

Norfolk County Council Minutes of the Meeting Held at 10 am on Tuesday 18 July 2023

Present:		
	ADAMS Tony	KIDDIE Keith
	ANNISON Carl	KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark
	AQUARONE Steffan	LONG Brian
	ASKEW Stephen	MASON BILLIG Kay
	BAMBRIDGE Lesley	MAXFIELD Ed
	BENSLY James	MORPHEW Steve
	BILLS David	NEALE Paul
	BIRMINGHAM Alison	NUNN William
	BLUNDELL Sharon	OSBORN Jamie
	BORRETT Bill	PECK Greg
	BROCIEK-COULTON Julie	PENFOLD Saul
	CARPENTER Graham	PLANT Graham
	CARPENTER Penny	PRICE Ben
	CLANCY Stuart	RICHMOND Will
	COLWELL Robert	RILEY Steve
	CORLETT Emma	ROPER Dan
	DALBY Michael	ROWETT Catherine
	DARK Stuart	RUMSBY Chrissie
	DEWSBURY Margaret	SANDS Mike
	DIXON Nigel	SAVAGE Robert
	DUIGAN Phillip	SHIRES Lucy
	EAGLE Fabian	SMITH Carl
	ELMER Daniel	SMITH-CLARE Mike
	FITZPATRICK Tom	STONE Barry
	GURNEY Shelagh	STOREY Martin
	HEMPSALL Lana	THOMSON Vic
	HORSBRUGH Michael Chenery of	WARD John
	JAMES Jane	WATKINS Brian
	JERMY Terry	WEBB Maxine
	JONES, Brenda	WHITE Tony
	KEMP Alexandra	WHYMARK Fran

Present: 62

1A Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Tim Adams, Cllr Claire Bowes, Cllr Ed Connolly, Cllr Chris Dawson, Cllr John Fisher, Cllr Andy Grant, Cllr Andrew Jamieson, Cllr Julian Kirk, Cllr Ian Mackie, Cllr Jim Moriarty, Cllr Judy Oliver, Cllr Rhodri Oliver, Cllr Richard Price, Cllr Andrew Proctor, Cllr Mathew Reilly, Cllr David Sayers, Cllr Alison Thomas, Cllr Eric Vardy, Cllr Karen Vincent, Cllr Colleen Walker and Cllr Martin Wilby.

1B Chair's Remarks—Cllr Nick Daubney

The Chair took this opportunity to mark the sad passing of County Councillor Nick Daubney who had sadly passed away following a long illness. Cllr Daubney was a very well respected and hard-working Member of the Council, actively representing Freebridge Lynn Division in West Norfolk since his election in May 2021. Cllr Daubney served as a Member of the Corporate Select Committee, the Joint Consultative & Negotiating Committee and was Member Champion for Sustainable Tourism.

Members of the Council held a minute's silence in his memory.

The Chair reminded Councillors that meetings of the Council would not normally extend beyond 3 hours unless this was extended in accordance with rule 11. 1 (n) of the Council Procedure Rules, however it was his intention to enact rule 4 (v) and rule 4 (vi) of the constitution once the meeting period had elapsed if any business remained. The practical application of this would be that the meeting continued on a vote only basis.

The Chair also said that he intended to adjourn the meeting after about 2 hours for a short comfort break.

2. Minutes

- 2.1 The minutes of the Council meeting held on 9 May 2023 were confirmed as an accurate record of the meeting.
- 2.2 In confirming the minutes, it was noted that Cllr Kemp awaited a response to a question she had asked about the nature recovery area for Harding's Pits in South Lynn.

3 To receive any announcements from the Chair, Leader or Chief Executive

- 3.1 The Chair welcomed Cllr Catherine Rowett to her first meeting of the County Council following her election as the new County Councillor for West Depwade Division at the bi-election held on Thursday 13 July 2023.
- 3.2 The Chair said that every year the new Chair had a theme for their year in office and this year's would be the vital role technology could play for generations to come, with a particular emphasis on promoting an awareness of the potential of degree level apprenticeships for 14- to 19-year-old students. The Chair had explained this theme in some detail at the Chair's summer reception which Members of the County

Council attended at the end of the previous week.

- 3.3 The Chief Executive said that Debbie Bartlett had taken on a new role of Interim Executive Director of Adult Social Services and Grahame Bygrave had been appointed to the position of Interim Director of Community and Environmental Services.
- The Leader said that she had recently attended a function at the House of Committee where the future production of hydrogen gas at the Bacton Gas Terminal was discussed. This event was attended by representatives of North Norfolk District Council and of the oil and gas Industry and had examined how Norfolk should look to become a central European Hub for the production of green hydrogen.

4 Declarations of Interest

- 4.1 Cllr Michael Chenery declared an other interest related to the Norfolk Records Committee report as he was a member of the Norfolk Record Office.
- 4.2 Cllr John Ward declared an other interest related to the museums report as he was the Chairman and director of the Norfolk Museums Development Foundation

5 Petitions presented to Council

5.1 There were no items of petition for Council to consider within the timeframe for this meeting.

6. Business (if any) remaining from the last Council meeting

6.1 No business was outstanding since the meeting of the 9th May 2023.

7. Questions to the Leader

7.1 Question from Cllr Emma Corlett

Cllr Emma Corlett asked if the Leader agreed that there was no place in Norfolk for racism, homophobia and transphobia, and would she be attending Norwich Pride this month on behalf of the Council.

In reply, the Leader said that she agreed with this comment and that she would be attending Norwich Pride on behalf of the Council.

7.2 Question from Cllr Dan Roper

Cllr Dan Roper said that the Council was facing significant financial challenges and had recently announced a limit to its borrowing in forthcoming years which could impact the delivery of key capital projects. This came at a time when Local Authorities nationwide were facing a £3bn budget gap to keep up with current levels of service with high rates of inflation. Did the Leader have any red lines that she would not cross in terms of making cuts to services?

In reply, the Leader said that the Council was always looking for ways in which it could do things more efficiently because there was always room for improvement. The new Chief Executive was looking at areas of work where the Council could make efficiency savings and the Administration was doing its upmost to see that services were maintained.

7.3 Question from Cllr Catherine Roweltt

Cllr Catherine Rowett said that while some Conservative Councillors were enthusiastic about what a County Deal might mean for the development of Norfolk, Norfolk residents were more concerned about the lack of access to dentists, GPs and local schools. A County Deal for Norfolk did not address these issues and might make matters worse by promising new development without the necessary infrastructure and services that needed to go with it. Could the Leader explain what she and the Conservatives were doing to bring Norfolk up to speed on these services?

In reply, the Leader said that the lack of dentists and GPs was not particular to Norfolk; this national issue was outside the scope of a County Deal because these practices were independent of the Council. The Leader pointed out that plans were being made for a new surgery to be built in south Norfolk and for the site of the old Palmers Store in Great Yarmouth town centre to be redeveloped as a community hub which could include a dentistry school that helped attract new dentists to the county.

7.4 Question from Cllr Ed Maxfield

Cllr Ed Maxfield asked if the Leader would commit to reviewing the procedure whereby Councillors were appointed to Committees to make better use of Councillors talents or make the rules on appointments more transparent.

The Leader replied that the procedure on appointments to Committees was already transparent and she was not prepared to review it.

7.5 Question from Cllr Carl Smith

Cllr Carl Smith asked if the Leader could report back on the recent Local Government Conference held in Bournemouth.

The Leader replied that she had attended the conference with the Labour and Liberal Democrat Group Leaders. The event was a good opportunity to meet with Leaders from other Local Authorities. The conference had heard from Michael Gove MP on the issue of County Deals and had also provided an opportunity to ask questions of other speakers about hydrogen production and about the planting of trees.

7.6 Question from Cllr Alexandra Kemp

Cllr Alexandra Kemp said that in 1990 West Winch was promised a bypass that was never built to support 4,000 new houses in the area and the plans for this road were now in the Norfolk Record Office. Cllr Kemp asked if as an infrastructure first Council, the Leader would commit to writing to the Department of Transport to

seek support for the bid that the County Council had put together for funding this very much needed bypass to take the traffic out of West Winch?

The Leader replied that the West Winch bypass was now back on track and that the building of new homes in the area was the subject of discussions with the Borough Council. The bypass would provide a link road between the A10 and the A47and take pressure off West Winch; the County Council would continue to work with the Borough Council regarding the building of the new road.

7.7 Question from Cllr Terry Jermy

Cllr Terry Jermy said that it was recently revealed that a Norfolk MP topped the league table for having the highest rate of pay of an MP from a second job and another Norfolk MP had also appeared on that list. Did the Leader not feel that the interests of Norfolk residents were best served by Norfolk MPs concentrating their work on their main job rather than their second, third or fourth jobs?

