

Norfolk County Council

Minutes of the Meeting Held on 20 October 2014

Present:	Mr A Adams	Ms A Kemp
	Mr S Agnew	Mr M Kiddle-Morris
	Mr C Aldred	Mr J Law
	Mr S Askew	Mrs J Leggett
	Mr M Baker	Mr B Long
	Mr R Bearman	Mr I Mackie
	Mr R Bird	Mr I Monson
	Mr B Borrett	Mr J Mooney
	Dr A Boswell	Ms E Morgan
	Mr B Bremner	Mr S Morphew
	Mrs J Brociek-Coulton	Mr G Nobbs
	Mr A Byrne	Mr W Northam
	Mr M Carttiss	Mr R Parkinson-Hare
	Mr M Castle	Mr J Perkins
	Mrs J Chamberlin	Mr A Proctor
	Mr M Chenery of Horsbrugh	Mr D Ramsbotham
	Mr J Childs	Mr W Richmond
	Mr S Clancy	Mr D Roper
	Mr T Coke	Mr M Sands
	Mr D Collis	Mr E Seward
	Ms E Corlett	Mr N Shaw
	Mrs H Cox	Mr M Smith
	Mr D Crawford	Mr R Smith
	Mr A Dearnley	Mr P Smyth
	Mrs M Dewsbury	Mr B Spratt
	Mr N Dixon	Mr M Storey
	Mr J Dobson	Dr M Strong
	Mr T FitzPatrick	Mrs A Thomas
	Mr C Foulger	Mr D Thomas
	Mr T Garrod	Mr J Timewell
	Ms D Gihawi	Miss J Virgo
	Mrs S Gurney	Mrs C Walker
	Mr B Hannah	Mr J Ward
	Mr D Harrison	Mr B Watkins
	Mr S Hebborn	Ms S Whitaker
	Mr H Humphrey	Mr A White
	Mr B Iles	Mr M Wilby
	Mr T Jermy	Mrs M Wilkinson
	Mr C Jordan	
	Mr J Joyce	

Apologies for Absence:

Apologies were received from Mr T East, Mr P Gilmour, Mr A Grey, Mr A Gunson, Mr P Hacon and Mrs M Somerville.

1 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

- 1.1 The minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 21 July 2014 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

2 Chairman's Announcements

- 2.1 The Chairman welcomed Wendy Thomson, who was attending her first Council meeting after being appointed Managing Director.
- 2.2 Members stood in silence in memory of Mrs Brenda Ravencroft who had sadly passed away. Mrs Ravencroft was member for Reepham from 1997-2005, holding the position of Vice-Chairman of the Council in 2004-05.
- 2.3 The Chairman welcomed Clare Precey, Associate Tutor in Journalism at the UEA and a group of students studying journalism who were attending to observe the meeting.
- 2.4 The Chairman reminded Members about the Takeover Day which would be held on 21 November. The event was about giving children and young people the chance to work with adults for the day and get involved in decision making. It had been decided to organise a debate between the young people and councillors in the afternoon of 21 November, with the topics for the debate still to be decided. Members were asked to contact Chris Williams in the Communications Team if they wanted to take part.
- 2.5 The Leader announced that he had attended a meeting on Friday 17 October with Suffolk and Essex County Councils and Governor Lee of the Jiangsu Province to sign the new agreement between Essex, Suffolk and Norfolk and Jiangsu Province. The Leader said that he had presented a Kingfisher, carved out of local wood, to Governor Lee on behalf of the County Council as the Kingfisher was a symbol of peace and prosperity in China. Governor Lee had presented a carved plate to the Leader which he duly presented to the Chairman and which would be placed on display in an appropriate place.

3 Declarations of Interest

- 3.1 Ms E Corlett declared an other interest in item 9.2 Questions to Adult Social Committee Chair, relating to the question asked regarding return of a social care function from NSFT as she was employed by the Norfolk and Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (NSFT).

4 Questions to the Leader of the Council

4.1 Question from Mr J Childs

Mr Childs asked for Council's assurance that the money granted to Save the Hemsby Coastline was secure. He also thanked ETD staff for their efforts in this respect.

The Leader replied that the money was safe and no funding would be withdrawn.

4.2 Question from Mr B Watkins

Over the next 10-20 years, it had been forecast that the elderly population in Norfolk would increase dramatically, something which would have a hugely significant impact on the Council's future resources. In view of this, would the Leader agree that it was now time to add the care of the elderly and other vulnerable groups to the Council's current list of priorities?

