

Norfolk Local Access Forum Minutes of the Meeting Held on 19 April 2017 at 10.33am in Burgh Village Hall

Member:	Representing:
Martin Sullivan - Chairman	Motorised vehicle access / cycling
Chris Allhusen - Vice Chairman	Land ownership / management / farming
Helen Chester	Equestrian / voluntary sector
Victor Cocker	Walking
Seamus Elliott	Sport and outdoor recreation / cycling
Ken Hawkins	Walking / cycling
Pat Holtom	Economic development / walking
Ann Melhuish	Equestrian / all-ability access
Ian Monson	Norfolk County Council
Paul Rudkin	Walking / GI and planning
George Saunders	All-ability access / health and wellbeing / voluntary sector
Jean Stratford	Youth and education / walking / voluntary sector

Co-opted Non-Voting Member:

Hilary Cox

Norfolk County Council Members' Cycling and Walking Champion

Officers Present:

Sarah Abercrombie Su Waldron David White Russell Wilson Senior Projects Officer Project Officer, Environment Team Senior Green Infrastructure Officer Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure)

1. Welcome and Introductions

1.1 The Chairman welcomed members to the meeting and to Burgh Castle Village Hall.

2. Apologies for Absence

2.1 Apologies were received from Tim Bennett, Julie Brociek-Coulton, Rebecca Champion, Geoff Doggett, Mike Edwards and Kate McKenzie. Also absent were Stephen Agnew, David Ansell and David Hissey.

3. Minutes of last meeting

- 3.1 The minutes of the meeting held on the 1 March 2017 were agreed as an accurate record and signed by the chairman.
- 3.2 Matters Arising from the Minutes:
- 3.2.1 **Item 7:** Mr Hawkins requested a family tree showing the staffing structure after the departmental restructure.

- 3.2.2 Mr Hawkins queried the minute at paragraph 8.2.3 discussing responsibility for bridges based on size. The Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure) reported that this query had been passed to the bridges team; clarification would be shared when received.
- 3.3 George Saunders arrived at 10:38am.

4. Declarations of Interest

4.1 There were no declarations of interest.

5. Items received as urgent business

5.1 There were no items of urgent business; the Chairman confirmed that Mr Hawkins' request to discuss Higher Level Schemes would be taken under discussion about the National Conference.

6. Public Question Time

6.1 No public questions were received.

7. Norfolk Access Improvement Plan (NAIP) 2017-2027 Priorities

- 7.1 The LAF (Local Access Forum) received the report and heard a presentation by the Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure), (see appendix A), giving information on the aims and objectives of the NAIP 2017-2027 and proposed Statement of Actions:
 - The Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure) highlighted the 10 year key priorities as important for consideration;
 - The key priorities would feed into the annual delivery plan;
 - Funding had been secured for some objectives such as the Pushing Ahead project;
 - Leaflets with short and achievable walks were targeted at wards in hard to reach areas / areas of deprivation;
 - The SAIL (Staying Active and Independent Longer) project was aimed at the Hunstanton area where the average age of residents was 70-75.
- 7.2 Helen Chester arrived at 10:48am.
- 7.3.1 The Senior Projects Officer asked the LAF for their feedback on the key priorities:
 - Mr Cocker suggested mapping areas where with issues, areas for improvement and where issues were being tackled to ensure a coordinated approach;
 - The Chairman agreed that it would be useful to take this into consideration;
 - The Senior Projects Officer reported that maps were available with some of this information for some areas, therefore existing maps could be pulled together.
- 7.3.2 Discussion was held over the role and responsibility of Norfolk County Council (NCC) in creation and implementation of the NAIP, and work carried out by the Sub-Group towards its development.
- 7.4 Pat Holtom arrived at 11:00am.

- 7.5.1 Mr Elliott, seconded by Mr Rudkin, **proposed** to amend the recommendation to read: "the Local Access Forum <u>note</u> the report and <u>refer it back to the sub group for further</u> <u>development</u>". The LAF **AGREED** this proposal.
- 7.5.2 Mr Hawkins requested that Norfolk County Council make staff time available to support development of the plan.
- 7.6 The Local Access Forum **NOTED** the report and referred it back to the sub-group for further development.

