Cabinet 5 December 2022 Public & Local Member Questions

Public Question Time 6.1 **Question from John Killett** As a business owner supplying goods to hospitality in Norwich and Norfolk the recent closing of Exchange street to all vehicles between 10pm to 4pm is causing myself and other companies a logistical nightmare. Many of my customers don't open until after 10am. Trying to access Norwich city centre with the constant traffic delays to deliver goods, now means my vehicles and staff are having to leave our Lenwade warehouse at 7am, to try to do our 20 plus Norwich deliveries a day. Couldn't there be a permit system introduced for delivery vehicles allowing them to access exchange street to deliver. This would prevent the current chaos being caused. Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and **Transport** Traffic restrictions on Exchange Street were first introduced in 2020 with the road being closed to general traffic at all times of the day, with the exception to this being that loading and unloading could take place between 5pm and 10am. Following feedback received during public consultations the permitted times for loading and unloading were changed to between 4pm and 10am. In addition, in response to feedback received, a 3.5t maximum weight loading loop was implemented close to Exchange Street which vehicles can use throughout the day to load and unload. This route is via Pottergate, Lobtser Lane, Bedford Street, Little London Street, London Street and exiting via Gaol Hill. The restrictions in Exchange Street are consistent with other areas of the city centre such as Gentlemans Walk, Castle Street and Haymarket. Exchange Street is an area dominated by people walking around the city, making it an unsuitable route for the levels of traffic that previously used the road. This restriction makes it safer and easier to get around on foot, supports outdoor hospitality and the local economy, and improves air quality. The feedback we have received has been broadly supportive and people are keen to see the pedestrian zone free of traffic. The Exchange Street restriction is covered by an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order and any objections and representations relating to this Order can be made in writing and sent to the office of nplaw, Norfolk County Council, County Hall, Martineau Lane, Norwich, NR1 2DH, marked for the attention of Ms A L Wilton by 17th May 2023. They may also be emailed to trafficorders@norfolk.gov.uk. 6.2 **Question from Laura Godfrey** The effects of food poverty, from low energy to serious malnutrition, fall hardest on the young. There is nothing children can do to feed themselves. Thousands of parents are facing the choice between starving themselves or avoiding bills and feeding their kids. With inflation soaring, more families are struggling than ever before. Norfolk County Council should stand with families on the brink of disaster by providing fair, consistent and accessible support. Will you, as the leaders of

Norfolk County Council, extend the cost of living vouchers beyond April and make

them permanent?

Response from the Leader and Cabinet Member for Governance and Strategy

We recognise the challenges that Norfolk families are facing. Alongside a multimillion pound package of wider support for communities, we are already providing cost of living vouchers to around 30,000 children in the county who are eligible for free school meals. We recently committed a further £3.6m to enable this support to continue until the end of April 2023. This provides £15 per child per month with an additional £30 at Christmas for free school meals eligible children.

As part of the Autumn statement, the Government announced that the Household Support Fund will be extended over 2023/24. This decision is very much welcomed and we hope this will enable this support to continue, subject to the government rules on the use of the fund.

Cabinet 5 December 2022 Local Member Questions

	Local Member Issues/Questions
7.1	Question from Cllr Alexandra Kemp Can Cabinet take collective responsibility and stop putting highways officers at risk of public complaints about the dangerous state of the cycle lanes along the A10? Insufficient resource and inadequate intervention criteria along the A10 Growth Corridor, make residents less confident to walk or cycle. Only 6-weekly inspections, mean weedy overgrowth leaves the Hardwick to Setchey path, insufficient width for pedestrian safety from oncoming cyclists, or for two wheelchairs to pass.
	A resident writes This path is no longer fit for purpose and dangerous particularly if you meet a cyclist. Covered in places by about three feet at least of undergrowth.
	Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways Infrastructure and
	Transport We have been notified of issues on this stretch of highway and our Highways Teams are currently arranging a meeting on site to walk the route to view what remedial action is required.
7.2	Question from Cllr Rhodri Oliver The cabinet member will agree with me on the need to protect Norfolk taxpayers at this difficult time. Can he confirm that despite the announced increase in the referendum limit, he will not be supporting a combined rise in council tax and ASC that is greater than 2.99% as stated in the MTFS in October's cabinet papers.
	Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance I am acutely aware of the pressures facing tax payers and the impact of the cost- of-living crisis on Norfolk residents. However, I do not believe it is appropriate to prejudge the level of council tax that will be required to set a balanced budget for 2023-24, particularly when we await detail of funding allocations in the provisional Local Government Finance Settlement later in December, and the feedback from the public consultation which is currently underway. The level of council tax for 2023-24 remains a matter for Full Council to decide on, taking into account all elements of the budget including pressures, proposed savings, and the Chief Finance Officer's advice about the robustness of the overall budget. At this point in the budget-setting process, there are significant cost pressures emerging across all services, driven by demand levels, inflation, the local government pay award, and the level of the national living wage to name but a few. It is therefore critical that we maintain maximum flexibility when considering council tax increases in the coming years, particularly when Government has set out a clear expectation for local authorities to address inflationary pressures via locally raised council tax. I would add that Council Tax funding on this basis is unsustainable over the long term.