The Leader said that this was already a priority of this Council.

4.3 Question from Mr R Bearman

At the recent 'make your mark' ballot undertaken by Norfolk MYPs the top campaign identified by young people was that "Everyone should be paid at least the living wage of £7.65 per hour and anyone who worked, regardless of age, should have a decent standard of living". Could the Leader update full Council on the steps that Norfolk County Council was taking to support this aspiration for Council employees and Norfolk workers generally?

The Leader replied that discussions on this issue had taken place at the last Personnel Committee meeting, and officers had been asked to present a report on the implications of introducing a living wage to the Committee at its next meeting.

4.4 Question from Ms A Kemp

Would the Leader consider writing to the Highways Authority to ensure that greater priority was given to the A47 and the Hardwick roundabout upgrade as this was preventing proper development in King's Lynn?

The Leader replied that he would write to the Highways Authority as he felt the A47 was a priority route.

4.5 Question from Mr M Sands

Would the Leader comment on the effectiveness of the budget setting process so far?

The Leader replied that the budget setting process was progressing satisfactorily in most cases. The five service committees would produce their budget recommendations to the Policy and Resources Committee with the actual recommendations being presented to Council in February 2015.

4.6 Question from Mr E Seward

Since the referendum in Scotland on 18 September, we have heard about the Government's intention for a new constitutional settlement for England as well as Wales and Northern Ireland. This new devolution of power could take several forms but already the major cities in the north of England planned to develop far greater cooperation between them in order to maximise the likely economic benefits that may come their way from devolution. Did the Leader think this was a model that we should be looking to replicate here in East Anglia?

The Leader replied that he didn't think the Government's proposals to let District and County Councils decide what level of devolution they wanted was practical.

4.7 Question from Ms E Corlett

Did the Leader agree with Michael Wilshaw's recent comments that children were

unlucky to be born in Norfolk and in light of the story in the Observer on Sunday 19 October, did the Leader think there should be a properly independent inquiry into how certain people at an academy chain had prior warning of Ofsted inspections?

The Leader said that although Norfolk County Council had no control of academies, it was held accountable. The Chair of Children's Services Committee said that when the allegations were made, the Interim Director of Children's Services had written to Ofsted, who had carried out an internal investigation. On receipt of the results of the investigation, the action plan had been requested which had also been received. Once the Interim Director of Children's Services and the Chair of Children's Services Committee had considered the action plan they would respond.

4.8 **Question from Mr B Bremner**

Has the Leader any idea why my Members' expenses claims are being audited when I have not claimed any expenses?

The Leader replied that every Councillor was having their expenses audited to check that the system was working well and fairly and was not a reflection on any one individual.

5 Norfolk County Council Senior Management Review

5.1 Council received the report by the Managing Director, setting out the proposals to establish a new senior management structure for the County Council.

5.2 The following points were noted in response to questions from Members:

5.2.1 The intention of placing the role of Chief Fire Officer within the Environment, Transport and Development department was to strengthen the position and to utilise that emergency service in a more coherent way. The Chief Fire Officer had statutory duties which needed to be complied with and there was no intention to override those tasks. The arrangement would allow other County Council emergency and resilience services to benefit from the considerable operational knowledge and experience of the Chief Fire Officer.

5.2.2 Bringing the financial data and analytic functions into the Resources department, together with the public health role and procurement function, would enable timely and accurate financial reporting and would identify what the costs of services to individual departments were, rather than each department having a global budget.

5.2.3 Under the proposed structure there would be one Executive Director of Finance, who would be responsible for exercising the Section 151 function.

5.2.4 The wide nature of the work undertaken by Public Health, meant it could sit within any department of the County Council. Currently, the role reported to the Managing Director and it was considered that the Council was not benefiting from the many skills available. Various options had been considered, such as placing it in the Environment department who had a protection and regulatory role. Because of the varied nature of the role and the way they interacted with the community in a variety of ways, it was considered that this department should be placed at the heart of the Council within the Resources function. The suggestion had been discussed with the department as well as Public Health England and the regional public health teams and it had been considered that this option would allow the Public Health team to

make the greatest contribution to the Council overall.

