

Norfolk County Council
Minutes of the Meeting Held at 10am on Tuesday 19 July 2022

Present:		
	ADAMS Tony	KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark
	ANNISON Carl	KIRK Julian
	BAMBRIDGE Lesley	LONG Brian
	BENSLY James	MASON BILLIG Kay
	BILLS David	MORPHEW Steve
	BIRMINGHAM Alison	NEALE Paul
	BORRETT Bill	OSBORN Jamie
	BOWES Claire	PECK Greg
	CARPENTER Penny	PLANT Graham
	CLANCY Stuart	PRICE Ben
	CONNOLLY Ed	PROCTOR Andrew
	DAUBNEY Nick	RICHMOND Will
	DEWSBURY Margaret	REILLY Mathew
	DUIGAN Phillip	RILEY Steve
	EAGLE Fabian	ROPER Dan
	ELMER Daniel	RUMSBY Chrissie
	FISHER John	SMITH Carl
	FITZPATRICK Tom	SMITH-CLARE Mike
	GURNEY Shelagh	STONE Barry
	HEMPSALL Lana	STOREY Martin
	HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of	THOMPSON Vic
	JAMES Jane	VARDY Eric
	JAMIESON Andrew	VINCENT Karen
	JERMY Terry	WARD John
	JONES Brenda	WATKINS Brian
	KEMP Alexandra	WHITE Tony
	KIDDIE Keith	WHYMARK Fran
		WILBY Martin

Present: 55

1 Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Timothy Adams, Cllr Stefan Aquarone, Cllr Stephen Askew, Cllr Sharon Blundell, Cllr Julie Brociek-Coulton, Cllr Graham Carpenter, Cllr Ed Colman, Cllr Robert Colwell, Cllr Emma Corlett, Cllr Michael Dalby, Cllr Stuart Dark, Cllr Chris Dawson, Cllr Nigel Dixon, Cllr Barry Duffin, Cllr Andy Grant, Cllr Ian Mackie, Cllr Ed Maxfield, Cllr Graham Middleton, Cllr Jim Moriarty, Cllr Judy Oliver, Cllr Rhodri Oliver, Cllr Saul Penfold, Cllr Richard Price, Cllr Mike Sands, Cllr Lucy Shires, Cllr Robert Savage, Cllr Alison Thomas, Cllr Colleen Walker

and Cllr Maxine Webb.

2 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

- 2.1 The minutes of the County Council meeting held on 10 May 2022 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chair subject to the recorded vote for item 14.2 showing that Cllr Ward had voted against.

3 Chair's Announcements

- 3.1 Cllr Vincent announced the sad passing of ex-County Councillor Stella Rice who was a Conservative County Councillor from May 2005 to May 2009 for Long Stratton Division. Stella had a passion for Adult Social Care and served as the Deputy Cabinet Member. Members of the Council paid tribute to her work whilst a County Councillor and held a minute's silence in her memory.

4 Declarations of Interest

- 4.1 There were no declarations of interest.

5 Any items of business which the Chair decides should be considered as a matter of urgency

- 5.1 There were no items of urgent business

6 Questions to the Leader

6.1 Question from Cllr Steve Morphew

Cllr Morphew said that the absence of a functioning prime minister and government, the lack of clarity about what we face before the autumn and the failure to mention local government by Conservative leadership combatants other than competing further deeper cuts did not bode well. What assumptions was the Leader now making about his budget, his devolution deal, infrastructure funding, social care policy and funding, school building funding, and critical infrastructure funding like a new QE hospital for Kings Lynn to name but a few long standing issues now mired in uncertainty? Had this zombie government turned Norfolk into a zombie council?

In reply, the Leader said that this was not a "zombie" Council and we did not have a "zombie Government. Both Norfolk County Council and the Conservative Government had shown their commitment to strong leadership. While there would be a new Prime Minister by 5th September 2022 the Conservative Government was expected to continue to implement the Levelling Up agenda which presented an opportunity to reset the relationship between central and local government and put councils at the heart of delivering the Conservative Government's ambitious election commitment to improve opportunities for people living in all parts of the country. Norfolk County Council had reaffirmed to the Secretary of State its support of the approach being taken by the

Government to local devolution and welcomed the opportunity to have in place a county deal that provided for fair funding for Norfolk.