Second Question from Cllr Rhodri Oliver

I commend Cllr Jamieson's ongoing efforts to keep members informed of important budget considerations. Section 9 of his MTFS report in the October Cabinet meeting referenced risks to the council's budget position which might increase the gap from the previous £60m total for 23-24. Please can he confirm the total savings that have now been identified to date for the 23-24 period and the concomitant size of any remaining gap including the quantification of those aforementioned risks?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance

Thank you for your question. I am very conscious of the need to keep Members informed of progress in the development of the Council's budget. However, as we speak, work is underway to continue to drive out robust and deliverable saving proposals across the organisation, with further internal sessions scheduled for the week commencing 12 December. The timetable was set out in the paper that you reference. In addition, as referenced in my previous response, there are further emerging pressures being quantified, and there is material uncertainty around funding levels which are due to be announced in the provisional Local Government Finance Settlement. In this context, I do not think it helpful to give a public running commentary on the overall quantum of proposals or the size of the budget gap. However, based on the information currently available, I am assured that it will be possible for a robust and balanced Budget to be proposed to Full Council by the Cabinet in January 2023.

7.3 Question from Cllr Rob Colwell

What reassurances can be provided to the residents of Norfolk in relation to the refugee and asylum seekers being placed in Norfolk hotels? This follows criticism from the Head of Paid Service of a 'rush job' and the short lead-in time councils have had to ensure support services, together with reports of diptheria from a centre in Kent from where the asylum seekers may have arrived.

Response from the Leader and Cabinet Member for Governance and Strategy

We have made our point to the Home office that any plans to locate further refugees in Hotels' in Norfolk must be in negotiation and agreement with Local Authorities so that local support services can be put in place. Any additional funding implications must be provided by the Home Office.

7.4 Question from Cllr Lucy Shires

What is the current average waiting time for an initial adult social service assessment, and from that point what is the average waiting time for the financial assessment?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention

Thank you for your question.

People on the Council's holding list are waiting for a range of different reasons only one of which is assessments. Given the way that the data is recorded it is not possible to give the average waiting time for an assessment. The list changes on a daily basis, as the front-line managers rigorously review and

ensure that the most urgent cases are dealt with as soon as is possible. With regards to financial assessments, waiting time is not specifically recorded, however from the record it is possible to extrapolate that the Council makes contact with individuals on average 9 days after the initial request comes through. This is the beginning of the financial assessment process, albeit a number of the assessments are very straight forward and may well be resolved almost immediately at that point.

7.5 **Question from Cllr Brian Watkins**

I have previously criticised this Conservative administration for 'chugging along in the slow lane' when it comes to setting up new electric charging points across the county. What do you think the Chancellor's recent imposition of excise duty on electric vehicles from 2025 will have on the Council's ability to achieve its carbon net zero targets by 2030?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

Insofar as the impact the Chancellor's announcement has on the uptake on electric vehicles, the Society of Motor Manufacturers and Traders does not see it impacting in the long-run, given that the government has a 2030 target whereby internal combustion engine vehicles will no longer be on sale from that year.