8. Study on recreational impact on internationally designated wildlife sites in Norfolk 2015-16

- 8.1.1 The LAF received the report and heard a presentation (see appendix B) on the study commissioned by the Norfolk Duty to Cooperate (DtC) group on recreational use of internationally designated sites in Norfolk and how it could be used to inform the NAIP:
 - As a planning authority there was a responsibility for NCC to consider the impact of building new homes on internationally designated sites;
 - Information was given on how disturbance of breeding and wintering birds could impact on feeding time and eventually on the population;
 - A field survey was carried out at 50 points across Norfolk to see how people were using the designated sites;
 - Distance travelled to visit internationally designated areas could help predict the increase in visitors to these areas when building new homes within a specified radius or Norfolk as a whole.
 - Increasing visitor numbers at Horsey Dunes had not been seen to have an impact on the seal population. The impact on wildlife and fauna in the dunes, on impaction and erosion was unknown;
 - Creating circular walks away from the areas was one way to mitigate the impact;
 - Community Infrastructure Levy funding was being considered as a source of funding to support mitigation work.
- 8.1.2 The Senior Green Infrastructure Officer discussed examples of SANGS (suitable alternative natural green space) in Norfolk such as Whitlingham Natural Park and creation of new greenspace in the growth triangle which could provide the daily recreational need of residents if created in the right way.
- 8.1.3 The Green Infrastructure plan covered parts of Norfolk; the Green Infrastructure Priority was funded by most districts. It was noted that this could be used to inform the NAIP.
- 8.1.4 It was noted that mitigation would be more difficult for sites where visitors were attracted by wildlife; work would be needed with these sites to accept management across wider areas in order to look at mitigation measures.
- 8.1.6 It was noted that paths and boardwalks mitigated the impact of people on internationally designated sites. It was queried whether further education measures on appropriate behaviour for walkers and dog walkers could be considered.
- 8.1.7 The study was not due to be replicated at other sites, such as Norfolk Wildlife Trust Sites, at that time.
- 8.2 The LAF **NOTED** the study and its role informing the NAIP.

9. Norfolk Cycling and Walking Action Plan

- 9.1.1 The LAF received the report on the Norfolk Cycling and Walking Action Plan, adopted at the meeting of the Environment Development and Transport Committee on the 17 March 2017, and steps taken by Norfolk related to cycling and walking infrastructure.
- 9.1.2 Cllr Hilary Cox gave a presentation to the LAF (see appendix C):
 - There was a vision for cycling to be seen more widely as a normal method of travel;
 - Work with railways, buses and transport services would be carried out to make travelling with a bike easier;
 - Work was needed for cyclists and drivers to consider each other on the road;
 - After May 2017, there would be a cycling champion for each district;
 - Active Norfolk had held activities in Lowestoft to engage the public in the Pushing Ahead campaign and would carry out further activities in Norfolk.
- 9.2.1 The Senior Trails Officer (Infrastructure) reported that a counter installed on the Marriott's way had shown a significant increase in cyclists commuting via this route.
- 9.2.2 Ms Chester requested increased awareness between equestrians and cyclists. She was concerned that increased cyclist numbers on rights of way would increase incidents between cyclists and horses. It was noted that the Marriott's way leaflet included a code of conduct covering equestrians, however, that the issue was wider than this route. The increasing number of annual incidents on roads between horses and cars were noted.
- 9.2.3 A concern was raised that the plan appeared to focus mainly on cycling and could be considered an active travel plan. Changes in information shown before and after the consultation in relation to the 2400 miles of footpath/720 miles of bridleways were noted. Cllr Cox **agreed** to feed back to the Countryside Manager (Trails and Projects).
- 9.2.4 Cllr Cox confirmed there were walking and cycling representatives on the Sub-Group, representatives from the Broads Authority and other interested organisations. Speakers and visitors were invited to meetings when appropriate.
- 9.2.5 A point was raised that the schematic map was unclear and did not represent the number of footpaths and cycle paths in Norfolk.
- 9.2.6 It was confirmed that Norfolk County Council was the body responsible for integrating the NAIP and Walking and Cycling plan.
- 9.3.1 Mr Hawkins, seconded by the Chairman, **proposed** an amendment to the recommendation to read: "LAF <u>notes</u> the above steps and agrees to work with Norfolk County Council to <u>incorporate relevant elements</u>…" The LAF **AGREED** the proposal.
- 9.3.2 Norfolk Local Access Forum **NOTED** the steps outlined in the report and **AGREED** to work with Norfolk County Council to incorporate relevant elements of the the Local Walking and Cycling Action Plan with the NAIP.