The Leader replied that she did not have an issue with MPs having a second job where they were doing a good job for their constituents. The Leader added that she had some sympathy for MPs who wanted to supplement their income from being an MP but she could not comment about individual MPs.

7.8 Question from Cllr Brian Watkins

Cllr Brian Watkins said that Michael Gove MP had recently announced plans to transform Cambridge into Europe's "Silicon Valley." The vision was for a centre of innovation, growth and an ambitious housebuilding scheme. If successful, Norfolk could benefit from the potential influx of talent and start-ups that could relocate to the area in search of property and housing. How did the Leader intend to harness the benefits of this unique opportunity for Norfolk?

The Leader replied that she considered this to be an important Government announcement and that she expected Norfolk to see some significant benefits as it looked forward to having a Norwich to Cambridge technology corridor. The Leader added that she had discussed this issue with the Leader of Cambridgeshire County Council and would not lose sight of opportunities to pursue the matter.

7.9 Question from Cllr Jamie Osborne

Cllr Jamie Osborn said that the Government's official climate advisers said last month that all new road building should be halted because more roads meant more carbon which included the Norwich Western Link. Did the Leader know more than the Government's official climate advisers on this matter?

The Leader replied that the County Council had undertaken its own investigation into how the Norwich Western Link would impact on the carbon footprint of the area. The new road would take "rat running" out of small villages to the west of Norwich and reduce the carbon going into the atmosphere around those villages.

7.10 Question from CIIr James Bensly

Cllr James Bensly asked if the Leader would join him in welcoming the reinstatement

of the important position of Chief Executive in delivering services for Norfolk residents.

The Leader replied that the reinstatement of the post of Chief Executive was one of her first tasks on becoming Leader; Tom McCabe would be able to take the lead on making improvements in the way in which departments communicated with each other and this appointment was a welcome step forward.

7.11 Question from Cllr Mike Sands

Cllr Mike Sands said that currently an anti- boycotting bill was making its way through parliament which could prevent Local Authorities and other bodies, even pension funds, from taking a position on issues that arose. Given that in the 1980's similar legislation would have prevented Local authorities from taking a view against apartheid in South Africa, did the Leader agree that this current legislation was misguided and unnecessary?

The Leader replied that this kind of legislation was necessary because of the disruption that was currently taking place in society at public events and on public roads as people went about their lawful business and that new legislation would not affect those who were protesting responsibly.

8 Recommendations from Cabinet

8.1 The Leader moved the recommendations from the Cabinet meetings held on 5 June 2023 and 3 July 2023 about the Finance Monitoring Report 2022-23 Outturn and the Finance monitoring report 2023-24 P2: May 2023.

8.2 Council **RESOLVED** on a show of hands

- 1. That the General Balances at 31 March 2023 be increased to £24.410m after a transfer of £0.570m from a contribution to General Balances and underspends in Finance General.
- 2. To agree the addition of £26.895m to the capital programme for the following new scheme as set out in Capital Appendix 3, paragraph 4.2-4.3 of the report as follows:
 - Approval of £26.895m King's Lynn Sustainable Transport and Regeneration Scheme (STARS) supported by £24.7m external funding and £2.025m NCC Borrowing as set out in Appendix 3 note 4.3
 - And, to note the inclusion of the £16.7m Corporate Property Retrofitting Plan approved at the 5th June 2023 Cabinet meeting, subject to Council approval.

9. Cabinet Report (Questions to Cabinet Members)

9.1.1 The Leader moved the report of the Cabinet meetings held on 3 April 2023, 10 May 2023, 5 June 2023 and 3 July 2023.

9.1.2 Council **RESOLVED** on a show of hands

To **AGREE** the report.

9.2 Question from CIIr Terry Jermy to the Leader in the absence of the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

Cllr Terry Jermy said that residents in Norfolk had recently received some welcome news when they heard that the Government would be forcing Councils such as Norfolk to end charging for the disposal of DIY waste. Norfolk was always in the minority in having these charges and the County Labour Group and others had campaigned for some time for such charges to be dropped. With the Government's decision in mind, could the Leader confirm when charges for the disposal of DIY waste in Norfolk would end?

The Leader replied that a written answer to this question would be provided.

9.3 Question from CIIr Steffan Aquarone to the Deputy Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care

Cllr Steffan Aquarone said that recent PAMMS/CQC ratings showed that 65'9% of care settings in Norfolk were currently rated "Good" or Outstanding". This was woefully below the target figure of 85% the Council had set itself. How confident was the Deputy Cabinet Member for Adult Social Services that this target was attainable with challenges that the service faced, and did the MP for North Norfolk calling for a ban on visas for care workers help of hinder the Council's recruitment plans?

The Deputy Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care replied in the absence of the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care (who had given apologies for the meeting) that the Council took the latest statistics and inspection reports on adult social care very seriously and that the Council's own inspection teams were working hard to improve the situation. The Deputy Cabinet Member added that she was unable to comment on visas for care workers but would respond on this matter in writing at a later date.

9.4 Question from CIIr Ben Price to the Cabinet Member for Communities and Partnerships

Cllr Ben Price said that as the local County Councillor for Wensum Lodge he had spoken with students at Wensum Lodge who were undertaking a range of adult education courses including print making, pottery and sculpture. All of the students he had spoken with were seriously concerned that if Wensum Lodge were to close that there would be no adequate provision for their specialist courses to continue, and no realistic solution proposed by this Council; it was not possible to hold a pottery class in the middle of a library. With the petition from the Green Party now standing at more than 5,000 signatures and a debate scheduled to take place when Council next met would the Cabinet Member take the necessary steps now to review the Cabinet's decision to withdraw adult education courses from Wensum Lodge?

The Cabinet Member for Communities and Partnerships replied that 24% of those attending courses at Wensum Lodge travelled more than 10 miles and another 25% travelled between 3 and 10 miles. Steps were being taken to make these types of courses more accessible by moving them out of the city centre so that people did not have to spend as much time and money on travel. It was not possible to announce the new venues for these courses until a final decision was

reached on the future of Wensum Lodge.

9.5 Question from Cllr Carl Annison to the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

Cllr Carl Annison said that this Council was ambitious in wanting to deliver much needed transport improvement schemes for all Norfolk residents; would the Cabinet Member join him in welcoming the outcome of the recent judicial review of three road schemes that enabled them to be delivered for Norfolk?

The Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport replied that he welcomed the recent judicial review decision which would enable vital road improvements to be made. The three transport projects in question allowed for a huge investment in the county's road transport network enabling Norfolk to tackle current problems with tragic congestion and delays and ensure that Norfolk could put in place the infrastructure to cope with population and business growth.

9.6 Question from Cllr Alexandra Kemp to the Cabinet Member for Communities and Partnerships

Cllr Alexandra Kemp said that the Carnegie Library in Kings Lynn had recently announced an important birthday, but this fact was not mentioned in a recent Council press release about birthdays of notable libraries. The Carnegie Library was situated in an historic part of the Town in a public building that was much loved by the people of King's Lynn; would the Cabinet Member review the decision to move the King's Lynn library into a concrete building elsewhere at a cost to the county of £5m.

The Cabinet Member for Communities and Partnerships replied that it was planned to move the King's Lynn library to a more accessible site in the centre of the town.

9.7 Question from Cllr Mike Smith Claire to the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

Cllr Mike Smith Claire said that everyone in Great Yarmouth was extremely proud of the third river crossing; could the Cabinet Member confirm the opening date of this much needed asset?

The Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport replied that the new river crossing should be opened in September 2023. The completion of the bridge was held up by finding a vole (a protected species) which had to be relocated to a new home.

9.8 Question from Cllr Rob Colwell to the Cabinet Member for Economic Growth

Cllr Rob Colwell asked if the Council agreed with George Eustice MP that it was time to open up negotiations with the EU immediately, to offer Europeans aged under 35 the right to two-year visas to work in this country in order to counter post-Brexit labour shortages especially in the care sector.

The Cabinet Member for Economic Growth said that while he could not speak for George Eustice MP, the cultural diversity of Norfolk was increasing and there was

plenty of social care work in the UK for anyone who wanted to do it.

9.9 Question from Cllr Ben Price to the Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance

Cllr Ben Price said that he hoped that the Leader would extend future invitations to the LGA Conference to the Leader of the Green Group, however, because there was some concern about "green washing "in the future production of hydrogen at the Bacon Gas Terminal would the Leader agree that there should be a ban on the production of blue hydrogen (made from fossil fuels) and that only green hydrogen (made from renewable sources) was suitable to meet climate commitments.

The Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance replied that to get a new plant at Bacton Gas Terminal up and running it would be necessary to include the production of blue hydrogen, otherwise it would not be possible to get the required high level of investment at the start of the project. The Leader added that it would be necessary to look at all forms of energy production including nuclear power to meet future energy needs. Norfolk had an opportunity to become a hub for hydrogen production and should look at building links with Hethel Engineering who were also looking at the issue. It might be possible to use hydrogen in agriculture and commercial use.