- 5.2.5 The ICT department remaining within the Resources function would ensure that it was aligned with other central services and was a demand driven, rather than technology driven service. The DNA Project was progressing and was being overseen by the Head of ICT.
- 5.2.6 One senior post (Head of Communications and Customer Services) had been deleted from the structure and the structure below Chief Officer level would be reviewed continually to ensure the Council adapted and changed to future demands on services.
- 5.2.7 Placing the communications team within the Resources function would ensure that it remained a priority service.
- 5.3 Council **RESOLVED** to:
1. Approve the proposed management structure.
 2. Approve the deletion of the post of Head of Customer Service and Communications.

6 Review of Special Responsibility Allowances

- 6.1 Council received and approved the recommendations set out in the report by the Independent Remuneration Panel.

7 Notice of Motions

- 7.1 The following motion proposed by Mr T FitzPatrick and seconded by Mr T Garrod was moved:

This Council notes the continued the work of the Broadband, Mobile Phone & DAB Working Group and the recent announcement by Vodafone to offer some solutions to the problems people continue to face with mobile phone coverage.

It also recognises the excellent work of the Digital Divide East Anglia Steering Group, led by George Freeman MP, which made representations to the Minister for the Digital Economy in September.

This Council made bold steps in being among the first to sign the contract with BT and bring super-fast broadband to parts of Norfolk it would not otherwise have reached.

In rural and semi-rural areas across Norfolk, mobile phone coverage remains a challenge not just for members of the public, but people working in services and businesses as well.

Therefore, this Council **RESOLVES** to:

write to Norfolk's MPs to urge them to lobby the Government to enact legislation enabling mobile phone users to 'roam' across the signal provided by different mobile network operators.

7.1.1 Dr Strong proposed, seconded by Mr R Coke, the following amendment to the motion:

Delete all after “Therefore, this Council RESOLVES to”

Replace with:

“ask the Working Group to consider writing to Norfolk MPs to urge them to lobby the Government to enact legislation enabling mobile phone users to ‘roam’ across the signal provided by different mobile network operators and give consideration to inviting a member of the Digital Divide East Anglian Steering Group to attend one of its meetings in view of its intention to work with County Councils”

7.1.2 After a recorded vote (attached at Appendix A), with 38 votes in favour and 38 votes against, the Chairman exercised his casting vote in favour and the amendment was **CARRIED** to become the substantive motion.

7.1.3 After a recorded vote (attached at Appendix B), with 38 votes in favour, 26 votes against and 1 abstention, the motion was **CARRIED**.

7.2 The following motion proposed by Mr R Bearman and seconded by Mr A Dearnley was moved:

This Council agrees not to rule out the possibility of proposing a Council Tax increase in 2015/16 given the continuing and ongoing reduction in central Government funding. We therefore ask officers to work out the financial implications for a Council Tax increase of 1.99%* for both the 2015/16 and future year's budgets. *Assuming this level is below the threshold to trigger an automatic referendum.

7.2.1 Mr Nobbs proposed the following amendment, seconded by Mr B Bremner.

This Council agrees not to rule out the possibility of proposing a Council Tax increase in 2015/16 given the continuing and ongoing reduction in central Government funding. ~~We therefore ask officers to work out the financial implications for a Council Tax increase of 1.99%* for both the 2015/16 and future year's budgets. *Assuming this level is below the threshold to trigger an automatic referendum.~~

With 30 votes in favour, 41 votes against and 0 abstentions, the amendment was **LOST**.

7.2.2 Following a recorded vote (attached at Appendix C), with 24 votes in favour, 50 votes against and 1 abstention the substantive motion was **LOST**.

7.3 The following motion proposed by Mr J Dobson and seconded by Mr B Long was **WITHDRAWN**.

This Council regards as incongruous the fact that in its Waste Site Specification Allocations Development Plan Documents (DPD) the description of the allocation of the WAS 65 (Willows site) still includes provision for the construction of an industrial scale mass-burn incinerator (Energy from Waste plant). In order to discourage would-be developers from pursuing such schemes in the future, this Council instructs officers to process (exceptionally) an amendment to the stated Policy WAS 65 (Willows Site) as follows:

"The site is allocated for composting, recycling/processing, anaerobic digestion, thermal treatment and other forms of residual waste treatment (all excluding incineration with or without energy recovery)."

8 Recommendations from Service Committees

8.1 Children's Services – 16 September 2014

Mr J Joyce, Chair of Children's Services Committee moved the recommendations in the report.

Question from Mrs J Leggett.