10. Agenda Order

10.1 The LAF decided to take item 10, "Pathmakers – Burgh Castle Project", after item 12, "Local Access Forum National Conference".

11. PRoW (Public Rights of Way) Sub-Group March Report

- 11.1 The LAF received the report giving feedback from the last meeting of the Public Rights of Way (PRoW) Sub-Group meeting on the 27 March 2017.
- 11.2.1 Concerns were raised and a discussion held about supporting recommendation 1 without a dialogue having first been held regarding the review.
- 11.2.2 A concern was raised that widening access should include all non-motorised access, for example horses, in order to remove all vulnerable road users from risk, with reference to the increasing number of incidents between horses and cars on roads.
- 11.2.3 After discussion about recommendation 1, the Chairman, seconded by Mr Elliott, **proposed** an amendment to change the word "problems" to "issues". The Local Access Forum **AGREED** this amendment.
- 11.3.1 <u>1. Widening Access to Public Paths:</u>

The LAF **AGREED** to respond to Cycling UK saying they were "Happy in principle that there should be a review of rights of way and access provision providing better access for cyclists to public paths as long as "responsible access" was encouraged. However they could foresee significant issues in the detail particularly:

- Defining which routes would be suitable;
- Agreeing and meeting adequate standards;
- Raising resources to meet the costs of mapping and management;
- Enforcing "responsible access".
- 11.3.2 <u>2. Support for Footpath Wardens in Parishes:</u> The LAF **AGREED** to organise a second Parish Council Seminar.
- 11.3.3 3. 2026; Claims for Lost Paths:

The LAF **AGREED** to support the establishment of a first point of contact for coordination of claims.

12. Local Access Forum National Conference

- 12.1 The LAF received the report giving information on the Local Access Forum National Forum, due to be held in Birmingham in June 2017.
- 12.2.1 Mr Hawkins discussed targeted support for permissive access under Stewardship / HLS (Higher Level Schemes). Discussion was held about this and regarding Norfolk LAF writing to the respective Minister.
- 12.2.2 The Local Access Forum **AGREED** to write to the respective Minister as discussed.
- 12.3.1 The Chairman and Mr Hawkins expressed an interest to attend the National Forum.
- 12.3.2 The LAF **AGREED** that Martin Sullivan and Ken Hawkins would attend the Local Access Forum National Forum as representatives of Norfolk LAF.

13. Pathmakers – Burgh Castle Project

- 13.1 The LAF received the report and heard a presentation (see appendix D) giving background on the launch event for Burgh Castle boardwalk.
- 13.2 The LAF **NOTED** the date and **AGREED** to act as advocates for Pathmakers and the new boardwalk facility at Burgh Castle.

14. Dates of future meetings:

14.1 The next meeting was 5 July 2017 in the Cranworth Room, County Hall

15. Any Other Business

15.1 Mr Elliott raised on behalf of the Forum thanks to Ian Monson and Hilary Cox for their involvement on the LAF.

The Meeting Closed at 12:31 PM

Mr Martin Sullivan, Chairman



If you need this document in large print, audio, Braille, alternative format or in a different language please contact 0344 800 8020 or 0344 800 8011 (textphone) and we will do our best to help.