9.10 Question from Cllr Lesley Bambridge to the Cabinet Member for Children's Services

Cllr Lesley Bambridge said that the portage service was an important part of SEND services to young people; would the Cabinet Member join her in welcoming the 98% of parents who had recently said that they would recommend the service to others and to thank the team concerned for all their hard work.

The Cabinet Member for Children's Services replied that she was proud of the fact that people had written to the Council in support of the portage service which was very popular in Norfolk and celebrating its 40th birthday this year, it currently supported 318 children and families and had helped some 7,000 children and families since the early 1990s. The success of this service was largely due to the excellent staff who had shown that they were passionate about supported families with young children.

9.11 Question from Cllr Emma Corlett to the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care (whom had given apologies for the meeting and would respond in writing)

Cllr Emma Corlett asked what action the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care (who had given apologies for the meeting) had taken since the shocking news emerged that the mental health trust had lost count of deaths, how did this Council audit data to ensure that all mental health related deaths of people in contact with us and the wider mental health system were recorded, investigated and learned from and would the Cabinet Member agree to meet with me to discuss this further?

It was noted that an answer in writing would be given to this question after the meeting.

9.12 Question from Cllr Saul Penfold to the Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance

Cllr Saul Penfold said that consultants were fast becoming the "go to tool" of this Administration. Recently, it was discovered that consultants were paid £6.5m to assist in transforming the Council's Adult Social Care despite only achieving half of the desired savings. What should the council expect the consultants bill to be over the next financial year?

The Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance replied that consultants had identified over £18m of savings and consultants' fees were tied to consultants achieving the required level of savings. A fuller answer would be provided in writing after the meeting by the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance (who had given his apologies for the meeting).

9.13 Question from Cllr Jamie Osborn to the Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation

Cllr Jamie Osborn said that the Government's latest climate change Adaptation Plan was published today. This was a plan to prepare everyone for the worst of the effects of climate change including lethal heat waves, flooding, fires and disruptions to food supplies. Because many buildings were not fit for heat waves, when the Council implemented its £80m retrofitting programme would this take account of the need to cool buildings as well as to save energy?

The Cabinet Member for Corporate Services and Innovation said that as the Adaption Plan was only published today it was too early to provide a response, however, it was important for the Council to manage its buildings effectively and for the Council's commitment to retrofitting to take account of climate change.

9.14 Question from CIIr Brian Long to the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

Cllr Brian Long asked if the Cabinet Member agreed that the closure of railway ticket offices was detrimental to the interests of those living in Norfolk, especially for those with disabilities that made it difficult for them to use ticket machines and, as there was insufficient time for a meeting of the Norfolk Rail Group to put forward a formal response would the Leader explain the County Council's response?

The Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport replied that the Council had made its response on this issue; while the Council had welcomed the introduction of new technology, the Council was unhappy with the way in which the consultation had taken place and particularly the lack of detailed information about the impact the closure of ticket offices would have on the lives of all Norfolk residents. The Council had made it clear that any technological changes must not be at the expense of those who for one reason or another could not use such technology and for whom alternative solutions had to be found.

9.15 Question from Cllr Steve Morphew to the Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance

Cllr Steve Morphew said that in a recent Eastern Daily Press article a Council

Spokesperson was quoted as having said that a large proportion of the Council's contribution for the Norwich Western Link was borrowed in previous years at a rate of 1.75% to be paid back over 50 years at a cost of approximately £670,000 a year, This was incorrect because the Council did not normally borrow money for specific schemes and it was not possible to attribute the Council's borrowing specifically to the Norwich Western Link. Cllr Morphew asked the Leader to correct the record and make sure that the public were not mislead on the issue.

The Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance replied that the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Finance would be better placed to provide a written reply to this question after the meeting. The Leader added that the money which had been spent on progressing the scheme to where it was now was based on borrowing at these historically low rates.

9,16 Question from Cllr Brian Watkins to the Cabinet Member for Public Health and Wellbeing

Cllr Brian Watkins said that the Cabinet Member would be aware that the current chair of the Norfolk Integrated Care Board, Patricia Hewitt, had recently published an independent review in which one of the recommendations was to call on Government to increase the proportion of spending on prevention activities by 1%. Without resources specifically targeted on prevention it would be much harder to address the growing burden of ill health and long-term medical conditions across the NHS and social care sector. What was the Cabinet Member's response to the Government's rejection of this particular recommendation?

The Cabinet Member for Public Health and Wellbeing replied that he was pleased to hear that through the hard work of Patricia Hewitt Norfolk's voice was included in the independent review. The strategy of the Norfolk Health and Wellbeing Board had for many years been one of prevention. It would be wrong to say that the Government had entirely dismissed the outcome of the review which would be part of the Boards' discussions with the NHS going forward, and the involvement of local government in future reform of the NHS was to be welcomed. An aging population meant that the NHS would be unaffordable without the prevention agenda.

9.17 Question from CIIr Jamie Osborn to the Leader and Cabinet Member for Strategy and Governance

Cllr Jamie Osborn said that he was pleased to see that the Council's climate change strategy now included asking officers to take forward a target for reducing Scope 3 and countywide emissions. Cllr Osborn asked what the Council's timescale would be for developing the funding for the blueprint given the urgency of the climate crisis.

The Leader said that the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste, who had given his apologies for this meeting, would provide a written answer to this question.

10. Recommendations from the Scrutiny Committee and Norfolk Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee

10.1	There were no recommendations from the Scrutiny Committee and the Norfolk Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
11.	Recommendations from Committees
11.1	There were no recommendations from Committees.
12.	Scrutiny Committee meetings held on 20 April 2023, 18 May 2023 and 21 June 2023
12.1	Cllr Steve Morphew, Chair, moved the report. Council RESOLVED to note the report.
13.	Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee meetings held on 23 March 2023, 1 June 2023 and 6 July 2023
13.1	Cllr Fran Whymark, Chair, moved the report. Council RESOLVED to note the report.
14.	Reports from other Committees
14.1	Audit and Governance Committee
14.1.1	There had not been an Audit and Governance Committee held since the last County Council meeting.
14.2	Planning Regulatory Committee held on 30 June 2023
14.2.1	Council RESOLVED to note the report.
14.3	Pensions Committee held on 21 March 2023 and 13 June 2023
14.3.1	Council RESOLVED to note the report.
14.4	Employment Committee held on 31 May 2023 and on 12 July 2023
14.4.1	Council RESOLVED to note the reports (with the main and supplementary agendas)
14.5	Health and Wellbeing Board held on 21 June 2023
14.5.1	Council RESOLVED to note the report.
15.	Reports from Select Committees
15.1	Corporate Select Committee
15.1.1	There had not been a meeting of the Corporate Select Committee since the last County Council meeting.
15.2	Infrastructure and Development Select Committee held on 17 May 2023
15.2.1	Council RESOLVED to note the report.

- 15.3 People and Communities Select Committee held on 19 May 2023
- 15.3.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.
- 16. Reports about the business of joint arrangements and external organisations
- 16.1 Norfolk Joint Museums Committee held on 14 April 2023
- 16.1.2 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report
- 16.2 Norfolk Records Committee held on 14 April 2023.
- 16.2.1 Council **RESOLVED** to note the report.
- 17 Specific Business Items
- 17.1 Report from the Independent Renumeration Panel Review of Members' Allowances Scheme
- 17.1.1 Cllr Kay Mason Billig, seconded by Cllr Bill Borrett, moved the report on the understanding that recommendations 2 and 5 (contained in paragraph 4 of report) would be voted on separately.
- 17.1.2 The Chair placed on record Council's thanks to all those who had given their time to contribute to the work of the Independent Review Panel which was greatly welcomed by all Councillors.
- 17.1.3 Cllr Dan Roper said while he applauded the work of the Independent Review Panel, he wanted Council to take a separate vote on recommendation 2 because it could appear to the outside world that Councillors were awarding themselves both an increase in allowances and a second increase in line with the staff award.
- 17.1.4 The Leader said by way of clarification that the proposed increase in Councillors allowances (at recommendation 2) was to take account of a broadband allowance for Councillors mobile phones.
- 17.1.5 Following debate, recommendation 2 was put to a non-recorded electronic vote and (with 45 votes in favour 18 votes against and 1 abstention) this recommendation was **AGREED.**
- 17.1.6 The Council then considered recommendation 5. The Leader said that she supported the retention of the SRA for the Chair of Norfolk Records Committee and Chair of Norfolk Joint Museums Committee, although she would ask the Independent Review Panel to review the job descriptions for these positions.
- 17.1.7 Following debate, the Council then took a non-recorded vote on recommendation 5 (that the SRA for the Chair of Norfolk Records Committee and Chair of Norfolk Joint Museums Committee be removed from the Members' Allowances Scheme as from 1 May 2024) which with 14 votes in favour 42 votes against and 1 Abstention was **LOST**.