Children's Services staff were to be congratulated for the improved figures for adoption and Mrs Leggett asked whether or not Norfolk County Council had reached the figures of a high performing local authority, or was there an increase in numbers expected next year. Attention was also drawn to the residential homes most of whom had received a good or outstanding rating by Ofsted.

The Chair of Children's Services Committee replied that there was always room for improvement, so even if the Council was at the level of a high performing council, it would not be good enough for Norfolk and we would need to do better.

Council **RESOLVED** to agree the recommendations in the report.

8.2 Communities – 17 September 2014

Mr P Smyth, Chair of Communities Committee moved the recommendations in the report.

Council **RESOLVED** to agree the recommendations in the report.

8.3 Environment, Development & Transport – 18 September 2014

Mr R Coke, Chair of EDT Committee, moved the recommendations in the report.

Council **RESOLVED** to agree the recommendations in the report.

8.4 Economic Development Sub-Committee – 12 September 2014

Mr B Spratt, Chair of Economic Development Sub-Committee, moved the recommendations in the report.

Council **RESOLVED** to agree the recommendations in the report.

9 Reports from Committees

9.1 Report of the Adult Social Care Committee meeting held on 22 September 2014

Ms S Whitaker, Chair of Adult Social Care Committee, moved the report.

9.1.1 Question from Ms D Gihawi

As the representative on the Mental Health Trust Board of Governors, could the

Chair tell us how the role complimented being the Chair of Adult Social Care Committee and give Councillors an update on the social care staff who were coming back into service?

The Chair replied that 55 members of staff had transferred back to Norfolk County Council from the Mental Health Trust on 1 October. In 2008 170 staff were transferred to the Mental Health Trust. Being one of the Partner Governors did compliment the role of Chair.

9.1.2 **Question from Mr B Watkins**

Friday 10 October marked World Mental Health Day. This year many leading employers had signed a pledge showing their commitment to tackling mental health issues in the workplace. Could the Chair of Adult Social Care confirm whether the County Council had signed the pledge?

The Chair said that Norfolk County Council had signed the mental health concordat issued by the Local Government Association (LGA).

9.1.3 **Question from Dr M Strong**

With the reduction in central government funding having a serious impact on social care, what was Norfolk County Council doing with charities and the voluntary sector to protect the interests of young paid carers, in particular did we have any initiatives for the 5000 young carers in the county?

The Chair said with the Carers Act coming into force in April 2015 the Council would have a specific responsibility to not only take account of, but to support carers in the county. The Chair asked Members to encourage carers, or anyone that knew a carer, particularly young carers, to apply for a grant. The grants were relatively small, with a maximum amount of £690 per year, but only about one third of the eligible people had applied. The Chair also praised the work of the Carers Agency Partnership, led by Maggie Williams, which was doing some sterling work as an umbrella organisation.

The Chair agreed to circulate a briefing note to Members about the availability of the grants.

9.1.4 **Question from Ms A Kemp**

Could the Chair give an update on the work being done to improve the HomeCare workforce which would help keep people out of care homes and hospitals and which would also raise the standard of the profession?

The Chair said that the Adult Social Care Committee had received a report at its meeting on 22 September and would receive an update at its meeting on 23 October, when the Committee would be considering the way that home care was provided in the county.

9.1.5 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

9.2 **Report of the Children's Services Committee meeting held on 16 September 2014**

Mr J Joyce, Chair of Children's Services Committee moved the report.

9.2.1 **Question from Mrs J Leggett**

Members were asked to congratulate the staff who had worked to improve the standards in Norfolk Schools. Although Sir Michael Wilshaw was quite stark in his speech to Headteachers and there was further work to do, congratulations were due to Children's Services duty staff who had enabled the county to achieve an effective rating.

The Chair endorsed the statement about how Children's Services staff had worked tirelessly to improve the levels of education in our schools. This had also been borne out by the recent inspections.

9.2.2 **Question from Mr J Mooney**

It was good to see that standards were improving in schools. He said he would like the County Council to get across the point that the responsibility for improving educational outcomes in schools rested with the headteachers and the governors of the schools. Councillors had a role to play in guiding them and helping them, and that should continue. Could the Chair give reassurance that the Council, in consultation with headteachers and governors, would not hesitate to remove poor teachers from schools if that was the way forward in increasing standards?

The Chair agreed with the statement and said that the responsibility for education at a school rested with the headteacher, senior management team, and the governing bodies. He added that all we could do was to help and advise them, be their critical friend and work with them to show best practice outside the county. Approximately 70% of Norfolk schools were now reported as being good which was moving in the right direction.