6.2 **Question from Cllr Dan Roper**

Cllr Roper asked what progress had been made in delivering a county deal for Norfolk and would such a deal receive the agreement of all the Norfolk Local Authorities?

In reply, the Leader said that he was working with other local Council leaders in Norfolk to achieve the aims and aspirations of a county deal that gave Norfolk the tools it needed to pilot new ideas, create jobs, drive growth and improve public services. A county deal would mean that Norfolk received a higher level of investment funding, multi-year transport funding, control of development on brown field sites, and had more of a say in the design and development of local employment projects. Norfolk remained one of the nine pathfinder counties in the country, and the Government was expected to continue to take a flexible approach to allow more Councils to agree a devolution deal.

6.3 **Question from Cllr Ben Price**

Cllr Ben Price said that the UK's hottest ever recorded temperatures now blazing across the country were requiring a raft of emergency procedures. Schools were having to close, care homes required cool rooms and additional checks on residents, roads were melting, and people were being told not to travel, and people were already dying. All of this would mean an increase in infrastructure costs to keep Norfolk's infrastructure running. Given that extreme heat would become worse and more frequent due to climate breakdown, how had the County Council budgeted for this?

In reply, the Leader said that the County Council had put in place financially robust budgetary processes for 2023/24. While everyone had to recognise that the changes in the way in which the climate was behaving would have budgetary implications for the County Council there were many things that people could do at a personal and at a collective level to help support and maintain those services that were subject to climate change.

6.4 **Question from Cllr Carl Smith**

Cllr Carl Smith asked if the Leader could provide an update on the Aid for Ukrainians in Norfolk Scheme.

In reply, the Leader said that Norfolk had extended a warm welcome to about 1,000 Ukrainians and that there about 3,500 Norfolk citizens who had expressed an interest in acting as their hosts. As the Leader of Great Yarmouth Borough Council, Cllr Smith had taken a leading role in partnership working with other Councils on such issues as ensuring that Ukrainians were moving into homes that were fit for purpose and that their safeguarding issues were properly addressed. The County Council was potentially in the position of employing a Ukrainian guest (who was bilingual in Ukrainian and English) which sent out a positive message to those

Ukrainians who were seeking work. While many Ukrainians were expected to want to go back to their own country at some point in the future it was recognised that this might not always be possible given the unwarranted devastation being done to their country. Many important issues about the future welfare of Ukrainians needed to continue to be addressed at both a national and local level.

6.5 Question from Cllr Alexandra Kemp

Cllr Kemp said that on 10th May 2022 the County Council had passed a unanimous resolution against the building of an energy from waste incinerator facility in Wisbech, however, on 11th July 2022 the company concerned had put in an application to the Planning Inspectorate to go ahead with the building of the incinerator. If it had not already done so, would the County Council make a submission to the Planning Inspectorate that this company had not adequately consulted with people about their plans because the 14-day window to do so expired on 20 July 2022?

In reply, the Leader said that the County Council was aware that the company concerned had made such an application. The County Council planned to make a detailed submission in time for this matter to be dealt with by the Planning Inspectorate in the proper manner.

6.6 Question from Cllr Terry Jermy

Cllr Jermy said that the Government had recently carried out a nationwide survey on DIY waste charges. In the opening preamble the consultation stated:

“Government believes householders should not be charged to dispose of DIY waste. We are proposing changes to allow householders to deposit DIY waste for free. This will reduce the potential risk of fly-tipping, littering and backyard burning which create additional costs for local authorities and causes environmental issues.”

Did Norfolk agree with the Government’s view on scrapping DIY waste charges and did Norfolk County Council respond to the consultation?

In reply, the Leader said that it was a fallacy to think that the charges made for the disposal of DIY waste were a major cause of fly tipping. If, following the results of the national consultation exercise, the Government advised Councils against charging for the disposal of DIY waste then the County Council would look at how it should comply with that advice.

6.7 Question from Cllr Brain Watkins

Cllr Watkins said that Martin Lewis had warned that the energy crisis was “potentially more dangerous to lives than the pandemic” and with the energy price cap rising in October typical bills would be at least £3,240 a year, then rising again in January 2023. The Council’s Cost of living scheme was already seen as meagre by those residents who had contacted him so could the Leader now pledge to substantially improve the Council’s scheme before thousands of people in Norfolk were pushed into poverty and severe poverty?