Electric charging points installations in the county continue to be installed with or without the County Council's intervention, including a major EV charging hub installed on the outskirts of Norwich at Postwick via the company Gridserve, as an expansion of their UK network. As far as the County Council is concerned, we have installed a number of charging points at County Hall which will be greatly increased in the new year, as well as a plan to install points across our estate. These are anticipated to be installed early next year. In addition to this some Members have chosen to use their allowance to support charging points in their community. We are also working with UK Power Networks to facilitate on-street charging points in Norwich, through their 'Charge Point Collective' initiative. This project is currently out to tender after finalising our locations, and we hope that this will result in charge point installation in the spring/summer of next year. We are also seeking funding for a separate programme to rollout charging points in rural locations for 2023, and are continuing to explore funding opportunities and collaborations with third parties going forward.

Second question from CIIr Brian Watkins

Over the years the Norfolk and Norwich economy has been boosted by international students studying at the county's universities and colleges. Would you agree with the Liberal Democrats that any Government moves to clamp down on the number of students entering the UK to study would be an act of economic self-harm?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Growing the Economy

The institutions that have the data on the number of international students studying at the county's HEI's or colleges and progression data for those students moving into employment would be better placed to answer that question.

	We are unable to provide an evidence base for the statement so cannot answer
7.0	the question.
7.6	Question from Cllr Steffan Aquarone What do you think that the Chancellor's Autumn Statement means for the future of Norfolk's major capital infrastructure projects?
	Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport
	We will continue to work with DfT to seek funding approvals for our projects. I was pleased to host the Roads Minister in the County last week and he is interested and impressed by the breadth of our roads, public transport and active travel programme.
7.7	Question from Cllr Sharon Blundell What message would you like to send out to the Norfolk public that they will receive better governance should the proposed County Deal come to fruition?
	Response from the Leader and Cabinet Member for Governance and
	Strategy The Governance change required to agree a level 3 Deal for Norfolk would see a Directly Elected Leader in place from May 2024. This will allow the residents of Norfolk to have a say on who will lead the Authority and provide a single point of contact for Government, allowing greater influence over national policy and a direct link to Westminster.
7.8	Question from Cllr Saul Penfold Disabled People against the Cuts (Norfolk) and UNISON has accused your administration of not doing enough to lobby government for more money to properly fund under pressure services such as adult social care. How do you answer this charge?
	Response from the Leader and Cabinet Member for Governance and
	Strategy Since the change in Government following the election of Rishi Sunak I have written to the Health Secretary and the Chancellor re-iterating the need for Fair Funding for local government and proper funding for Adult Social Care Reform. We have created joint lobbying documents with local Disability Groups and continue to engage with service users to represent their experiences to Government. We have scheduled meetings with Norfolk's MPs to emphasise to them the importance of this issue to us and our communities.
7.9	Question from Cllr Tim Adams It has been estimated that around 10,000 new homes have been delayed because of the adverse effects on wildlife habitat sites caused by phosphates and nitrates from wastewater generated by new developments. What role is Norfolk County Council playing in trying to unlock the standstill in the planning system resulting from the nutrient neutrality issue?
	Response from the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste Cllr Adams is referring to Natural England's Nutrient Neutrality catchment areas incorporating the Wensum and Broads catchments which affects all Norfolk's Local Planning Authority (LPAs) areas to some extent. LPAs are unable to

determine housing related planning applications in affected areas until developers have assessed and brought forward appropriate mitigation measures to deal with increased phosphates and nitrates arising from proposed development that involves 'additional overnight accommodation'.

The County Council has embarked on a number of actions to help bring forward solutions to unlock housing development, working closely with Norfolk's district councils. Consultants have been commissioned to build on the initial work by Natural England. This ensures that nutrient assessments of new developments in Norfolk can be accurate and properly targeted only to those developments that have an actual impact in the protected catchments. As a landowner we are also playing a leading role nationally along with partners and we are pioneering new land management practices through our Gressenhall Environment Hub and the Wendling Beck project. This project will not only develop our own land management practices, but those across the county and nationally, which will help ensure that new development can come forward in a way that also addresses the pollution issues that affect our rivers.

We continue to work closely with our district colleagues and the water industry to progress the introduction of a credit-based system to fund mitigation measures following on from the completion of the commissioned modelling and local calculator to inform a locally derived evidence base.