9.2.3 **Question from Mr B Watkins**

Could we have an update on how the Council was tackling the problem of troubled families across Norfolk?

Troubled Families was an initiative to identify troubled families in Norfolk and at the end of August the level of "satisfied" was approximately 20%. At the end of September the level was 47% and we were on track to hit the target of 70% by the end of January 2015.

9.2.4 Council **RESOLVED** to note the reports.

9.3 **Report of the Communities Committee meeting held on 17 September 2014**

Mr P Smyth, Chair of Communities Committee moved the report

9.3.1 **Question from Mr J Ward**

Last week, the Telegraph newspaper ranked Norfolk County Council number one in a list of the best councils to live under. This was due in no small part to our magnificent museums service which was a major tourist attraction. Just one example was the Gressenhall Rural Life Museum when thousands of visitors enjoyed themselves at the annual apple day. This museum had been shortlisted for the EDP Best Large Tourist Attraction Award. Would the Communities Chair join me in thanking all our museum staff for their sterling work?

The Chair said he was very pleased to endorse the comments.

9.3.2 **Question from Mr T Jermy**

Norfolk was blessed with great heritage, culture and diversity and as part of this the County Council had always been a strong supporter of Black History Month. With this in mind, would the Chair of Communities Committee support the comments of Cllr Baker on his Twitter account where he said "County are trying to fill a budget gap of £13m while funding Black History month. Diversity and political correctness gone mad". Would Cllr Smyth agree, and does he feel that this view is compatible with our public sector equality duties?

The Chair said he would not endorse those comments and would disassociate himself from them.

9.3.3 **Question from Mr D Roper**

There had been coverage in the media that collection boxes had been placed in some of our libraries. Could the Chair of Communities Committee outline what the origin of this decision was and whether there were any plans on how the money collected was going to be spent?

The Chair said that the idea appeared to come out of the Putting People First public consultation last year, and from comments by library staff at various libraries. Collection boxes were placed in five libraries at the beginning of August, principally in the north of the county where there were a lot of tourists, with collection boxes placed in the Millennium library at the beginning of September. A total of 13% of our libraries had these boxes. The boxes had now been collected and a grand total of £284.27 had been raised. There was no targeted spend for that money, but money collected locally should be spent locally so it would be up to the local libraries to decide how to spend the money.

9.3.4 **Question from Mr J Mooney**

Are there any revenue raising opportunities for the Fire Service? Also on the recent retirement of Derek Underwood, would his work with regard to the crucial crew continue?

The Chair responded that in the current budget consultation, the fire service was looking at ways to raise revenue including venue hire, ways of supporting the highways department, for example with the removal of fallen trees, and also some contractual opportunities regarding testing fire alarms, etc.

With regard to the crucial crew, The Chair agreed that Derek Underwood had carried out some sterling work from which a whole generation of school children had benefited and this work would continue.

9.3.5 **Question from Mr M Baker**

Regarding Cllr Jermy comments Mr Baker said he had simply asked the question "Is it a wise thing". He said he had made no other comments, but purely asked the question.

9.3.6 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

9.4 **Report of the Environment, Development and Transport Committee meeting held on 18 September 2014**

Mr R Coke, Chair of EDT Committee, moved the report.

9.4.1 **Question from Mr M Wilby**

Could the Chair of EDT please clarify in what capacity he was acting when he was writing to the Editor of the Eastern Daily Press? Was it as UKIP Group Leader, Chair of EDT or UKIP PPC?

The Chair replied that he had written a couple of articles which had been published as Chair of EDT. He said he also wrote numerous letters to the EDP as an individual.

9.4.2 **Question from Mr E Seward**

Could the Chair of the EDT Committee share his views on the progress of the Committee's proposed budget savings?

The Chair replied that EDT Committee was now in a position to put its proposals to the Policy & Resources Committee.

9.4.3 **Question from Mr B Long**

Members of the EDT Committee considered the hazardous waste amnesty a great success. Was turning people away on a Saturday and a Sunday considered a success?

The Chair said there were two instances of two recycling centres being overwhelmed during the amnesty which showed there was a demand for that service. As a result additional opening times had been arranged at both centres.