In reply, the Leader said the County Council was doing all that it possibly could to help people cope with the cost-of-living crisis. Rather than describing the Council's scheme as meagre everyone should be doing all that they could to get behind the scheme and give it their full support. The Household Support Fund, the Norfolk Assistance Scheme, the information and advice that was available on debt and welfare issues, the targeted adult learning courses and the Norfolk Food Hub were all examples of the many ways in which Norfolk County Council and its partners were supporting people with the cost-of-living crisis. To describe these schemes as being meagre was missing the most important message that was being sent out to the people of Norfolk, namely, that Norfolk County Council was doing all that it could to help people cope with the cost-of-living crisis.

6.8 **Question from Jamie Osborn**

Cllr Osborn said that virtually every building in the UK would have to see reductions in its carbon emissions of 100% if we were to meet climate change targets and end our reliance on imported gas and oil. The Greater Norwich Local Plan set a target of reducing carbon emissions from domestic new builds by just 19%. This was even lower than the new building regulations that required a 31% reduction in carbon. It was not too late to amend the Greater Norwich Local Plan, which Norfolk CC supported, if there was a political will to do so. Did the Leader of the Council agree that more ambitious energy efficiency targets for Norfolk were urgently needed?

In reply the Leader said that those local Councils in Norfolk that were working with the County Council (as the main infrastructure provider) in the preparation of the Greater Norwich local plan had put a great deal of time and effort into its preparation. They were all committed to having a development plan that was fit for purpose, included clearly defined targets, and could be adopted in 2023.

7 **Recommendations from Cabinet meetings held on 6 June 2022 and 4 July 2022**

7.1.1 **Cabinet Meeting held on M 6 June 2022 Annual Treasury Management Outturn Report 2021-22**

7.1.2 The recommendation from the Cabinet meeting held on 6 June 2022 about the Annual Treasury Management Outturn Report 2021-22 was moved by Cllr Andrew Proctor, Chair of Cabinet, seconded by Cllr Graham Plant.

7.1.3 **Council RESOLVED on a show of hands**

That County Council approve the Annual Treasury Management Outturn Report 2021-22 as set out in Annex 1 of the report to the Cabinet.

7.1.4 **Cabinet Meeting held on Monday 4 July 2022
Norwich Western Link Update**

7.1.5 The recommendation from the Cabinet meeting held on 4 July 2022 about the Norwich Western Link was moved by Cllr Andrew Proctor, Chair of Cabinet, seconded by Cllr Martin Wilby.

7.1.6 Following debate about the increased costs associated with the Norwich western link and how they would be met, upon being put to a recorded vote (Appendix A to these minutes), with 41 votes in favour, 12 votes against and 0 abstentions the motion was **CARRIED** and it was **RESOLVED**

That Full Council include an increased amount of £52.7m in the future year forward capital programme (of which £7.9m is the increase in local contribution), based on the overall project budget being funded from £213.4m of DfT Grant and £37.7m local contribution, underwritten by the County Council (which would be funded through additional prudential borrowing if necessary).

7.1.7 **Cabinet Meeting held on Monday 4 July 2022
Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan**

7.1.8 Cllr Andrew Proctor, Chair of Cabinet, said that the recommendations about the Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan from the Cabinet meeting on 4 July 2022 (that were set out on pages 47 and 48 on the Council agenda) had to go before the Scrutiny Committee before they came back to the County Council on 11 October 2022 for a decision to be taken.

7.1.9 Council **RESOLVED** accordingly.

7.1.1.1 **Local Transport Plan 4**

7.1.1.2 Cllr Andrew Proctor introduced the report and moved the recommendation, seconded by Cllr Martin Wilby, for adoption of the Local Transport Plan comprising the Local Transport Plan 4 Strategy and Implementation Plan set out in Appendix A and Appendix B of the report, and that this replaces the current Local Transport Plan (LTP3).