7.10 Question from Cllr Jamie Osborn

In 2019 Norfolk County Council agreed an environmental policy that stated it would work towards making the entire county carbon neutral by 2030. Over three years later, and Norfolk is on track to still be overshooting that target in 2050, let alone 2030.

Does the Cabinet Member agree that the council's current and projected policies are utterly insufficient to reach carbon neutrality, and if he does not agree, please can he provide detailed evidence of how the council's policies will deliver carbon neutrality by 2030.

Response from the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste

We recognise that the targets in the Environmental Policy are a challenge, however as far as this affects meeting them on our own estate, we feel we are on track with building on reducing direct emissions by the target date of 2030.

The County Council also remains focused on pursuing initiatives to support the transition to a carbon neutral economy across Norfolk working in partnership with others. We continue in supporting the 1 Million Trees for Norfolk target; the roll out of EV infrastructure, which will be instrumental in supporting the switch to zero carbon vehicles. In addition, supporting wider sustainable transportation initiatives, including low carbon public transport and many active travel initiatives to help increase opportunities for walking and cycling.

The Council has also launched the Norfolk Infrastructure Framework (NIF) grant aided pilot programme this autumn. The NIF addresses four key challenges, one of which is climate change.

The recent COP 27 has highlighted the need collectively to continue our efforts to reduce emissions, but equally the need to accept that our historic emissions legacy leaves us with the understanding that we must adapt to the inevitable changes that will happen.

The Council remains committed to working collectively with others to proactively address these wider challenges facing Norfolk as a whole.

Second question from Cllr Jamie Osborn

Can the Cabinet Member for Highways guarantee that the project costs of the Norwich Western Link will not exceed £200 million? And if not, can he guarantee an upper limit for the NWL costs?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

The project costs for the NWL were set out in the report that was agreed by Cabinet in July this year. The report set out the overall budget and the allowances included for risk and inflation. Any changes to the agreed budget, if they occur, will be brought back to Cabinet for it to consider.

7.11 Question from CIIr Ben Price

The Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance says that it needs £80m to complete its work keeping Norfolk residents and businesses safe. In the last decade, Anglian Water paid out £1.5bn in dividends to its shareholders. Does the Cabinet Member agree, as Cllr Greg Peck implied at Scrutiny on 23 November in response to a question from Cllr Osborn, that people's water bills should not be paying for private investors' profits while there is a need for investment to prevent leaks, sewage overflows and flooding in Norfolk?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Environment and Waste

The privatisation of water companies is a longstanding and national approach. Locally, here in Norfolk, I can see Anglian Water's commitment to the funding and delivery of projects identified by the Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance and I expect that commitment to continue with Anglian Water being a key partner in the delivery of the objectives of the Alliance. And in relation to combined sewer overflows, this matter was considered recently by the County Council's Scrutiny Committee which decided further consideration should be given to this topic at a future meeting and with the involvement of Anglian Water and the Environment Agency.

Second question from CIIr Ben Price

There is growing demand from staff who have local government pensions for climate-friendly pension options. The pensions committee has previously resisted calls to divest from fossil fuels and invest instead in climate-friendly options. Does the Cabinet Member not agree that staff should at least have the option to invest their pension in funds that will help not damage our future, and investigate options such as the Hymans-Robertson Climate Impact Initiative?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance

The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) is a defined benefit (DB) pension scheme. This means that members of the scheme earn pension benefits set out in statutory regulation. Employee contributions are made at a

fixed level and the underwriting risk of the pension promise is retained by the employer. The Hymans Robertson Climate Change Initiative is made in relation to defined contribution (DC) schemes. Under a DC arrangement the ultimate pension received is based on the performance of the underlying investments i.e. the investment risk is retained by the member not the employer. Fund choice is generally relevant to DC but not DB pension arrangements. Under a DC arrangement the member has an individual "pot" of assets but in a DB scheme there is a collective asset pool to back the pension promise. Where member choices are provided the individual member "eats their own cooking" in terms of the impact on their own ultimate pension outcome.

The pension promise made to around 100,000 members of the Norfolk Pension Fund is backed by a diversified investment portfolio of just under £5 billion. Responsibility for setting investment strategy and monitoring these assets is delegated to the Pensions Committee.