9.4.4 **Question from Ms E Morgan**

Given the major cuts across all departments of the Council and further savings having to be found, would the Chair agree to suspend any work on scoping the Wensum Valley link until the outcome of the Secretary of State's decision on the current NDR planning application? How could we justify to Norfolk people spending additional money on assessment work while money on essential services is being reduced or cut?

The Chair said that it had been agreed that no further investigation and feasibility studies would be undertaken until the Secretary of State had made his decision on whether the NDR was going ahead.

9.4.5 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

9.5 **Reports of the Economic Development Sub-Committee meetings held on 28 July and 12 September 2014**

Mr R Coke, Chair of EDT Committee moved the report.

9.5.1 **Question from Mrs J Chamberlin**

The Local Monitoring and Enforcement Protocol referred to a member being notified of events taking place in their constituency. Should this be Division? The word in-house was referred to, could it be clarified what that will involve? Had the tenants been

consulted with the proposed changes and if so, what was their opinion?

The Chair of the County Farms Advisory Board said that consideration was being given to whether it would be more cost effective to manage the county farms estate in-house.

The tenants had all been consulted at a meeting which was held in February and those tenants that had responded, were in favour of the proposal.

9.5.2 Question from Dr A Boswell

Would the Chair agree that opening one of the stations on the Norwich to Peterborough line around the Hethersett/Cringleford area would be good for economic development and would open up the whole area around the UEA and Norwich Research Park to Wymondham, Attleborough and Thetford?

The Chair said he would support opening up a station on that line, particularly with the number of houses being built in those towns.

9.5.3 Question from Mr T Jermy

Mr Jermy said he was sure that all Members would share concern at the recent news that up to 325 jobs would be lost at Lotus, Hethel and further potential job losses of 200+ at the Heinz factory, North Walsham particularly as we approach the Christmas period. Could the Chair outline what actions he had taken in relation to these two matters please?

The Chair agreed that it was very sad news and that everything would be done to try to minimise the job losses at both locations.

9.5.4 Question from Mr D Collis

Could the Chair confirm that there were currently no sub-tenancies and no intention of granting any sub-tenancies on the county farm estate?

The Chair of the County Farms Advisory Board confirmed that there were some sub-lettings and that some advice had been received about the best way to deal with them.

9.5.5 Council RESOLVED to note the report.

9.6 Reports of the Policy and Resources Committee meetings held on 5 and 29 September 2014

9.6.1 Council RESOLVED to note the report.

9.7 Other Committees

9.7.1 Report of the Audit Committee meeting held on 25 September 2014

9.7.2 Mr I Mackie, Chair of Audit Committee, moved the report and following a question, confirmed that a report on the Councillors expenses audit would be reported to a future Audit Committee meeting.

9.7.3 Council RESOLVED to note the report.

9.8 **Report of the Standards Committee meeting held on 1 October 2014**

Mr I Monson moved the report. Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

9.9 **Reports of the Personnel Committee meetings held on 1 September and 8 October 2014.**

9.9.1 Mr G Nobbs moved the reports.

9.9.2 **Question from Ms E Corlett**

Miss Corlett said that she was pleased to hear that a report on Living Wages would be coming back to the Personnel Committee in December and asked if the Committee could look more broadly than just the living wage and consider the example of Liverpool City Council who were tackling issues of low wages, including zero hours contracts, with an employment charter, which sets out minimum standards for local employers to commit to with the aim of building a strong, prosperous and fair local economy.

The Leader replied that the Personnel Committee would be receiving a report about the living wage at its next meeting.

9.9.3 **Question from Ms A Kemp.**

82 councils around the country had already committed to introducing the living wage and it would be good for Norfolk to follow this example.

The Leader replied that, although it would be nice, it may not be possible.

9.9.4 Council **RESOLVED** to note the reports.

9.10 **Reports of the Norfolk Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee meetings held on 17 July and 4 September 2014**

Mr M Carttiss moved the reports. Council **RESOLVED** to note the reports.

9.11 **Reports of the Health and Wellbeing Board meetings held on 16 July and 10 September 2014**

Mr D Roper moved the reports. Council **RESOLVED** to note the reports.

9.12 **Reports of the Planning (Regulatory) Committee meetings held on 11 July and 19 September 2014.**

Mr D Collis moved the reports. Council **RESOLVED** to note the reports.

9.13 **Report of the Norfolk Highway Agency Committee meeting held on 24 July 2014**

Mr T Adams moved the report. Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.