- 17.1.8 The remaining recommendations in paragraph 4 of the report were then put to a non-recorded vote and (with 56 votes in favour) Council **RESOLVED** as follows:
 - 1. That the basic allowance for County Councillors be set at £12,010 per annum as from 1st August 2023.
 - 2. That any increase in allowances for 2023/24 should be taken in line with the same percentage agreed for employees or in the event of employees being awarded a lump sum, the same formula (median pay of grades included) be used and applied as in December 2022.
 - 3. That the Panel review the indexing arrangements for allowances on an annual basis.
 - 4. That the SRA for members of the Norfolk Adoption Panel and Foster Panel be set at 15% of the Leader's SRA in the Members' Allowances Scheme as from 1st August 2023.
 - 5. That there is no change to SRAs for group leaders in the Members' Allowances Scheme.
 - 6. That the SRA for the role of Leader of the Council should be set at three times the rate of the basic allowance for Councillors as from 1st August 2023.
 - 7. That the travel allowances made payable to Councillors continue to be linked to any allowance for County Council employees.
 - 8. That the subsistence allowances made payable to Councillors continue to be linked to any allowance for County Council employees.
 - 9. That the provision of a broadband allowance for Councillors be removed from the scheme as from 1st August 2023.
 - 10. That the County Council retains the provision within the scheme for a carers' allowance and that this remains payable at the hourly rate of the National Living Wage plus 20%.
 - 11. That the carers' allowance for Councillors be set at an upper limit per Councillor, per annum, (currently £3,297) using the formula outlined in the report as from 1st August 2023.
 - 12. That the County Council considers a review mechanism within the scheme to help flexibility for those Councillors with dependants with more complex needs.
 - 13. That Parent Governor representatives be entitled to remuneration of £70 per Scrutiny Committee meeting, plus travel expenses, in accordance with the Council's travel allowances scheme as from 1st August 2023.
 - 14. That there be no change to the co-optees' allowance of £1,082 per annum in the Members' Allowances Scheme payable to the Independent Members of the Norfolk Police and Crime Panel. From 1st May 2024 the co-optees allowance should be set at 3% of the Leader's allowance.
- 17.2. Request for Dispensation under Section 85(1) of the Local Government Act 1972
- 17.2.2 Cllr Kay Mason Billig moved, duly seconded, the recommendation in the report.

17.2.3 Council **RESOLVED**

That the reason provided for non-attendance at Council meetings by Cllr Andrew Proctor is approved pursuant to Section 85(1) of the Local Government Act 1972, on the basis that the resolution is applied to take effect on 6th September 2023 and Council approves an extension of the time limit until 29th February 2024.

17.3 Proportional Allocation of Places on Committees

17.3.1 The Leader moved, duly seconded, the recommendation contained in the report.

17.3.2 Council **RESOLVED**

To approve the revised allocation of committee places and note that the Conservative Group would give up one place on the Infrastructure and Development Select Committee to the Green Group.

Council took a break at this point in the proceedings of 30 minutes.

18 Notice of Motions

18.1 Motion 1-National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project

18.1.1 The following motion was proposed by Cllr Keith Kiddie and seconded by Cllr Daniel Elmer

National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project proposes to reinforce the electricity transmission network between Norwich Main substation and Tilbury substation in Essex. This will comprise the construction of 183 km of 50m tall pylons carrying 400kV cables through South Norfolk (as well as through our neighbours, Suffolk and Essex). The proposed reinforcement is needed according to National Grid to increase capacity into the existing network to cater for additional electricity which will be going into the network principally from the offshore wind energy sector.

This Council has already expressed its ambition to be net zero by 2030 and the plans for renewable wind farms off the East Anglian coast have generally been welcomed. The Council recognises in its Climate Strategy that there are pressures for new onshore transmission infrastructure associated with the offshore wind energy sector making landfall and grid connection in Norfolk. However, this Council has serious concerns, about the nature and short period of the current second round non-statutory consultation (27 June – 21 August 2023) and in particular the preferred draft alignment showing the positions of the overhead lines and associated pylons.

This Council feels strongly, in line with its Climate Strategy, that:

 An Offshore transmission network solution needs further investigation by both National Grid and the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero; and;

- Where onshore solutions are taken forward:
 - (1) every opportunity is taken for burying new transmission lines to reduce visual impact across the County; and
 - (2) National Grid and UK Power Networks need to work together to deliver clean energy to local residents and businesses to enable sustainable growth.

This Council therefore calls upon:

 Both the Department of Energy Security and Net Zero and National Grid to refocus the Norwich to Tilbury Proposals on an offshore solution and engage in discussions with Norfolk and its neighbouring County Councils to achieve this.

National Grid to:

- 1. Consider fully the detailed County Council comments which will be sent in response to the current second round consultation pending the decision of the Planning and Highways Delegations Committee on 8 September 2023.
- 2. Make publicly available full, open and transparent information on all options, including offshore and undergrounding, to enable evaluation and comparisons to be made by Norfolk residents, businesses, Councils and other stakeholders. This information should be publicly available for a period of at least 6 months before National Grid begin the formal planning stages in the Development Control Order (DCO) application process.
- 18.1.2 During debate, Cllr Catherine Rowett moved an amendment seconded by Cllr Ben Price (which was not accepted by the mover of the motion) which read as follows:

National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project proposes to reinforce the electricity transmission network between Norwich Main substation and Tilbury substation in Essex. This will comprise the construction of 183 km of 50m tall pylons carrying 400kV cables through South Norfolk (as well as through our neighbours, Suffolk and Essex). The proposed reinforcement is needed according to National Grid to increase capacity into the existing network to cater for additional electricity which will be going into the network principally from the offshore wind energy sector.

This Council has already expressed its ambition to be net zero by 2030 and the plans for renewable wind farms off the East Anglian coast have generally been welcomed. The Council recognises in its Climate Strategy that there are pressures for new onshore transmission infrastructure associated with the offshore wind energy sector making landfall and grid connection in Norfolk. However, this Council has serious concerns, about the nature and

short period of the current second round non-statutory consultation (27 June – 21 August 2023) and in particular the preferred draft alignment showing the positions of the overhead lines and associated pylons.

This Council feels strongly, in line with its Climate Strategy, that:

- An Offshore transmission network solution needs further investigation by both National Grid and the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero; and;
- Where onshore solutions are taken forward:
 - (1) every opportunity is taken for burying new transmission lines to reduce visual impact across the County; and
 - (2) National Grid and UK Power Networks need to work together to deliver clean energy to local residents and businesses to enable sustainable growth.
- Long distance transmission of power that is generated offshore for use in other regions should standardly be carried in undersea cables, not overland.
- A priority should be placed on reducing demand for energy, to minimise the repeated demand for new infrastructure and installations to meet ever rising demand.
- Major investment should also be focused on increasing local community onshore renewable energy schemes, including off grid schemes that support local needs within the region where they are located.
- Infrastructure that is essential for national security should be fit for purpose, with investment that anticipates future capacity requirements, without cost-cutting that is costly to the natural environment.

This Council therefore calls upon:

- Both the Department of Energy Security and Net Zero and National
 Grid to refocus the Norwich to Tilbury Proposals on an offshore solution and engage in discussions with Norfolk and its neighbouring County Councils to achieve this.
- The Dept of Energy Security and Net Zero to engage in serious consultation with County Councils to secure adequate planning for reductions in demand and local onshore renewable schemes to ensure that regions become more self-sufficient and to reduce pressure on the national grid.
- Both the Dept of Energy Security & Net Zero and National Grid to withdraw the plans for the onshore delivery route, and replace it with an offshore solution, for power destined for Tilbury.

National Grid to:

- Consider fully the detailed County Council comments which will be sent in response to the current second round consultation pending the decision of the Planning and Highways Delegations Committee on 8 September 2023.
- 2. Make publicly available full, open and transparent information on all options, including offshore and undergrounding, to enable evaluation and comparisons to be made by Norfolk residents, businesses, Councils and other stakeholders. This information should be publicly available for a period of at least 6 months before National Grid begin the formal planning stages in the Development Control Order (DCO) application process.
- 18.1.3 Following debate, the amendment was put to a recorded vote (Appendix A) and with 24 votes in favour 36 votes against and O abstentions this was **LOST.**
- 18.1.4 Council then returned to the original motion and following debate Cllr Daniel Elmer, in seconding the motion, said that he wished to place on record that residents in his division were extremely disquiet about the way in which National Grid were willing to bury electricity cables in the ground in natural beauty spots elsewhere in the country but not in natural beauty spots in south Norfolk.
- 18.1.5 On being put to a recorded vote (Appendix B) there were 60 votes in favour of the motion, 0 votes against and 0 abstentions whereupon Council

RESOLVED

National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project proposes to reinforce the electricity transmission network between Norwich Main substation and Tilbury substation in Essex. This will comprise the construction of 183 km of 50m tall pylons carrying 400kV cables through South Norfolk (as well as through our neighbours, Suffolk and Essex). The proposed reinforcement is needed according to National Grid to increase capacity into the existing network to cater for additional electricity which will be going into the network principally from the offshore wind energy sector.

