Economic Development Sub-Committee

Item No...

| Report title: | Performance management |
|-------------------|---|
| Date of meeting: | 14 July 2016 |
| Responsible Chief | Tom McCabe, Executive Director of Community and |
| Officer: | Environmental Services |
| <u> </u> | · |

Strategic impact

Robust performance management is key to ensuring that the organisation works both efficiently and effectively to develop and deliver services that represent good value for money and which meet identified need.

Executive summary

This is the second performance management report to this committee that is based upon the revised Performance Management System, which was implemented as of 1 April 2016, and the committee's 4 vital signs indicators.

Details of the revised Performance Management System are available in the 11 March 2016 EDT Committee 'Performance monitoring and risk report' on the Norfolk County Council web site at http://norfolkcc.cmis.uk.com/norfolkcc/Meetings/tabid/70/ctl/ViewMeetingPublic/mid/397/Meeting/42 http://norfolkcc.cmis.uk.com/norfolkcc/Meetings/tabid/70/ctl/ViewMeetingPublic/mid/397/Meeting/42 http://norfolkcc.cmis.uk.com/norfolkcc/Meetings/tabid/70/ctl/ViewMeetingPublic/mid/397/Meeting/42

Performance is reported on an exception basis using a report card format, meaning that only those vital signs that are performing poorly or where performance is deteriorating are presented to committee. To enable Members to have oversight of performance across all vital signs, all report cards will be made available to view through Members Insight - http://inet.norfolk.gov.uk/services/Democratic-Services/Members-insight/index.htm

Of the 4 vital signs indicators that fall within the remit of this sub-committee, none have met the exception criteria. As such, no report cards have been included in this report.

Recommendation:

Note that none of the 4 vital signs that fall within the remit of this sub-committee have met the exception reporting criteria.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. This is the second performance management report to this committee that is based upon the revised Performance Management System, which was implemented as of 1 April 2016. Details of the revised Performance Management System are available in the 11 March 2016 EDT Committee 'Performance monitoring and risk report' on the Norfolk County Council web site.
- 1.2. There are four vital signs performance indicators that relate to the Economic Development and Strategy (EDS) Service.

2. Performance dashboard

2.1. The performance dashboard for the Economic Development Sub-Committee is as below. Targets have been set for 2016/17 and it is against these targets that performance will be evaluated. As the performance data is only available on an annual basis with significant lags, we will not be able to report on performance until mid-2017.

| Annual (calendar) | Bigger or Smaller is better | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | Target 2016/17 |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| Median full time weekly pay – comparison between Norfolk and the national average | Bigger | 91.0% | 89.0% | 90.0% | | 90.25% |
| % of ESA claimants who claim benefits for more than one year | Smaller | 65.0% | 62.0% | 71.0% | | 70.0% |
| Annual (financial / academic) | Bigger or Smaller is better | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | Target 2016/17 |
| Monitoring the job creation outputs of the projects and programmes that NCC manages or leads | Bigger | | | 562 | | 887 |
| Delivery of New Anglia Growth Hub's business start-up targets | Bigger | | | | | 195 |

3. Report cards

- 3.1. A report card has been produced for each vital sign, as introduced in March's performance report. It provides a succinct overview of performance and outlines what actions are being taken to maintain or improvement performance. The report card follows a standard format that is common to all committees and it is updated on a monthly basis.
- 3.2. Vital signs are reported to committee on an exceptions basis. The exception reporting criteria are as follows:
 - Performance is off-target (Red RAG rating or variance of 5% or more)
 - Performance has deteriorated for three consecutive months/guarters/years
 - Performance is adversely affecting the council's ability to achieve its budget
 - Performance is adversely affecting one of the council's corporate risks.
- 3.3. Of the 4 vital signs indicators that fall within the remit of this sub-committee, none have met the exception criteria. As such, no report cards have been included in this report.

4. Recommendation

4.1. Note that none of the 4 vital signs that fall within the remit of this sub-committee have met the exception reporting criteria.

5. Financial Implications

5.1. There are no significant financial implications arising from the development of the revised performance management system or the performance management report.

6. Issues, risks and innovation

6.1. There are no significant issues, risks and innovations arising from the development of the revised performance management system or the performance management report.

Officer Contact

If you have any questions about matters contained in this paper or want to see copies of any assessments, eg equality impact assessment, please get in touch with:

Performance: Officer name: Daniel Harry Tel No.: 01603 222568

Email address: daniel.harry@norfolk.gov.uk



If you need this report in large print, audio, braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact 0344 800 8020 or 0344 800 8011 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Appendix 1 – Economic Development Sub-Committee Vital Signs indicators

A vital sign is a key indicator from one of the Council's services which provides members, officers and the public with a clear measure to assure that the service is performing as it should and contributing to the Council's priorities. It is, therefore, focused on the results experienced by the community. It is important to choose enough vital signs to enable a good picture of performance to be deduced, but not so many that strategic discussions are distracted by detail.

There are four vital signs performance indicators that relate to the Economic Development and Strategy Service. At the 11 March 2016 meeting of the EDT Committee, it was agreed that these vital signs would be monitored by the Economic Development Sub-Committee.

All four vital signs indicators are considered to be corporately significant. As such, they will also be reported to the Policy and Resources Committee.

| Service | Vital Signs Indicators | What it measures | Why it is important | Data |
|---|--|--|---|--------|
| Economic Development and Strategy | Job creation in Norfolk | Monitoring the job creation outputs of the projects and programmes that NCC manages or leads | SEP has a target to deliver 73,000 more jobs by 2026. This measure looks at those jobs the EDS service has had a hand in bringing forward | Annual |
| Economic Development and Strategy | New Anglia Growth Hub delivery – business start up | Delivery of New Anglia Growth Hub's business start-up targets | All programmes should deliver outputs that benefit the Norfolk economy | Annual |
| Economic Development and Strategy | Norfolk median weekly earnings | Median full time weekly pay – comparison between Norfolk and the national average | A skilled workforce is essential to growing existing, and attracting new businesses to Norfolk and to the overall prosperity of Norfolk communities | Annual |
| Economic Development and Strategy | People on benefits can find work quickly | % of ESA claimants who claim benefits for more than one year | Residents claiming ESA have a higher likelihood of receiving support from NCC services. | Annual |