Environment, Development and Transport Committee

Report title:	Performance management
Date of meeting:	8 March 2019
Responsible Chief Officer:	Tom McCabe – Executive Director, Community and Environmental Services

Strategic impact

Robust performance management is key to ensuring that the organisation works both efficiently and effectively to develop and deliver services that represent good value for money and which meet identified need.

Executive summary

This management report to Committee is based upon the revised Performance Management System, which was implemented as of 1 April 2016. Additionally, this is the fourth report to provide data against the 2018/19 Vital Signs list derived from measures contained within the 'plans on a page' previously presented to and agreed by Committee.

There are currently nine Vital Signs indicators under the remit of this Committee.

Performance is reported on an exception basis using a Report Card format, meaning that only those Vital Signs that are performing poorly or where performance is deteriorating are presented to Committee. To enable Members to have oversight of performance across all Vital Signs, all Report Cards (which is where more detailed information about performance is recorded) will be made available to view upon request.

Of the nine Vital Signs indicators that fall within the remit of this Committee, none have met the exception criteria in this reporting period:

Recommendations:

Review and comment on the performance data, information and analysis presented in the body of the report and determine whether any recommended actions identified are appropriate or whether another course of action is required - refer to the list of possible actions at Appendix 1.

In support of this, Appendix 1 provides:

- A set of prompts for performance discussions.
- Suggested options for further actions where Committee requires additional information or work to be undertaken.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. This management report to Committee is based upon the revised Performance Management System, which was implemented as of 1 April 2016. Additionally, this is the fourth report to provide data against the 2018/19 Vital Signs list derived from measures contained within the 'plans on a page' previously presented to and agreed by Committee.
- 1.2. There are currently nine Vital Signs indicators under the remit of this Committee.
- 1.3. Work continues to see what other data may be available to report to Committee on a more frequent basis and these will in turn be considered for inclusion as Vital Signs indicators.
- 1.4. Of the nine Vital Signs indicators that fall within the remit of this Committee, none have met the exception criteria in this reporting period.

2. Performance dashboard

- 2.1. The performance dashboard provides a quick overview of Red/Amber/Green rated performance across all Vital Signs. This then complements the exception reporting process and enables committee members to check that key performance issues are not being missed.
- 2.2. The Vital Signs indicators are monitored during the year and are subject to review when processes are amended to improve performance, to ensure that the indicator correctly captures future performance. A list of all Vital Signs indicators currently under the remit of the Committee is available at Appendix 2.
- 2.3. Vital Signs are reported to Committee on an exceptions basis. The exception reporting criteria are as follows:
 - Performance is off-target (Red RAG rating or variance of 5% or more)
 - Performance has two consecutive months/quarters/years of Amber RAG rating (Amber RAG rating within 5% worse than the target)
 - Performance is adversely affecting the County Council's ability to achieve its budget
 - Performance is adversely affecting one of the County Council's corporate risks.
- 2.4. Where cells have been greyed out on the performance dashboard, this indicates that data is not available due either to the frequency of reporting or the Vital Sign being under development. In this case, under development can mean that the Vital Sign has yet to be fully defined or that baseline data is being gathered.

Key to services on the performance dashboard:

- FBP Finance Business Partner
- HW Highways
- CH Culture and Heritage
- 2.5. The performance dashboard for the EDT Committee is as follows:

Environment, Development & Transport Committee - Vital Signs Dashboard

NOTES:

In most cases the RAG colours are set as: Green being equal to or better than the target; Amber being within 5% (not percentage points) worse than the target; Red being more than 5% worse than target.

"White' spaces denote that data will become available; 'grey' spaces denote that no data is currently expected, typically because the indicator is being finalised.

The target value is that which relates to the latest measure period result in order to allow comparison against the RAG colours. A target may also exist for the current and/or future periods.