This Council has already expressed its ambition to be net zero by 2030 and the plans for renewable wind farms off the East Anglian coast have generally been welcomed. The Council recognises in its Climate Strategy that there are pressures for new onshore transmission infrastructure associated with the offshore wind energy sector making landfall and grid connection in Norfolk. However, this Council has serious concerns, about the nature and short period of the current second round non-statutory consultation (27 June – 21 August 2023) and in particular the preferred draft alignment showing the positions of the overhead lines and associated pylons.

This Council feels strongly, in line with its Climate Strategy, that:

- An Offshore transmission network solution needs further investigation by both National Grid and the Department for Energy Security and Net Zero; and;
- Where onshore solutions are taken forward:
- (1) every opportunity is taken for burying new transmission lines to reduce visual impact across the County: and
- (2) National Grid and UK Power Networks need to work together to deliver clean energy to local residents and businesses to enable sustainable growth.

This Council therefore calls upon:

- Both the Department of Energy Security and Net Zero and National Grid to refocus the Norwich to Tilbury Proposals on an offshore solution and engage in discussions with Norfolk and its neighbouring County Councils to achieve this.
- National Grid to:
 - 1. Consider fully the detailed County Council comments which will be sent in response to the current second round consultation pending the decision of the Planning and Highways Delegations Committee on 8 September 2023.
 - 2. Make publicly available full, open and transparent information on all options, including offshore and undergrounding, to enable evaluation and comparisons to be made by Norfolk residents, businesses, Councils and other stakeholders. This information should be publicly available for a period of at least 6 months before National Grid begin the formal planning stages in the Development Control Order (DCO) application process

18.2 Motion 2- A Local Voice on Road Schemes

18.2.1 The following motion was proposed by Cllr Terry Jermy and seconded by Cllr Steve Morphew:

Council believes devolving greater influence over decisions made by the County Council as the Highways Authority on local traffic schemes and road maintenance to joint committees made up of district and County Councillors would

 Help with democratic renewal and rebuilding trust in politicians by bringing decision making on local traffic schemes and road maintenance priorities closer to those directly affected by them.

- 2. Enable better alignment with local and neighbourhood plans and integrate in other initiatives for the benefit of community.
- 3. Improve targeting of scarce County resources to local priorities rather than what the County Council think people want.
- 4. Improve consultation exercises and encourage the use of wider and different ways of consulting that involve local people, business, town and parish Councils, and community interest groups to make them more responsive and effective.
- 5. Better inform the County Council's strategic transport decisions and enable the County Council to focus on strategic priorities better informed by local priorities.
- 6. Reduce misplaced spending, improve the evidence base for bids to government funding pots and reduce resources spent on managing controversy that could be avoided through better engagement with the public and stakeholders.

Council therefore resolves to request the Executive Director of Community and Environmental Services (CES) to bring to Cabinet in September 2023 a report with options for governance and budgeting arrangements that would establish joint bodies with district Councils that best achieve the benefits listed including the potential for joint arrangements under the 1972 Local Government Act.

Council further requests the Cabinet Member for Highways and Executive Director to initiate discussions with district Councils and others in Norfolk to assess their willingness to become involved in such joint arrangements.

Council requests Cabinet and the Executive Director of CES to progress discussions with a view to including provision for new joint arrangements from April 2024 and including any budgetary implications in the 2024/5 budget proposals to Council in February 2024.

18.2.2 Three Hour Meeting Time Elapses

During debate of the motion, the Chair pointed out that the 3 hours for the meeting had elapsed and Council had not agreed to extend the meeting.

- 18.2.3 The motion which was on the table was put to a recorded vote (Appendix C) and with 23 votes in favour 35 votes against and 0 abstentions this was **LOST**.
- As a result of the three-hour meeting having elapsed, Council agreed to move to the part of the meeting where all remaining business be they motions or amendments to motions would be considered moved and seconded in line with procedure rule 4 (iv).
- 18.2.5 The Chair said that he would deal with each motion in turn. Initially he would ask the proposer of the motion if they wanted the motion to go ahead or be withdrawn. If the motion was withdrawn Council would continue through the motions in the order they appeared on the agenda, which was by reference to the size of the group. If the motion was not withdrawn, the Chair would consider if there were amendments. If there were, then Council would vote

on those first and then, when those were completed, Council would vote on the substantive motion.

18.3 Motion 3- End Fuel Poverty

This motion was WITHDRAWN

- 18.4 Motion 4 Frequent Flyer Levy
- 18.4.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr Jamie Osborn was deemed moved and seconded.
- Aviation is one of the fastest-growing sources of polluting greenhouse gases in the UK, having grown by 88% since 1990. It is also one of the most unequal, as 15% of people take 70% of all flights taking more than 60 flights per year while nearly 50% of the population in the UK do not fly at all in a given year. There is a strong correlation between income and the number of flights taken ie: the richer people are, the more likely they are to fly disproportionately. This means that a small fraction of the population are taking up a disproportionate amount of the carbon budget available for aviation through frequent flying.

If the current trend of growth in aviation continues unabated, this will put the UK's ability to meet climate change targets at risk.

The fairest way to slow growth in aviation emissions is through a frequent flyer levy (FFL). This could start at zero for one flight per year, and ratchet up for each subsequent flight. This is a progressive way of taxing the richest excess consumers who are disproportionately responsible for the vast majority of emissions from flying. While some recent migrants to the UK generally fly more than average, they do not tend to fall into the excess consumer category of very frequent flyers, and a FFL policy can be designed to not discriminate against them.

The revenue raised from a FFL could be used to reinvest in decarbonisation. Certain exemptions could also be introduced in order not to.

A FFL option is very popular among the public and across the political spectrum. The UK Climate Assembly found 70% support for a frequent flyer levy, and polls by YouGov and a study by CAST have found 60% and 68% support respectively.

It is important to stress that a FFL would have no impact on the majority of people - the 50% who do not fly at all, and others who only fly once a year. In general, the public do not support policies that will increase costs for individuals, but the FFL is a notable outlier in having overwhelming public support.

The FFL exemplifies important principles which characterise popular climate change policies that are favoured by the public, including fairness and the polluter pays principle. The introduction of a FFL would also send a strong signal that high-income groups will have to change their behaviours to meet

climate change targets.

Norwich Airport expects to expand its number of flights by nearly 300% by 2045, an increase that is totally incompatible with climate change targets. The very most that aviation can increase by is 25% according to the Climate Change Committee's balanced pathway for net zero, and even that is contingent on all other targets for emissions reductions being met. It is extremely unlikely that aviation will be able to significantly reduce emissions through technology or efficiencies, and therefore demand management is the only viable way of reducing aviation emissions.

Norfolk County Council's Local Transport Plan 4 states that the council will work with "Norwich Airport to make Norfolk an attractive place to live, work and run a business" but does not detail at all how the council intends to work with Norwich airport to reduce emissions. The LTP4 also does not take into account the projected growth in aviation emissions from the expected 300% growth in flights from Norwich Airport.

Council resolves to:

- 1.Write to the Treasury, Department for Transport, Department for Energy Security and Net Zero, and all of Norfolk's MPs expressing support for the principle of a frequent flyer levy.
- 2.Use the council's position as a consultee on the Norwich Airport Masterplan and as a significant stakeholder in the airport to call for a revision of the masterplan to bring it into line with realistic carbon budgets.
- 3. Working with Norwich Airport, bring a report to an appropriate committee detailing how aviation emissions from Norwich Airport will be reduced,
- 18.4.3 After being put to the recorded vote (Appendix D), with 4 votes in favour, 31 votes against and 18 abstentions, the motion was **LOST**.

18.5. **Motion 5- School Uniforms**

- 18.5.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr MikeSmith-Claire was deemed moved and seconded.
- 18.5.2 Following the publication of the Education (Guidance about Costs of School Uniforms) Act 2021 and associated statutory guidance for school governing boards, there appeared to be a positive move towards reducing the overall cost of purchasing school uniforms for children across the country.

A survey conducted by the Children's Society in May 2023 found that the average school uniform has increased in cost since last year, with parents of secondary pupils paying on average £422 per year for uniform and £287 on average for primary pupils.

As we approach the beginning of a new school year, it is disappointing to see that the approach from schools across Norfolk to school uniform policies varies so vastly- those that meet all the requirements of the guidance, to some who meet very few.

