

Norfolk Local Access Forum Minutes of the Meeting Held on 05 October 2022 at 10.30am at County Hall, Norwich

Representing:
Motorised Vehicles / Pathmakers
Land Ownership
Cycling
Walking
Voluntary Sector
Health and Wellbeing
Walking
Equestrian
Norfolk County Council
Lead Project Officer, Community and Environmental Services (CES)
Geospatial Data Analyst – Natural Norfolk
Project Manager – Natural Norfolk
Geospatial Data Analyst – Natural Norfolk

Jason Moorse Su Waldron Russell Wilson

Nicola Ledain

Geospatial Data Analyst - Natural Norfolk Committee Services Officer, Democratic Services Area Manager West, CES Project Officer (Environment Team) Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure)

1. **Apologies for Absence**

Apologies had been received from Cllr Penny Carpenter, Cllr Lana Hempsall, Rebecca 1.1 Durant, Ruth Goodall, Sarah Morgan and Niall Pettitt. Apologies were also received from Andrew Walters from the Broads Authority.

Election of Chair 2.

2.1 Martin Sullivan was duly elected Chair for the ensuing year.

Election of Vice Chair 3.

3.1 Ken Hawkins was elected Vice Chair for the ensuing year.

4. Chair's Announcements

- 4.1 The Chair informed the Forum that future meetings would start at 10am.
- 4.2 The meeting schedule for 2023 would be circulated in due course.

5. Minutes

5.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 6 July 2022 were confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chair.

6. Declarations of Interest

6.1 There were no interests declared.

7. Urgent Business

7.1 There was no urgent business.

8. Public Question Time

8.1 No public questions were received.

9. Local member Issues / Questions

9.1 There were no member questions received.

10. Feedback from Events

10.1 The Vice Chair reported that meetings of the Broads LAF and Suffolk LAF had not been attended since the last meeting of the NLAF. No other meetings had been attended by any other members of the NLAF.

11. Meetings Forward Plan

- 11.1 The NLAF received the annexed report which outlined agenda items for the forthcoming meetings.
- 11.2 Although the list looked substantial, it was always useful to add extra items on to the plan which were of particular interest to NLAF members.
- 11.3 The Chair reminded NLAF members to put forward further agenda items for consideration at any point either by contacting him, the vice chair, or the officers.
- 11.4 The NLAF **NOTED** the Forward plan.

12. NLAF Subgroups' report (Permissive Access; PROW; NAIP; Vision and Ideas; Joint Communications)

- 12.1 The NLAF received the annexed reports which set out the latest discussions and recommendations of the sub-groups of the NLAF.
- 12.2 The Vice Chair highlighted that item 6.4 of the minutes of the prow subgroup which highlighted that NCC were making a firm line on enforcement of obstruction of public rights of way and this was welcomed by the Prow subgroup and in turn by the NLAF.
- 12.3 The need for a pedestrian crossing on Bure Valley Path had been identified. A design

was currently being worked through with planners and a bid for CIL funing had been submitted. It was agreed that more information would be brought to the NLAF at a future meeting.

12.4 The NLAF **AGREED**;

- a) To note the minutes of the PRoW subgroup meeting of 12th September (Appendix 1)
- b) To note the minutes of the NAIP subgroup meeting of 21st September (Appendix 2)

13. Subgroups' Terms of Reference

- 13.1 The NLAF received the annexed report which outlined the terms of reference for the three subgroups; Public Rights of Way, Norfolk Access Improvement Plan and Visions and Ideas.
- 13.2 All members were encouraged to consider joining one (or more) of the subgroups, by expressing their interest to the relevant Chair.
- 13.3 The NLAF **AGREED** the Subgroups' terms of references.

14. NLAF Response to the Norwich Western Link Consultation

- 14.1 The Forum received the annexed report which set out the timeline for responses to the consultation regarding the Norwich Western Link and provided the Forum with a reminder of those comments that they had already compiled through the PRoW subgroup.
- 14.2 The Vice Chair outlined two amendments to the response for the NLAF to agree regarding points 11 and 13 of the report appendix.
- 14.3 It was the view of some members that as the scheme had such a profound impact on the area, it consequentially has a profound effect on access to the countryside and therefore an objection to the scheme should be submitted. Although there was undeniable impact to access to the countryside, it had been agreed at the PRoW subgroup that it didn't warrant an objection to the planning application.
- 14.4 It was hoped that the NLAF would receive a response to their comments on the consultation and it was agreed that one would be requested in the comments.
- 14.5 It was clarified that the initiative was in the pre-planning stage. Officers directed the NLAF to the information on the website to inform them of more information regarding the application.
- 14.6 NLAF **AGREED** to adopt and submit the comments agreed by the PRoW subgroup as its response to the consultation regarding the Norwich Western Link and to include the comments as outlined with the addition "with a suitable

15. Pathmakers Projects

- 15.1 The NLAF received the annexed report which updated the Forum on the activities of Pathmakers.
- 15.2 The Walking Festival was currently underway and members were encouraged to have

a look at the information about it.

15.3 The NLAF **NOTED** the report.

16. Greater Norwich Green Infrastructure Strategy and Access

- 16.1 The Forum received the annexed report and presentation which outlined the development of a Green Infrastructure (GI) strategy that delivered active places for residents and visitors to Greater Norwich whilst ensuring that those natural places were maintained and enhanced.
- 16.2 The strategy was trying to cover all types of access not just walking.
- 16.3 There would be the interest to conduct similar analysis countywide, but the practicalities and funding of this were unclear.
- 16.4 There were various data sources which could be accessed on permissive paths despite the recent decisions from Defra.
- 16.5 It was suggested that wild swimming clubs were added to consultee list.

16.6 The Forum

 NOTED main sources of geospatial data to develop a baseline map that could inform areas of deficiency and opportunity areas
NOTED the new approach that NCC geospatial team has developed to make better informed choices about access to GI

17. Countryside Access Arrangements update

- 17.1 The Forum received the annexed report which highlighted this work in terms of the volumes of customer queries received and responded to. The paper highlighted the work in terms of the volumes of customer queries received and responded to. The paper also highlighted other key areas of work.
- 17.2 The NLAF **NOTED** the progress made to date since the Countryside Access Officer posts were introduced and hoped that staffing resource could be increased to meet the additional demand.

18. NCC Member Sustainable Transport update

- 18.1 The Forum received the annexed report which provided a summary of the key walking and cycling projects relevant to the Local Access Forum.
- 18.2 The NLAF **NOTED** the progress of the walking and cycling projects as outlined in the report.

19. Major Infrastructure Projects and Planning

19.1 The Forum received the annexed report which updated them on the major infrastructure

projects that were currently underway in the County which impacted on Public Rights of Way.

19.2 The NLAF **NOTED** the table of major infrastructure projects in Norfolk.

The meeting closed at 12.20am

Martin Sullivan, Chair, Norfolk Local Access Forum



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact Customer Services on 0344 800 8020 or Text Relay on 18001 800 8020 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.