Column23	Column24	Column25	Column26	Column27	Column28	Column29	Column30	Column31	Column33	Column34	Column35	Column36	Column37	Column38	Column39	Column40
#	Monthly	Bigger or Smaller is better	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Арг 18	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18	Sep 18	Oct 18	Nov 18	Dec 18	Target
300	{HW} Percentage of bus services on time	Bigger	76.4%	81.9%	81.4%	80.7%	81.6%	77.3%	77.94%	79.3%	78.5%	78.7%	77.2%	73.7%	74.2%	78.0%
304	{CH} Planning service – speed of determination	Bigger	92.4%	92.4%	92.4%	93.1%	93.8%	93.6%	94.7%	94.6%	94.6%	94.9%	95.0%	94.9%	94.8%	90.0%
370	(HW) % of formal highway inspections completed within the timescales set out in the TAMP	Bigger	3/4	5/5	6/6	5/5	3/3 94.2% 34.1k/36.2k	93.7% 34.0k/36.3k	94.1% 34.2k/36.3k	96.1% 34.9k/36.3k	3 / 3 96.9%	9/9 97.4% 35.4k/36.4k	97.7% 35.6k/36.4k	98.2% 35.8k / 36.4k	98.4% 35.9k/36.5k	98.0%
371	{HW} % of dangerous highway defects dealt with within the timescale set out in the TAMP	Bigger	91.2%	84.9%	81.9%	88.9%	88.8%	80.0%	91.2%	79.5%	77.6%	89.2%	96.3%	91.8%	95.5%	96.0%
373	{FBP} Amount of external investment secured to enable projects to be delivered - UNDER DEVELOPMENT	Bigger	207 / 227	303 / 357	163 / 199	192 / 216	159 / 179	128 / 160	104 / 114	101 / 127	111 / 143	132 / 148	130 / 135	90 / 98	126 / 132	Under Developm ent
#	Quarterly / Termly	Bigger or Smaller is better	Dec 15	Mar 16	Jun 16	Sep 16	Dec 16	Mar 17	Jun 17	Sep 17	Dec 17	Mar 18	Jun 18	Sep 18	Dec 18	Target
334	(HW) Kilograms of residual household waste per household per week	Smaller		9.99				10.05				9.96				9.75
335	{HW} Unit cost (per tonne) of disposing of/dealing with residual waste	Bigger		£115.31				£107.65				£109.78				£109.42
372	{HW} % of parishes that meet their target level of public transport service	Bigger										70.0%	72.7%	72.9%	74.4%	70.0%
#	Annual (financial / academic)	Bigger or Smaller is better	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	395 / 543 2016/17	396 / 543 2017/18	404 / 543 2018/19	Target
355	{HW} % of reports on flooding incidents published as planned	Bigger														

3. Report Cards

- 3.1. A Report Card has been produced for each Vital Sign. It provides a succinct overview of performance and outlines what actions are being taken to maintain or improve performance. The Report Card follows a standard format that is common to all committees.
- 3.2. Each Vital Sign has a lead officer, who is directly accountable for performance, and a data owner, who is responsible for collating and analysing the data on a monthly basis. The names and positions of these people are specified on the Report Cards.
- 3.3. Vital Signs are reported to Committee on an exceptions basis. The Report Cards for those Vital Signs that do not meet the exception criteria on this occasion, and so are not formally reported, are also collected and are available to view if requested.
- 3.4. Provided at Appendix 1 is a set of prompts for performance discussions that Members may wish to refer to as they review the Report Cards. There is also a list of suggested options for further actions where Committee requires additional information or work to be undertaken.
- 3.5. There are no Report Cards included in this report as no indicators meet the exception criteria.

4 Recommendations

4.1. Committee Members are asked to:

Review and comment on the performance data, information and analysis presented in the body of the report and determine whether any recommended actions identified are appropriate or whether another course of action is required – refer to the list of possible actions at Appendix 1.

In support of this, Appendix 1 provides:

- A set of prompts for performance discussions.
- Suggested options for further actions where the committee requires additional information or work to be undertaken.

5 Financial Implications

5.1. There are no significant financial implications arising from the performance management report.

6. Issues, risks and innovation

6.1. There are no significant issues, risks and innovations arising from the performance management report.

Officer Contact

If you have any questions about matters contained in this paper or want to see copies of any assessments, eg equality impact assessment, please get in touch with:

Officer name: Andrew Brownsell Tel No.: 01603 222056

Email address: andrew.brownsell@norfolk.gov.uk



If you need this report in large print, audio, braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact 0344 800 8020 or 0344 800 8011 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Appendix 1 - Performance discussions and actions

Reflecting good performance management practice, there are some helpful prompts that can help scrutinise performance, and guide future actions. These are set out below.