Key concerns are:

- Lack of information available on school websites about where to acquire second hand uniform items, despite it being a requirement;
- Schools operating "cash-back" arrangements with uniform suppliers, which the guidance states should not be entered into;
- Some academy chains in Norfolk have inconsistent approaches across their sites, despite having an overarching policy in place.
- The continued requirement for extensive branded items, with plain alternatives not accepted;
- Policies requiring additional Winter items such as sweatshirts and cardigans to be branded, with plain alternatives not accepted;
- · Policies requiring different items depending on the school year of the pupil;

During a cost-of-living crisis there can be no justification for school uniform policies to not adhere with the guidance. This continues to have a detrimental impact on children from low-income families – households having to forego basic items to meet their school's uniform requirements, or pupils being punished for not wearing the correct clothes, will naturally affect a child's school experience.

Council therefore resolves to:

- 1. Update the Norfolk County Council website to accurately reflect the legislation and statutory guidance on school uniforms.
- 2. Instruct the Director of Children's Services to write to all school governing boards, reminding them of their responsibility to implement the statutory guidance, paying particular attention to reducing the number of branded items and providing information about where to purchase second hand items.
- 3. Contact schools directly where it is found that a school uniform policy does not meet the statutory guidance.
- 4 Instruct officers to prepare an annual report for the People and Communities Select Committee detailing compliance with the guidance and the average uniform cost for each school in Norfolk.
- 18.5.3 After being put to the recorded vote (Appendix E), with 23 votes in favour, 33 votes against and 1 abstention, the motion was **LOST**.

18.6 **Motion 6- Heatware Extreme Temperatures**

- 18.6.1 Cllr Brian Watkins said that he accepted the following amendment from Cllr Steve Morphew which was deemed moved and seconded.
- 18.6.2 Recently, the Met Office has suggested that there is an increasing chance that the high temperature of 40C reached last year could be surpassed this

summer, and there is confidence of yet another heatwave this month.

Last year's heatwave exposed a worrying situation in Norfolk, suggesting that the County's infrastructure is not prepared for the likely extreme temperatures. Vital roads melted due to the heat, 20 homes across the county were destroyed, and finally, Norfolk's natural landscapes and wildlife were harmed.

To add to the pressure, a concerning outage resulting in residents being unable to make calls to 999 occurred last month with the Council advising residents, via its Twitter page, to attend a local fire station to report emergencies. Such an outage combined with extreme temperatures would create a dangerous situation putting residents in harm's way.

This council resolves to: instructs the appropriate Select Committee to:

- 1. Review last year's damage to highways caused by extreme heat and to ensure that these areas are prepared for this summer.
- 2. Review its contingency plans and community resilience in relation to the 999 outage last month.
- 3. Continue working with organisations such as NFRS to educate residents on the dangers of extreme heat and house/field fires, and how to avoid accidental blazes.
- 18.6.3 The amendment which was on the table was put to a recorded vote (Appendix F) and with 23 votes in favour, 34 votes against and 0 abstentions this was **LOST.**
- 18.7 Motion 7 -Climate Change Risks and Emergency Planning
- 18.7.1 Cllr Jamie Osborn said that he accepted the following amendment from Cllr Steve Morphew which was deemed moved and seconded.
- July 2022 saw record wildfires in Norfolk and the destruction of homes and businesses and severe risk to lives. There are predictions that wildfires will occur again this year, and that such fires will become a regular part of Norfolk's summer as the climate heats up. The Wildfire Lead on the National Fire Chiefs Council warned last month that wildfire risk is growing in the UK, and some firefighters plan to set up special units in a similar way to Mediterranean countries to try and battle wildfires this year.

Without drastic action to reduce water use and improve water management, the East of England will run out of water within the next decade.

At the same time, the risk from flooding and extreme weather is increasing. The Climate Change Committee report on climate change adaptation published in the spring of 2023 warned that all of the highest-risk areas for flooding, once vulnerability due to deprivation is taken into account, are in the East of England, notably Great Yarmouth.

The Climate Change Committee's adaptation progress report warns that out of 45 indicators for climate change preparedness, there are none where

there is sufficient evidence that reductions in climate exposure and vulnerability are happening at the rates required to manage risks appropriately.

A significant amount of climate preparedness needs to take place at county council level, as county and district councils have responsibility for emergency planning. In Part 1 of the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 (CCA), an emergency is recognised as a threat of serious damage to human welfare or the environment of a "place" in the United Kingdom. This reflects the fact that Part 1 is designed to deal with preparations by local responders for localised emergencies. It sets out clear expectations and responsibilities for front line responders at the local level to ensure that they are prepared to deal effectively with the full range of emergencies from localised incidents through to catastrophic emergencies.

There is an urgent need for Norfolk County Council to step up capacity for responding to emergencies, in light of increasing threats due to climate change. The Norfolk Resilience Forum is a body established under the CCA that requires greater political will to improve planning for and responses to emergencies in light of growing climate risks.

This motion focuses primarily on climate change risks and emergency planning, rather than resilience, but there is a need for greater planning for climate resilience as well.

Council resolves to instructs the appropriate Select Committee to:

- 1. Review emergency planning for natural disaster preparedness in light of increasing risk due to climate change, including:
- a. Working others in the Norfolk Resilience Forum, reassessing the hazards from climate change, reviewing vulnerabilities in terms of impact and likelihood of an event, and re-prioritising risks.
- b. Reviewing training needs for Fire and Rescue Services to ensure workers are adequately trained for the new threats posed by wildfires of greater intensity, spread and frequency, and ensuring that the Fire and Rescue Service has the capacity to respond to emerging climate change threats
- c. Reviewing the Norfolk Community Risk Register, which currently includes "Heatwave" on its risk matrix but does not specifically include wildfires
- d. Reviewing workforce preparedness for natural disasters and emergencies, including whether there is sufficient capacity and whether there are training needs within each directorate
- e. Reviewing the collection of data for the purposes of risk monitoring and emergency planning, in line with the warning from the Climate Change Committee that there is currently inadequate data on climate risks at all levels
- 2. Work with Water Resources East, Internal Drainage Boards, the Broads Authority and others to review emergency planning for drought in light of

rapidly depleting water security. 3. Review public communication relating to natural disaster preparedness, especially for residents in areas of high deprivation that are more vulnerable.

- 4. Ensure climate change risks are adequately reflected in financial planning, including by testing assumptions in the Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) against the predicted impacts of climate change.
- 5. Review preparedness for climate risks and extreme weather in relation to the local roads network, including establishment of indicators for and monitoring of:
- a. Local roads at risk of flooding
- b. Embankment and bridge conditions on the highways network
- c. Weather-related delays and incidents affecting highways
- 6. Review the inclusion of climate change risks in the corporate risk register, including physical, transition and liability risks, and begin reporting on climate change risks, in line with the Climate Change Committee's recommendations.
- 18.7.3 The amendment which was on the table was put to a recorded vote (Appendix G) and with 23 votes in favour, 34 votes against and 0 abstentions this was **LOST.**
- 18.8 Motion 8- Artificial Intelligence
- 18.8.1 The following motion proposed by Cllr Alison Birmingham was deemed moved and seconded.
- 18.8.2 Council believes Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers great potential for the way NCC operates, delivers services and works with partners. Council also recognises the threats such a powerful tool could pose if used inappropriately, maliciously or without due care.

Council therefore requests Corporate Select Committee to develop

- 1. a policy for Norfolk County Council,
- 2. guidance and code of practice for NCC councillors and staff,
- 3. a protocol for Al usage with partners, contractors and suppliers

for consideration by Cabinet and Council for inclusion within our Constitution.

Because this is such a fast-moving field with such potential, Council requests Cabinet to ensure the results of the Corporate Select Committee work are brought to Council for consideration by May 2024, with appropriate Constitution changes to include an annual monitoring review to keep up with developments.

18.8.3 The motion which was on the table was put to a recorded vote (Appendix H) and with 23 votes in favour, 26 votes against and 0 abstentions this was

LOST.

19 Questions on notice under rule 9.3

There were no such questions

20 Appointments to Committees, sub-Committees and Joint Committees

There were no such appointments to note

21 Chairman Announcement: Tim Shaw, Committee Officer

Councillors stood in thanks to Tim Shaw, whom was retiring from the County Council before its next meeting, in appreciation of his 41 years of work for the Council.

