	
VINCENT Karen		X	
WALKER Colleen	X		
WARD John		X	
WATKINS Brian	X		
WEBB Maxine	X		
WHITE Tony	Absent		
WHYMARK Fran		X	
WILBY Martin		X	

Sub-Total			
-----------	--	--	--

LOST

For	21
Against	32
Abstentions	0