7.1.1.3 Cllr Jamie Osborn moved, seconded by Cllr Ben Price, the following amendment:

To adopt the Local Transport Plan, comprising the Local Transport Plan 4 Strategy and Implementation Plan set out in Appendix A and Appendix B, and that this replaces the current Local Transport Plan (LTP3), ***committing to provide quantifiable carbon reduction targets and to reduce carbon by more than the lowest possible ambition under the Transport Decarbonisation Plan and*** with references to the NWL project removed ***since expansion of roads has been proved to cause increased carbon emissions and so completing the NWL runs counter to the stated aim of reducing carbon***, as follows:

LTP4 Strategy:

- Page ii (agenda page 61), second para, remove “Government has also accepted the strategic outline business case for the Norwich Western Link with construction programmed to start in 2023.”
- Page iii (agenda page 61), line 6, remove “the Norwich Western Link”
- Page 32 (agenda page 98), line 4, remove “the Norwich Western Link”
- Page 34 (agenda page 98), remove “Norwich Western Link” bullet
- Page 37 (agenda page 103), remove “Norwich Western Link” in Major Road Network bullet
- Page 57 (agenda page 123), remove last 3 sentences on page
- Page 76 (agenda page 142), replace “West Winch Housing Access Road, and the Norwich Western Link” with “and West Winch Housing Access Road”

LTP4 Implementation Plan:

- Agenda page 156, line 10, remove “the Norwich Western Link”
- Agenda page 185, line 3, remove “the Norwich Western Link”
- Agenda pages 218 and 219, remove entire “Large Local Major Schemes” section including “Norwich Western Link” sub-section
- Agenda page 223, replace “Long Stratton Bypass, and Norwich Western Link” with “and Long Stratton Bypass”

Agenda page 227, remove sentences “In Norfolk, the Norwich Western Link has been included in this programme. More information is shown in Chapter 3.”

7.1.1.4 Following debate, the amendment was put to the vote and LOST there were 41 votes against, 11 votes in favour and 2 abstentions.

7.1.1.5 It was then moved by Cllr Paul Neale seconded by Cllr Ben Price

To adopt the Local Transport Plan, comprising the Local Transport Plan 4 Strategy and Implementation Plan set out in Appendix A and Appendix B, and that this replaces the current Local Transport Plan (LTP3), **committing to provide quantifiable carbon reduction targets and to reduce carbon by more than the lowest possible ambition under the Transport Decarbonisation Plan** and with references to the Increasing Accessibility Target: Grow annual bus patronage in Norfolk as follows

LTP4 Implementation Plan :

- Agenda page 231, Table: Summary of Targets for LTP4. Fifth Target: Grow annual bus patronage in Norfolk. Column 2: replace ‘1% per annum between 2023 and 2027 with 5%. Columns 5- 9: amend Trajectory figures over next five years accordingly.

7.1.1.6 **Following debate, the amendment was put to the vote and LOST there were 15 votes in favour, 41 votes against and 0 abstentions.**

7.1.1.7 Following debate on the substantive motion, it was then **RESOLVED** with 39 votes in favour 12 votes against and 1 abstention.

To adopt the Local Transport Plan, comprising the Local Transport Plan 4 Strategy and Implementation Plan set out in Appendix A and Appendix B of the report, and that this replaces the current Local Transport Plan (LTP3).

Council took a break at this point in the proceedings of 30 minutes.

When Council reconvened, the Chair used her discretion to alter the order of business and ask for Council to consider item 11 on the agenda next so that this item could be considered in the time that remained for this meeting.

8 Proposed Amendments to the Council Constitution

8.1 In introducing the report at item 11 on the supplementary agenda, the Leader thanked the Director of Governance and her team for the work that had gone into the preparation of the report (and during the ensuing debate this comment was shared by the other Group leaders).

8.2 The Leader, seconded by Cllr Graham Plant, moved the three recommendations contained in the report.

8.3 Cllr Ben Price moved, seconded by Cllr Brian Watkins, the following amendment:

1. The current 3 hours' time limit for a council meeting set out in Part 5A para 3.2 (iv) is changed to 4 hours' time limit.
2. The order in which motions are heard at a council meeting should not be changed. However, Political groups will be limited to a maximum of two motions at any council meeting, and non-aligned members limited to one motion, unless the Chair of the council agrees that an emergency motion can be tabled. The wording of the Constitution should revert back to the original and include the sentence, "Political groups are limited to two motions at any council meeting, and non-aligned members limited to one, except where the Chair of the Council deems that an emergency motion is necessary and appropriate."
3. The council introduces two additional meetings to the constitution, so that it reads 7 ordinary meetings a year.
4. That the number of signatories required to have a petition debated at council is reduced from 5,000, to 1,000.
5. The version of the Constitution appended to this report should be

adopted as the Council's Constitution with effect from 20 July 2022 only once the amendments listed 1-4 have been incorporated.