The meeting concluded at 1.35 pm

Chair



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact Customer Services on 0344 800 8020 or 18001 0344 800 8020 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix A

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 1 National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project (Green Amendment)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
		-				1	
ADAMS Timothy	Absent			MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		х		MASON BILLIG Kay		х	
ANNISON Carl		х		MAXFIELD Ed	x		
AQUARONE Steffan	х			MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen		х		MORPHEW Steve	х		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		х		NEALE Paul	х		
BENSLY James		х		NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		х		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	х			OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	х			OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT Bill		х		PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul	х		
BROCIEK-COULTON	х			PLANT Graham		х	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		x		PRICE Ben	x		
CARPENTER Penny		x		PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart		x		PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	x			RICHMOND Will		х	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma	х			RILEY Steve	x		
DALBY Michael	Absent			ROPER Dan	х		
DARK Stuart		х		ROWETT Catherine	х		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent			Rumsby Chrissie	x		
DEWSBURY Margaret		х		SANDS Mike	х		
DIXON Nigel	Absent			SAVAGE Robert		х	
DUIGAN Phillip		х		SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		х		SHIRES Lucy	х		
ELMER Daniel		х		SMITH Carl		х	
FISHER John	Absent			SMITH-CLARE Mike	х		
FITZPATRICK Tom		х		STONE Barry		х	
GRANT Andy	Absent			STOREY Martin		х	
GURNEY Shelagh		х		THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		х		THOMSON Vic		х	
HORSBRUGH Michael		х		VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of							
JAMES Jane		X		VINCENT Karen	Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent			WALKER Colleen	Absent		
JERMY Terry	х			WARD John		х	
JONES Brenda	х			WATKINS Brian	х		
KEMP Alexandra	х			WEBB Maxine	х		
KIDDIE Keith		х		WHITE Tony		х	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		X		WHYMARK Fran		х	
KIRK Julian	Absent			WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		x					

Sub-Total

Sub-Total

For	24
Against	36
Abstentions	0

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix B

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 1 National Grid's Norwich to Tilbury Project (Conservative Motion)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
		-					
ADAMS Timothy	Absent			MACKIE Ian	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		х		MASON BILLIG Kay		х	
ANNISON Carl		х		MAXFIELD Ed		х	
AQUARONE Steffan		х		MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen		х		MORPHEW Steve		х	
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		х		NEALE Paul		х	
BENSLY James		х		NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		х		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison		х		OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon		х		OSBORN Jamie		х	
BORRETT BIII		х		PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul		х	
BROCIEK-COULTON		x		PLANT Graham		х	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		х		PRICE Ben		х	
CARPENTER Penny		х		PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart		х		PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert		х		RICHMOND Will		х	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma		х		RILEY Steve		х	
DALBY Michael	Absent			ROPER Dan		х	
DARK Stuart		х		ROWETT Catherine		х	
DAWSON Christopher	Absent			Rumsby Chrissie		х	
DEWSBURY Margaret		х		SANDS Mike		х	
DIXON Nigel	Absent			SAVAGE Robert		х	
DUIGAN Phillip		х		SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		х		SHIRES Lucy		х	
ELMER Daniel		х		SMITH Carl		х	
FISHER John	Absent			SMITH-CLARE Mike		х	
FITZPATRICK Tom		х		STONE Barry		х	
GRANT Andy	Absent			STOREY Martin		х	
GURNEY Shelagh		х		THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		х		THOMSON Vic		х	
HORSBRUGH Michael		х		VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of					1		
JAMES Jane	1	х		VINCENT Karen	Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent			WALKER Colleen	Absent		
JERMY Terry		х		WARD John		х	
JONES Brenda		х		WATKINS Brian		х	
KEMP Alexandra		X		WEBB Maxine		x	
KIDDIE Keith		х		WHITE Tony		х	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		X		WHYMARK Fran	1	x	
KIRK Julian	Absent			WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		X					
					1		1

Sub-Total

Sub-Total

For	60
Against	0
Abstentions	0

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix C

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 2 A Local Voice on Road Schemes (Labour Motion)

	For	Against	Abstain			For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent				MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		x			MASON BILLIG Kay		x	
ANNISON Carl		x			MAXFIELD Ed	х		
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent				MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent				MORPHEW Steve	х		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		x			NEALE Paul	х		
BENSLY James		х			NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		x			OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	х				OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	х				OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT Bill		х		·	PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent				PENFOLD Saul	х		
BROCIEK-COULTON	x			-	PLANT Graham		x	
Julie					I LAN GIANAIN			
CARPENTER Graham		x			PRICE Ben	x		
CARPENTER Granam CARPENTER Penny		x			PRICE Bell PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart		x			PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		-
COLWELL Robert	x	-			RICHMOND WIII	7 1.000110	x	
	Absent			-		Absent		
CONNOLLY Ed	X				Reilly Matthew	X		
CORLETT Emma	Absent				RILEY Steve	X		
DALBY Michael	Ansell	x			ROPER Dan	x		
DARK Stuart	Absent	X			ROWETT Catherine			
DAWSON Christopher	Absent				Rumsby Chrissie	X		
DEWSBURY Margaret		x			SANDS Mike	х		
DIXON Nigel	Absent				SAVAGE Robert		х	
DUIGAN Phillip		x			SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		x			SHIRES Lucy	x		
ELMER Daniel		x			SMITH Carl		X	
FISHER John	Absent				SMITH-CLARE Mike	x		
FITZPATRICK Tom		x			STONE Barry		x	
GRANT Andy	Absent				STOREY Martin		x	
GURNEY Shelagh		х			THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		x			THOMSON Vic		x	
HORSBRUGH Michael		x			VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of								
JAMES Jane		x			VINCENT Karen	Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent				WALKER Colleen	Absent		
JERMY Terry	x				WARD John		х	
JONES Brenda	х				WATKINS Brian	х		
KEMP Alexandra	х				WEBB Maxine	х		
KIDDIE Keith		x			WHITE Tony		x	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		x			WHYMARK Fran		х	
KIRK Julian	Absent				WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		х						
		1				1	1	1

For	23
Against	35
Abstentions	0

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix D

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 4 Frequent Flyer Levy (Green Motion)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent	<u> </u>		MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		x		MASON BILLIG Kay		x	
ANNISON Carl		x		MAXFIELD Ed			X
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent			MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent			MORPHEW Steve	Absent		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley			х	NEALE Paul	х		
BENSLY James	Absent			NUNN William		x	
BILLS David		x		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison			x	OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon			х	OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT Bill		х		PECK Greg		x	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul			х
BROCIEK-COULTON			x	PLANT Graham		x	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		х		PRICE Ben	х		
CARPENTER Penny		х		PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart		х		PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert		1	х	RICHMOND WIII		x	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma			х	RILEY Steve			x
DALBY Michael	Absent	†		ROPER Dan			x
DARK Stuart		х		ROWETT Catherine	х		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent			Rumsby Chrissie			х
DEWSBURY Margaret	Absent			SANDS Mike			x
DIXON Nigel	Absent			SAVAGE Robert		x	
DUIGAN Phillip		x		SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		x		SHIRES Lucy			X
ELMER Daniel		x		SMITH Carl		x	
FISHER John	Absent	+		SMITH Carl SMITH-CLARE Mike		 	х
	71.500111	x				x	
FITZPATRICK Tom	Absent	 ^		STOREY Martin		X	
GRANT Andy	ANGERIC	x		STOREY Martin	Absent		
GURNEY Shelagh		X		THOMAS Alison	Absent	X	
HEMPSALL Lana		X		THOMSON Vic	Absent	<u> </u>	
HORSBRUGH Michael		^		VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of JAMES Jane		x		VINCENT Karen	Absent		
	Absent	+			Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	, 1330111	-	x	WALKER Colleen WARD John	, 1300111	X	
JERMY Terry		1	x				x
JONES Brenda	Absent	1	<u> </u>	WATKINS Brian			x
KEMP Alexandra	VNOGIII	x		WEBB Maxine	Absent		^
KIDDIE Keith		X		WHITE Tony	Vnaciii	х	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark	Absent	<u> ^ </u>		WHYMARK Fran	Absent		
KIRK Julian	Ausent	<u> </u>		WILBY Martin	Ausent		
LONG Brian		х					
		1					
		1					

For	4
Against	31
Abstentions	18

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix E

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 5 School Uniforms (Labour Motion)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent			MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		x		MASON BILLIG Kay		x	
ANNISON Carl		x		MAXFIELD Ed	x		
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent			MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent			MORPHEW Steve	х		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		х		NEALE Paul	х		
BENSLY James		x		NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		х		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	x			OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	х			OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT Bill		х		PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul	х		
BROCIEK-COULTON	х			PLANT Graham		х	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		x		PRICE Ben	x		
CARPENTER Penny		x		PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart			х	PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	x			RICHMOND WIII		x	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma	х			RILEY Steve	x		
DALBY Michael	Absent			ROPER Dan	х		
DARK Stuart		х		ROWETT Catherine	х		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent			Rumsby Chrissie	х		
DEWSBURY Margaret		х		SANDS Mike	х		
DIXON Nigel	Absent			SAVAGE Robert		х	
DUIGAN Phillip		х		SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		х		SHIRES Lucy	х		
ELMER Daniel		х		SMITH Carl		х	
FISHER John	Absent			SMITH-CLARE Mike	х		
FITZPATRICK Tom		х		STONE Barry		х	
GRANT Andy	Absent			STOREY Martin		х	
GURNEY Shelagh		х		THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		х		THOMSON Vic		x	
HORSBRUGH Michael		х		VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of							
JAMES Jane		х		VINCENT Karen	Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent			WALKER Colleen	Absent		
JERMY Terry	х			WARD John		x	
JONES Brenda	х			WATKINS Brian	х		
KEMP Alexandra	х			WEBB Maxine	х		
KIDDIE Keith		х		WHITE Tony	Absent		
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		х		WHYMARK Fran		х	
KIRK Julian	Absent			WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		х					