Suggested prompts for performance improvement discussion

In reviewing the Vital Signs that have met the exception reporting criteria and so included in this report, there are a number of performance improvement questions that can be worked through to aid the performance discussion, as below:

- 1. Why are we not meeting our target?
- 2. What is the impact of not meeting our target?
- 3. What performance is predicted?
- 4. How can performance be improved?
- 5. When will performance be back on track?
- 6. What can we learn for the future?

In doing so, Committee Members are asked to consider the actions that have been identified by the Vital Sign lead officer.

Performance improvement – suggested actions

A standard list of suggested actions has been developed. This provides Members with options for next steps where reported performance levels require follow-up and additional work.

	Action	Description		
1	Approve actions	Approve actions identified in the Report Card and set a		
		date for reporting back to Committee.		
2	Identify alternative or	Identify alternative/additional actions to those in the		
	additional actions	Report Card and set a date for reporting back to		
		Committee.		
3	Refer to Departmental	DMT to work through the performance issues identified at		
	Management Team	Committee meeting and develop an action plan for		
		improvement and report back to Committee.		
4	Refer to Committee task	Member-led task and finish group to work through the		
	and finish group	performance issues identified at Committee meeting and		
		develop an action plan for improvement and report back		
		to Committee.		
5	Refer to County	Identify key actions for performance improvement and		
	Leadership Team	refer to CLT for action.		
6	Refer to Policy and	Identify key actions for performance improvement that		
	Resources Committee have 'whole Council' performance implications and re			
		them to the Policy and Resources Committee for action.		

Appendix 2 – EDT Committee Vital Signs Indicators

A Vital Sign is a key indicator from one of the County Council's services which provides Members, officers and the public with a clear measure to assure that the service is performing as it should and contributing to the County Council's priorities. It is, therefore, focused on the results experienced by the community. It is important to choose enough Vital Signs to enable a good picture of performance to be deduced, but not so many that strategic discussions are distracted by detail.

There are currently nine Vital Signs performance indicators that relate to the EDT Committee. The indicator in bold (on the Table below) is a Vital Signs indicator deemed to have corporate Significance and therefore will also be reported to the Policy and Resources Committee.

Key to services:

- CH Culture and Heritage
- FBP Finance Business Partner
- HW Highways

Service	Vital Signs Indicator	What it measures	Why it is important	Data
HW	Bus journey time reliability	% of bus services that are on schedule at intermediate time points	Better transport networks bring firms and workers closer together, and provide access to wider local markets.	Monthly
СН	Planning determination	Speed of planning determination	Timely planning decision are important to economic growth and development	Monthly (based on 24- month rolling average)
HW	Formal highway inspections completed	% of formal highway inspections completed within the timescales set out in the TAMP	Highway safety	Monthly
HW	Dangerous highway defects dealt with	% of dangerous highway defects dealt with within the timescale set out in the TAMP	Highway safety	Monthly

Service	Vital Signs Indicator	What it measures	Why it is important	Data	
FBP External investment secured		Amount of external investment secured to enable projects to be delivered	High quality organisations are successful in being able to attract and generate alternative sources of funding.	Monthly	
HW	Residential house waste collection	Weekly kg of residential house waste collected per household	The amount of household waste collected and the costs arising from processing it have risen for the past three years. Housing growth (65,000 new houses between 2013 and 2026) will create further pressures.	Quarterly	
HW	Disposing of/dealing with residual waste	Unit cost (per tonne) of disposing of/dealing with residual waste	Less waste means that by proportion more of the waste can use the lowest cost options.	Quarterly	
HW	Parishes showing access to key services using public transport	% parishes that meet their designated target level of service.	Access to public transport is important for those living in rural areas so that they can access not only work but also health and other essential services like shopping, education and leisure activities. This supports rural communities and reduces social and rural isolation, contributing to overall wellbeing of residents.	Quarterly	
HW	Reports on flooding incidents published	% of reports on flooding incidents published as planned	Flooding undermines existing infrastructure and impacts directly on health and economy.	Annually	