8.4 **Following debate, the amendment was put to the vote and LOST there were 13 votes in favour, 39 votes against and 1 abstention.**

8.5 Cllr Alexandra Kemp then moved, seconded by Cllr Brian Watkins, the following amendment:

Council Procedure Rules Part 5A Motions on Notice

1. Order of Debate for Motions

In the interests of fairness and diversity, Motions on Notice will be heard according to historic custom and practice in the Council i. e. in the order submitted to Democratic Services (" First Come, First Served"). However, in the interests of mutual cooperation, no one group or political entity (" non-aligned member") will normally move a second Motion, until all other groups or political entities have moved their first Motion, should they have submitted one. Para 10.1

2. Length of Full Council Meetings

Meetings of the full Council will last for up to 3 hours, subject to extension of time by the Chair, in consultation with Members. Just before the end of 3 hours, if a Motion is in debate, the Chair will respectfully wait, until speakers who intimated their wish to speak, have spoken, and until after the vote has been taken, before consulting Members on the extension of the Council Meeting. Part 4 (v) (vi)

3. Extension of Council Meetings

If, just before 3 hours have expired, there are Motions remaining on the Agenda to be debated, the Chair will consult Members and invite them to vote on extending the Council Meeting by a period of time - 30 minutes, an hour etc - so that Motions can be heard. Part 4 (v) (vi)

4. Procedure for Unfinished Business at Full Council Meetings

After 3 hours, or the end of any extensions to the Meeting voted by Members, any Motions on the Agenda remaining unheard, will automatically be carried forward to the next Council Meeting, unless the proposer of the Motion withdraws them at any time, before the agenda is published. Part 4 (v) (vi)

5. In the Alternative to 2,3 and 4 above

Motions on Notice will be debated after Questions to the Leader. Para 2.1 (vii) and (xiv)

6. Speaking Time

The maximum length of time for speeches to Council and Committees by Members will be 5 minutes. Part 5A para 12.4.1

Audit Committee

Assumption of Standards Committee Functions Part 8A Para 4

7. All Members of the Audit Committee will be provided with mandatory training in human and civil rights. Part 8A Para 4

8. In the interests of transparency and human rights, the procedure for Code of Conduct Complaints and for standards hearings will be published in full within the Constitution. Par 4.3 (2)

9. Scrutiny

Decisions of Cabinet are subject to the call-in process, including Cabinet Recommendations to Council Part 7, para 7.4 (ii)

10. Financial Decisions – Incinerator Clause

In the interests of financial transparency, fiscal prudence, reputation of the Council and good governance in major projects, all decisions which commit the County Council to spending over £100m must be referred to Full Council Part 11 C Para 3.6.1

8.6 In moving the amendment, Cllr Alexandra Kemp had asked for a recorded vote, however, on a show of hands there were insufficient members in favour of one being taken.

8.7 **The amendment was then put to the vote and LOST there were 13 votes in favour, 39 votes against and 1 abstention.**

8.8 At this point in the proceedings the Chair moved that the meeting be extended until such time as Council had reached a decision, and this was **AGREED** on a show of hands.

8.9 Council then discussed the substantive motion and Cllr Steve Morpew expressed concerns of the Labour Group about the Incinerator Clause and said that he hoped the Council would be flexible in applying the time limit for Members to speak at County Council.

8.10 The Director of Governance said that while the County Council remained responsible for setting the Council's budget, the clause in the Constitution that related to expenditure in excess of £100m should have been removed when the Council moved away from the Committee system of governance and reverted to a Cabinet system. This clause was left in the constitution in error and had now to be removed.

8.11 **Following further debate, the substantive motion was put to the vote, and it was AGREED by 39 votes in favour, 13 votes against and 1 abstention:**

1. **That the current 3 hours' time limit for a Council meeting set out in Part 5A para 3.2 (iv) is retained.**
2. **The order in which motions are heard at a Council meeting should be as set out in Part 5A para 10.1.2.**
3. **The version of the Constitution appended to this report should be adopted as the Council's Constitution with effect from 20 July 2022.**

9 Chair concluding remarks

9.1 The Chair pointed out that motions 3 and 4 on the agenda had been withdrawn. The Chair then ended the meeting by thanking everyone for their attendance.

