Norfolk County Council, Appendix C - EDT Risk Register Summary

Prepared by: Date updated:		Appendix C - EDT Risk Register Summary								Red	Û	Worsening
		Thomas Osbori	Thomas Osborne							Amber	\$	Static
		September 2018 December 2018								Green	仓	Improving
										Met		
Area	Risk Number	Risk Name	Risk Description	Current Likelihood	Current Impact	Current Risk Score	Target Likelihood	Target Impact	Target Risk Score	Risk	Target Risk	Risk Owner
Planning and Economy - Strategic Infrastructure		Failure to construct and deliver the Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing (3RC) within agreed budget (£121m), and to agreed timescales (construction completed early 2023)	There is a risk that the Third River Crossing (3RC) project will not be delivered within budget and to the agreed timescales. Cause: delays during statutory processes, or procurement put timescales at risk and/or contractor prices increase project costs. Event: The 3RC is completed at a later date and/or greater cost than the agreed budget, placing additional pressure on the NCC contribution. Effect: Failure to construct and deliver the 3RC within budget would result in the shortfall having to be met from other sources. This would impact on other Norfolk County Council programmes.	3	4	12	2	3	6	Amber	¢	Tom McCabe
Planning and Economy - Strategic Infrastructure		Failure to deliver the Broadland Northway within agreed budget (£205m)	There is a risk that the NDR will not be constructed and delivered within budget. Cause: environmental and/or contractor factors affecting construction progress. Event: The NDR is completed at a cost greater than the agreed budget. Effect: Failure to construct and deliver the NDR within budget would result in the shortfall having to be met from other budgets. This would impact on other NCC programmes.	3	3	9	3	3	9	Amber	¢	Tom McCabe
Planning and Economy		Insufficient drainage controls in place as new development continues to take place increasing local flood risk on site or downstream.	The SUDS (Sustainable Drainage Systems) Approving Body role recommended by the Pitt Review and included in the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 has been abandoned. Flood risk controls on new development is to be continued through the planning process. The Local Lead Flooding Authority has been given a role as a statutory consultee but no funding to deliver this role. Without high levels of support, planning authority may continue to overlook flood risk in decision making.		3	9	3	2	6	Amber	⇔	Nick Tupper
Highways		level of funding for flood risk mitigation does not reflect the need or priority of	There are 37,000 properties at risk from surface water flooding caused by intense rainfall within Norfolk. Historically funding for flood risk management has focused on traditional defence schemes to protect communities from the sea and rivers and not surface water flooding. There is a risk that funding continues to ignore properties at risk of surface water flooding. This is exacerbated by a reduction in the overall level of funding from government and governments requirement to seek local contributions for schemes to be successful.	3	3	9	3	2	6	Amber	\$	Nick Tupper