The Pensions Committee regularly reviews investment and funding strategy. This includes monitoring and publishing climate risk metrics in its public equity (shares) portfolio and incorporating climate risk analysis as part of its formal valuation process. It is also a substantial investor in renewables and the energy transition within its infrastructure portfolios. The investments assets of the Fund are held and managed is to ensure the long term payment of pensions to its members.

The Fund has developed cleared Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) policies in relation to its investment portfolio. These are published on its website www.norfolkpensionfund.org

The Fund believes in responsible investment. Responsible investment is an approach to investing that aims to incorporate ESG factors into investment decisions, to better manage risk and generate the sustainable, long-term returns required to pay pensions. Responsible investment does not require ruling out investment in any sector or company but should frame the approach to making the decision to own and manage ownership of any specific assets.

7.12 Question from Cllr Paul Neale

In 2020 the Jeesal Cawston Park residential care facility had 3 questionable deaths resulting in its closure. We now have a care facility for adults with severe autism in Bowthorpe facing imminent closure, resulting in some residents being placed with providers over 100 miles away. Surely we should look into bringing such vulnerable people into bespoke Council run facilities. Does the cabinet member agree that we should review creating such inhouse facilities and stop the current ambition of this administration to savagely cut next year's Adult Social Services budget by £25.1 million?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention

Thank you for your question.

I am sure that you are already aware but Cawston Park Hospital provided a service directly to the NHS and was not commissioned by Norfolk County Council to provide any service nor was anyone in our care placed there.

Lambert House is a residential home specialising in autism and Norfolk County Council funded 5 of its residents; of these, only 1 person has been placed out of county. This is due to a national shortage of specialist autism placements. The other NCC funded residents have been found alternative placements in Norfolk. NCC commissioners are exploring all options to encourage more provision in Norfolk.

7.13 Question from Cllr Matthew Reilly

It is encouraging to see Swaffham trialling a 20mph speed limit across the town. Speeding is a significant issue in my area and a concern for residents across West Earlham. Will the Cabinet Member for Highways and Infrastructure and Transport agree to discussions with myself and community members on how we can move forward with a similar trial in my area?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

A review of the environment, nature of highway activity and quantity of vulnerable user in Swaffham has identified that a 20mph zone may be appropriate. As such the Town Council and Local Member have agreed to fund an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (ETRO) which will establish the effectiveness of a reduced speed limit and whether a permanent Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) should be taken forward in the future.

Similar schemes could be considered where they meet the requirements as defined in the Speed Management Strategy and officers would be pleased to discuss potential schemes with Members.

7.14 Question from CIIr Emma Corlett

Lancashire County Council is the latest local authority to pause a significant infrastructure project, following the likes of Oxfordshire, Cumbria and Devon County Councils. The cost of the Norwich Western Link is spiralling out of control due to inflation even before it has obtained approval, with the project now likely to exceed £300 million.

Will the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport finally do the right thing, press the red button and end this reckless project before it bankrupts the Council?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Highways, Infrastructure and Transport

It is not agreed that the project costs for the Norwich Western Link are spiralling out of control. An update for the project was provided in the report agreed by Cabinet in July this year, which included details about the allowances for risk and inflation. It also set out the reasons why the project is important for Norfolk and the residents in communities suffering from increasing traffic impacts. Any changes to the agreed project budget, if they occur, will be brought back to Cabinet for it to consider.

7.15 Question from Cllr Julie Brociek-Coulton

Families in my Division, Sewell, are concerned that because early years providers are not receiving enough funding to keep up with rising costs at the

moment that these will have to be met by providers putting up the cost of childcare, or they will go out of business entirely.

Can the Cabinet Member for Childrens Services explain what actions the Council is taking to support providers and provide assurances to parents that their childcare costs won't be going up?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Children's Services

The Department for Education provides funding to Norfolk County Council which is distributed to providers by a locally agreed formula. Norfolk is one of 44 local authorities who receive the lowest rate of funding under the national funding formula. We are awaiting details of our allocation from DfE for 23/24 and the outcome of the national consultation that took place during the summer. This information should be shared with LAs this month.

We agree that current rates of funding are insufficient, and have been for some time. The current rises in costs mean that we are working with a larger number of providers than usual who are facing financial issues.

Our support includes advice on business planning, provision and analysis of information on local sufficiency of places, financial advice and signposting, and short term grants for provision that is in an area where places are needed.