9.2 It was noted that some of the business that was due to have been considered at this meeting was not dealt with in the time available. This business can be seen as an addendum to these minutes.

10 **Addendum to the minutes**

10.1 The following reports were not considered at this meeting:

ITEM 8

Cabinet Reports (Questions to Cabinet Members)

Meetings held on 3 May 2022, 6 June 2022 and 4 July 2022

ITEM 9

Committee Reports

Scrutiny Committee: Meetings held on 18 May 2022 and 23 June 2022

Corporate Select Committee: Meeting held on 23 May 2022

Infrastructure & Development Select Committee: Meeting held on 25 May 2022

People and Communities Select Committee: Meeting held on 27 May 2022

Norfolk Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee: Meetings held on 12 May 2022 and 28 June 2022

Health and Wellbeing Board: Meeting held on 8 June 2022

Planning (Regulatory) Committee: Meeting held on 20 May 2022

Item 10

Appointments to Committees, Sub-Committees and Joint Committees.

Item 12

Notice of Motions: (motions 3 and 4 were however withdrawn)

Item 13

Questions under Rule 8.3 of the Council Procedure Rules (none were received)

The meeting ended at 2 pm

Chair



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact Customer Services on 0344 800 8020 or 18001 0344 800 8020 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Norfolk County Council

Date 19 July Appendix A

RECORDED VOTE A (NORWICH WESTERN LINK)) ITEM NUMBER:

7.1

	For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent		
ADAMS Tony	X		
ANNISON Carl	X		
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley	x		
BENSLY James	x		
BILLS David	x		
BIRMINGHAM Alison		x	
BLUNDELL Sharon	Absent		
BORRETT Bill	x		
BOWES Claire	x		
BROCIK-COULTON Julie	Absent		
CARPENTER Graham	Absent		
CARPENTER Penny	x		
CLANCY Stuart	x		
COLMAN Ed	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	Absent		
CONNOLLY Ed	x		
CORLETT Emma	Absent		
DALBY Michael	Absent		
DARK Stuart	Absent		
DAUBNEY Nick	x		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent		
DEWSBURY Margaret	x		
DIXON Nigel	Absent		
DUFFIN Barry	Absent		
DUIGAN Phillip	x		
EAGLE Fabian	x		
ELMER Daniel	x		
FISHER John	x		
FITZPATRICK Tom	x		
GRANT Andy	Absent		
GURNEY Shelagh	x		
HEMPSALL Lana	x		
HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of	x		
JAMES Jane	x		
JAMIESON Andrew	x		
JERMY Terry		x	
JONES Brenda		x	
KEMP Alexandra		x	
KIDDIE Keith	x		

	For	Against	Abstain
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark	Absent		
KIRK Julian	X		
LONG Brian	x		
MACKIE Ian	Absent		
MASON BILLIG Kay	x		
MAXFIELD Ed	Absent		
MIDDLETON Graham	Absent		
MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
MORPHEW Steve		x	
NEALE Paul		x	
OLIVER Judy	Absent		
OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
OSBORN Jamie		x	
PECK Greg	x		
PENFOLD Saul	Absent		
PLANT Graham	x		
PRICE Ben		x	
PRICE Richard	Absent		
PROCTOR Andrew	x		
RICHMOND Will	x		
Reilly Matthew		x	
RILEY Steve	Absent		
ROPER Dan	x		
Rumsby Chrissie		x	
SANDS Mike	Absent		
SAVAGE Robert	Absent		
SHIRES Lucy	Absent		
SMITH Carl	x		
SMITH-CLARE Mike		x	
STONE Barry	x		
STOREY Martin	x		
THOMAS Alison	Absent		
THOMSON Vic	x		
VARDY Eric	x		
VINCENT Karen	x		
WALKER Colleen	Absent		
WARD John	x		
WATKINS Brian		x	
WEBB Maxine	Absent		
WHITE Tony	x		
WHYMARK Fran	x		
WILBY Martin	x		

For	41
Against	12
Abstentions	0