For	23
Against	33
Abstentions	1

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix F

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 6 Heatwave Extreme Temperatures (Liberal Democrat Motion amended)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent			MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		x		MASON BILLIG Kay		x	
ANNISON Carl		x		MAXFIELD Ed	х		
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent			MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent			MORPHEW Steve	х		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		x		NEALE Paul	х		
BENSLY James		x		NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		x		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	x			OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	x			OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT BIII		x		PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul	х		
BROCIEK-COULTON	x			PLANT Graham		х	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		x		PRICE Ben	x		
CARPENTER Penny		х		PRICE Richard	Absent	1	
CLANCY Stuart		х		PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	x			RICHMOND Will		х	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma	x			RILEY Steve	x	1	
DALBY Michael	Absent			ROPER Dan	х		
DARK Stuart		x		ROWETT Catherine	x	1	-
	Absent	^			x		
DAWSON Christopher	About	x		Rumsby Chrissie	X		
DEWSBURY Margaret	Absent	^		SANDS Mike	^	x	
DIXON Nigel	Absent	x		SAVAGE Robert	Absent	^	
DUIGAN Phillip		X		SAYERS David	X		
EAGLE Fabian		X		SHIRES Lucy	*	x	
ELMER Daniel	Absort	X		SMITH Carl		X	
FISHER John	Absent			SMITH-CLARE Mike	X		
FITZPATRICK Tom		Х		STONE Barry		x	
GRANT Andy	Absent			STOREY Martin	A	х	
GURNEY Shelagh		x		THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana		x		THOMSON Vic		x	
HORSBRUGH Michael		x		VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of				1010=1:=16	Ab	1	
JAMES Jane	Aberr	х		VINCENT Karen	Absent	1	ļ
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent			WALKER Colleen	Absent	1	
JERMY Terry	x			WARD John		х	
JONES Brenda	х			WATKINS Brian	X		
KEMP Alexandra	х			WEBB Maxine	x	1	
KIDDIE Keith		x		WHITE Tony	Absent		
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		x		WHYMARK Fran		x	
KIRK Julian	Absent			WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		x					

For	23
Against	34
Abstentions	0

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix G

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 7 Climate Change Risks and Emergency Planning (Green Motion amended)

	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
ADAMS Timothy	Absent			MACKIE lan	Absent		
ADAMS Tony		x		MASON BILLIG Kay		x	
ANNISON Carl		x		MAXFIELD Ed	х		
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent			MORIARTY Jim	Absent		
ASKEW Stephen	Absent			MORPHEW Steve	x		
BAMBRIDGE Lesley		x		NEALE Paul	x		
BENSLY James		х		NUNN William		х	
BILLS David		х		OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	х			OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	х			OSBORN Jamie	х		
BORRETT Bill		х		PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent			PENFOLD Saul	х		
BROCIEK-COULTON	x			PLANT Graham		х	
Julie							
CARPENTER Graham		x		PRICE Ben	х		
CARPENTER Penny		x		PRICE Richard	Absent	1	
CLANCY Stuart			х	PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	х			RICHMOND WIII		х	
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent			Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma	x			RILEY Steve	х		
DALBY Michael	Absent			ROPER Dan	x		
DARK Stuart		х		ROWETT Catherine	x		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent			Rumsby Chrissie	x		
DEWSBURY Margaret		x		SANDS Mike	х		
DIXON Nigel	Absent			SAVAGE Robert		x	
DUIGAN Phillip		x		SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		x		SHIRES Lucy	x		
ELMER Daniel		x		SMITH Carl	-	x	
	Absent	^			x	^	
FISHER John	Absolit	x		SMITH-CLARE Mike		X	
FITZPATRICK Tom	Absent	^		STOREY Mortin		x	
GRANT Andy	Absent	x		STOREY Martin	Absent	^	
GURNEY Shelagh		x		THOMAS Alison	Absent	x	
HEMPSALL Lana		X		THOMSON Vic	Absent	 ^	
HORSBRUGH Michael		^		VARDY Eric	Anseill		
Chenery of JAMES Jane		x		VINCENT Karen	Absent	1	
JAMIES Jane JAMIESON Andrew	Absent	1		WALKER Colleen	Absent	1	
JERMY Terry	x			WARD John		x	
	x				x		
JONES Brenda	x			WATKINS Brian	x		
KEMP Alexandra	^	x		WEBB Maxine	Absent	1	
KIDDLE Keith		X		WHITE Tony	VNSGIII	x	
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark	Absent	^		WHYMARK Fran	Absent	^	
KIRK Julian	Ausent			WILBY Martin	Ausent		
LONG Brian		X					
		I			1	I	
			l			1	

For	23
Against	34
Abstentions	0

Norfolk County Council - Date: 18 July 2023 Appendix H

RECORDED VOTE – ITEM NUMBER: Motion 8 Artificial Intelligence (Labour Motion)

	For	Against	Abstain	-		For	Against	Abstain
ADA110 -	A h = - : 1	1			1446105	Abre	1	
ADAMS Timothy	Absent	1	<u> </u>		MACKIE lan	Absent	1	1
ADAMS Tony	<u> </u>	X			MASON BILLIG Kay	1	x	
ANNISON Carl	ļ	x			MAXFIELD Ed	 	x	
AQUARONE Steffan	Absent	1			MORIARTY Jim	Absent	<u> </u>	
ASKEW Stephen	Absent	1			MORPHEW Steve	х	<u> </u>	
BAMBRIDGE Lesley	<u> </u>	x			NEALE Paul	х	<u> </u>	
BENSLY James		x			NUNN William		x	
BILLS David		x			OLIVER Judy	Absent		
BIRMINGHAM Alison	х				OLIVER Rhodri	Absent		
BLUNDELL Sharon	х				OSBORN Jamie	x		
BORRETT Bill		Х			PECK Greg		х	
BOWES Claire	Absent				PENFOLD Saul	x		
BROCIEK-COULTON	x				PLANT Graham]	х	<u></u>
Julie								
CARPENTER Graham	х				PRICE Ben	x		
CARPENTER Penny		Х			PRICE Richard	Absent		
CLANCY Stuart	x				PROCTOR Andrew	Absent		
COLWELL Robert	x				RICHMOND WIII	Absent		
CONNOLLY Ed	Absent				Reilly Matthew	Absent		
CORLETT Emma	x				RILEY Steve	х		
DALBY Michael	Absent				ROPER Dan	х		
DARK Stuart	Absent				ROWETT Catherine	х		
DAWSON Christopher	Absent				Rumsby Chrissie	х		
DEWSBURY Margaret		x			SANDS Mike	х		
DIXON Nigel	Absent				SAVAGE Robert	Absent		
DUIGAN Phillip		x			SAYERS David	Absent		
EAGLE Fabian		х			SHIRES Lucy	x		
ELMER Daniel		х			SMITH Carl		x	
FISHER John	Absent				SMITH-CLARE Mike	x		
FITZPATRICK Tom		x			STONE Barry	Absent		
GRANT Andy	Absent				STOREY Martin	1	х	
GURNEY Shelagh		Х			THOMAS Alison	Absent		
HEMPSALL Lana	Absent				THOMSON Vic	Absent		
HORSBRUGH Michael		х			VARDY Eric	Absent		
Chenery of		L	L			1		L
JAMES Jane		x			VINCENT Karen	Absent		
JAMIESON Andrew	Absent				WALKER Colleen	Absent		
JERMY Terry	x				WARD John		х	
JONES Brenda	x				WATKINS Brian	х		
KEMP Alexandra	Absent				WEBB Maxine	х		
KIDDIE Keith	Absent				WHITE Tony	Absent		
KIDDLE-MORRIS Mark		х			WHYMARK Fran	1	х	
KIRK Julian	Absent				WILBY Martin	Absent		
LONG Brian		Х			-	1		
						1	1	
	L_		L					L_
				1				
	\	ļ į					1	1

For	23
Against	26
Abstentions	0