10 Appointments to Committees, Sub-Committees and Joint Committees

10.1 The Council **noted** that Mr T Garrod had replaced Mr N Shaw on the Adult Social Care Committee.

11 To answer questions under Rule 8.3 of the Council Procedure Rules

There were none.

The meeting concluded at 1:20 pm

Chairman



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact Customer Services 0344 800 8020 or 0344 800 8011 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Norfolk County Council
20 October 2014

VOTING – ITEM NUMBER 7(i): - Marie Strong’s amendment to Tom FitzPatrick’s motion.

FOR	AGAINST.	ABST.		FOR	AGAINST	ABST	
	x		ADAMS Tony		x		KEMP Alexandra
x			AGNEW Stephen				KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark
x			ALDRED Colin		x		LAW Jason
	x		ASKEW Stephen		x		LEGGETT Judy
x			BAKER Michael		x		LONG Brian
x			BEARMAN Richard		x		MACKIE Ian
x			BIRD Richard		x		MONSON Ian
	x		BORRETT Bill		x		MOONEY Joe
x			BOSWELL Andrew	x			MORGAN Elizabeth
x			BREMNER Bert	x			MORPHEW Steve
x			BROCIK-COULTON Julie	x			NOBBS George
	x		BYRNE Alec		x		NORTHAM Wyndham
	x		CARTTISS Michael	x			PARKINSON-HARE Rex
x			CASTLE Mick	x			PERKINS Jim
	x		CHAMBERLIN Jenny		x		PROCTOR Andrew
	x		CHENERY OF HORSBRUGH Michael	x			RAMSBOTHAM David
x			CHILDS Jonathon		x		RICHMOND William
	x		CLANCY Stuart	x			ROPER Daniel
x			COKE Toby	x			SANDS Mike
x			COLLIS David	x			SEWARD Eric
x			CORLETT Emma		x		SHAW Nigel
	x		COX Hilary				SMITH Matthew
x			CRAWFORD Denis		x		SMITH Roger
x			DEARNLEY Adrian	x			SMYTH Paul
	x		DEWSBURY Margaret		ABSENT		SOMERVILLE Margaret
	x		DIXON Nigel		x		SPRATT Bev
	x		DOBSON John		x		STOREY Martin
	ABSENT		EAST Tim	x			STRONG Marie
	x		FITZPATRICK Tom		x		THOMAS Alison
	x		FOULGER Colin	x			THOMAS David
	x		GARROD Tom	x			TIMEWELL John
x			GIHAWI Deborah		x		VIRGO Judith
	ABSENT		GILMOUR Paul	x			WALKER Colleen
	ABSENT		GREY Alan		x		WARD John
	ABSENT		GUNSON Adrian	x			WATKINS Brian
	x		GURNEY Shelagh	x			WHITAKER Sue
	ABSENT		HACON Pat		x		WHITE Tony
x			HANNAH Brian		x		WILBY Martin
x			HARRISON David	x			WILKINSON Margaret
x			HEBBORN Stan				
	x		HUMPHREY Harry				
	x		ILES Brian				
x			JERMY Terry				
	x		JORDAN Cliff				
x			JOYCE James				

With 38 votes in favour and 38 votes against the Chairman exercised his casting vote in favour and the amendment was **CARRIED**.