We would ask any provider that is facing difficulties to contact the Local Authority through the Early Years Advice Line (01603 222300, opt 3) at the earliest opportunity so support can be provided.

7.16 Question from Cllr Alison Birmingham

With over 100 current social worker/assistant practioner vacancies, can the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention confirm how soon he expects these vacancies to be filled and what new measures the Council is considering in order to increase the workforce and take pressure off our existing, hardworking social work staff?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention

Thank you for your question.

As you will already be aware from previous Full Council Meetings, Adult Social Services recognises the challenges that staff vacancies place on front-line services. Nationally the recruitment position for social care remains extremely challenging and in response to these pressures the Council has transformed its approach to recruitment to enable a strong data-led improvement methodology.

- In August 2022 we introduced a Golden Hello of £2k for all social worker roles.
- In September 2022 we launched a joint "We Care" multimedia marketing campaign with Childrens Services targeting 400 applications (40 roles.)
 This will run for 6 months. We also launched a County wide recruitment campaign for NFS vacancies targeting local residents.
- In October 2022 we created a centralised recruitment admin function to minimise administrative burden on teams.

In November 2022 we launched our ASSD workforce strategy which sets out 7 key areas of focus to drive workforce improvement.

The Council also introduced protected training time for Social Workers and OTs; centralised the tracking of vacancies and the performance of recruitment process; introduced a weekly DLT review of the recruitment position to drive targeted intervention and instigated weekly retention and recruitment workstream meetings to drive targeted improvements to the recruitment process.

Further activities are also planned to include a new international recruitment approach and investing into our support offer for international staff; a focused resource to manage shortlisting and coordinate interviews for all social worker roles and from December 2022 it will be possible to offer advance payments on relocation expenses and mileage expenses to support the cost of living impact for new and existing staff.

7.17 Question from Cllr Brenda Jones

Even with the additional capacity outlined in the Winter Capacity Plan report to Cabinet, it is still not enough to deal with existing need, let alone any surge in demand from Winter pressures on the health and social care system. Can the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention confirm what more he plans to do to tackle the care emergency and who he is expecting to carry out the additional care hours outlined in the report?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention

Thank you for your question.

Norfolk's Adult Social Care Winter Plan forms part of a wider Winter Framework of key activity planned across Adult Social Care, NHS Norfolk and Waveney and the wider Integrated Care System partners. The system is acting as one to ensure resilience over the coming months to meet the needs of our population, to maintain high quality and safe service provision as we continue to deal with the increased demand due to the COVID pandemic as well as the additional strain that winter and cold weather inevitably bring. The plan is fully supported by the Integrated Care Partnership and includes capacity to address increased demand over the winter period across health and social care. Specific additional capacity is being delivered by Norfolk County Council:

- Support to home care providers across the care market, including enhanced hourly rates, and increased block commissioned capacity,
- Additional resources to support provision of reablement over the winter,
- Housing with Care flats to be used as innovative community step down beds to provide short term 24/7 home care support,
- NCC supporting deployment of NHS intermediate care beds for hospital discharge.

7.18 Question from Cllr Maxine Webb

With over 1700 people across Norfolk waiting for an assessment of their care needs at the moment, can the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention confirm how long he expects it will take to deal with all

current outstanding assessments and when he expects our hard-working staff will be able to clear this huge backlog?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Prevention

Thank you for your question.

Staff are working extremely hard in a challenging environment as they work through the extra workload caused by the Covid pandemic. Each team is working to a plan and trajectory of reducing the numbers of people waiting for a complex assessment to 100 per locality by May 2023. This manageable level is regarded by senior operational managers as a base level for the intake and management of new referrals including prioritising those most in need. All holding lists have been stratified according to risk and those people most at risk of harm (safeguarding), carer breakdown or adverse impact on their health and wellbeing are being prioritised daily. The achievement of the holding list reduction is dependent on positively addressing staff vacancies, particularly in registered roles such as social worker and occupational therapists, where there is a national recruitment shortage. In addition, new ways of working are being introduced through the work in our Connecting Communities programme that will support the holding list reduction. The Director Leadership team oversee progress and address barriers to achievement through weekly governance meetings where the performance is reviewed, and further remedial actions taken.