Norfolk County Council
20 October 2014

VOTING – ITEM NUMBER: 7(i) – Substantive Motion

FOR	AGAINST.	ABST.		FOR	AGAINST	ABST	
	x		ADAMS Tony		x		KEMP Alexandra
x			AGNEW Stephen				KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark
x			ALDRED Colin		x		LAW Jason
	x		ASKEW Stephen		x		LEGGETT Judy
x			BAKER Michael		x		LONG Brian
x			BEARMAN Richard		x		MACKIE Ian
x			BIRD Richard		x		MONSON Ian
			BORRETT Bill		x		MOONEY Joe
x			BOSWELL Andrew	x			MORGAN Elizabeth
x			BREMNER Bert	x			MORPHEW Steve
x			BROCIEK-COULTON Julie	x			NOBBS George
	x		BYRNE Alec		x		NORTHAM Wyndham
		x	CARTTISS Michael	x			PARKINSON-HARE Rex
x			CASTLE Mick	x			PERKINS Jim
	x		CHAMBERLIN Jenny		x		PROCTOR Andrew
	x		CHENERY OF HORSBRUGH Michael	x			RAMSBOTHAM David
x			CHILDS Jonathon		x		RICHMOND William
	x		CLANCY Stuart	x			ROPER Daniel
x			COKE Toby	x			SANDS Mike
x			COLLIS David	x			SEWARD Eric
x			CORLETT Emma		x		SHAW Nigel
	x		COX Hilary				SMITH Matthew
x			CRAWFORD Denis		x		SMITH Roger
x			DEARNLEY Adrian	x			SMYTH Paul
	x		DEWSBURY Margaret		ABSENT		SOMERVILLE Margaret
	x		DIXON Nigel		x		SPRATT Bev
	x		DOBSON John		x		STOREY Martin
	ABSENT		EAST Tim	x			STRONG Marie
	x		FITZPATRICK Tom		x		THOMAS Alison
	x		FOULGER Colin	x			THOMAS David
	x		GARROD Tom	x			TIMEWELL John
x			GIHAWI Deborah		x		VIRGO Judith
	ABSENT		GILMOUR Paul	x			WALKER Colleen
	ABSENT		GREY Alan		x		WARD John
	ABSENT		GUNSON Adrian	x			WATKINS Brian
	x		GURNEY Shelagh	x			WHITAKER Sue
	ABSENT		HACON Pat		x		WHITE Tony
x			HANNAH Brian		x		WILBY Martin
x			HARRISON David	x			WILKINSON Margaret
x			HEBBORN Stan				
	x		HUMPHREY Harry				
	x		ILES Brian				
x			JERMY Terry				
	x		JORDAN Cliff				
x			JOYCE James				

With 38 votes in favour, 36 votes against and 1 abstention the motion was CARRIED.

**Norfolk County Council
20 October 2014**

VOTING – ITEM NUMBER 7(ii) – Motion by Richard Bearman

FOR	AGAINST.	ABST.		FOR	AGAINST	ABST	
	x		ADAMS Tony		x		KEMP Alexandra
	x		AGNEW Stephen				KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark
	x		ALDRED Colin		x		LAW Jason
	x		ASKEW Stephen		x		LEGETT Judy
	x		BAKER Michael		x		LONG Brian
x			BEARMAN Richard		x		MACKIE Ian
	x		BIRD Richard		x		MONSON Ian
	x		BORRETT Bill		x		MOONEY Joe
x			BOSWELL Andrew	x			MORGAN Elizabeth
x			BREMNER Bert	x			MORPHEW Steve
x			BROCIEK-COULTON Julie	x			NOBBS George
	x		BYRNE Alec		x		NORTHAM Wyndham
	x		CARTTISS Michael		x		PARKINSON-HARE Rex
x			CASTLE Mick		x		PERKINS Jim
	x		CHAMBERLIN Jenny		x		PROCTOR Andrew
	x		CHENERY OF HORSBRUGH Michael		x		RAMSBOTHAM David
	x		CHILDS Jonathon		x		RICHMOND William
	x		CLANCY Stuart	x			ROPER Daniel
	x		COKE Toby	x			SANDS Mike
x			COLLIS David	x			SEWARD Eric
x			CORLETT Emma		x		SHAW Nigel
	x		COX Hilary				SMITH Matthew
	x		CRAWFORD Denis		x		SMITH Roger
x			DEARNLEY Adrian			x	SMYTH Paul
	x		DEWSBURY Margaret	ABSENT			SOMERVILLE Margaret
	x		DIXON Nigel		x		SPRATT Bev
	x		DOBSON John		x		STOREY Martin
ABSENT			EAST Tim				STRONG Marie
	x		FITZPATRICK Tom		x		THOMAS Alison
	x		FOULGER Colin	x			THOMAS David
	x		GARROD Tom	x			TIMEWELL John
x			GIHAWI Deborah		x		VIRGO Judith
ABSENT			GILMOUR Paul	x			WALKER Colleen
ABSENT			GREY Alan		x		WARD John
ABSENT			GUNSON Adrian	x			WATKINS Brian
	x		GURNEY Shelagh	x			WHITAKER Sue
ABSENT			HACON Pat		x		WHITE Tony
	x		HANNAH Brian		x		WILBY Martin
x			HARRISON David	x			WILKINSON Margaret
	x		HEBBORN Stan				
	x		HUMPHREY Harry				
	x		ILES Brian				
x			JERMY Terry				
	x		JORDAN Cliff				
x			JOYCE James				

With 24 votes in favour, 50 votes against and 1 abstention the motion was LOST.