Supporting documentation

- Cycling and Walking Action Plan
- NCC Local Transport Plan
- NCC Green Infrastructure Plans
- Broads Plan 2017 2022
- Public Health Strategy 2016 2020
- NCC Active Travel Plans
- Visit East Anglia / Norfolk Tourism Strategy for England 2012-2020
- Active Norfolk 2016-2021 Strategy
- District Council Local Development Plans
- Education Outdoor Education
- Norfolk Coast Partnership AONB Management Plan 2014-2019
- Environment Natural and Historic Environment Business Plans
- Natural England Coastal Access, National Trails and England Coast Path
- Norfolk Rural Development Strategy 2013 2020

10 - Year Statement of Actions Priorities

- 1. A well signed, maintained and easily accessible network
- 2. An integrated network providing for the requirements of all users
- 3. A well promoted network, taking full advantage of digital technologies and online facilities
- 4. Community involvement developing, promoting and maintaining the network
- 5. Supporting local economies and businesses through links and enhancements to the network
- 6. Encouraging use of the network from those not currently taking advantage of it, particularly those with health issues
- 7. Sustainable tourism and protecting the environment from recreational impact
- 8. Sustainable transport and a network well linked to public transport

1. A Well Signed, Maintained and Easily Accessible Network Signage Cutting Contract Number finger posts Value and length of path replaced Annual Delivery Plan Number new finger posts installed Examples of how the delivery plan could be Other Infrastructure developed and delivered in partnership, taking into Surface improvements account other supporting documents and projects supported by funding bids

2. An Integrated Network Providing for Requirements of All Users

Burgh Castle

Pathmakers project
-accessible boardwalk

Other Infrastructure

 Holme Dunes accessible boardwalk

3. A well promoted network



- Sustainable transport
- Create a cycling map for Norwich
- Create a cycling map for Great Yarmouth
- Cycle guide for Peddars way created
- Commuter guide for using the Marriott's way



3. A Well Promoted Network

- Work to improve walking opportunities in Norfolk
- Walking festivals autumn and winter 2016
- New coastal access between Sea Palling and Hopton on Sea
- New walks leaflets created for hard to reach groups targeting Great Yarmouth and Norwich



5. Supporting local economies and businesses through links and network enhancements Coastal Treasures 2017 - 2019

- Promote unique natural and cultural heritage West Norfolk Coastal Corridor - walking and cycling trails
- Benefit at least 105 local businesses, 4 trainees and help support 25 jobs
- Projects
- New tourist Pass(port) to the East Coast of England!

► a new initiative for visitors to explore the east coast of England -<u>interactive videos</u> to click and select experiences and build your own itineraries

Website links

▶ Visit Norfolk - Walking in Norfolk

6. Encouraging network use from those not currently taking advantage - eg health issues

- SAIL project (Staying Active and Independent Longer)
 - Environment, Public Health and Active Norfolk
- to develop new, sustainable and economically viable business models that encourage active ageing eg tourist sites in coastal areas in Norfolk to promote community interaction and physical activity during their 'out of season' periods
- "dementia friendly" walks

7. Sustainable tourism and protecting the environment from recreational impact

- 8. Recreational Impact Study
 - Partnership County Councils and Districts
- Greater Norwich Infrastructure Plan
- Community Infrastructure Levy
- Section 106 Developer Funding

8. Sustainable transport and a network well linked to public transport

- Cycling and Walking Strategy
- Pushing Ahead
- Norfolk Active Travel Plans

























Context

Government Aim – Department of Transport

"We want to make cycling and walking the natural choice for shorter journeys, or as part of a longer journey"

Norfolk County Council

The Department of Transport Cycling and Walking Investment Action plan - objectives

- Double cycling, where cycling activity is measured as the estimated total number of bicycle stages made each year, from 0.8 billion stages in 2013 to 1.6 billion stages in 2025
- Reverse the decline in walking activity, measured as the total number of walking stages per person per year
- Reduce the rate of cyclists killed or seriously injured on England's roads, measured as the number of fatalities and serious injuries per billion miles cycled, each year
- Increase the percentage of children aged 5 to 10 that usually walk to school

Norfolk County Council

Access Fund for Sustainable Travel

- 2015 Autumn Statement £80 million for sustainable travel
- £20 million of the revenue funding supported the <u>Sustainable</u> <u>Travel Transition Year competition</u> in 2016/17
- Remaining £60 million the Access Fund from 2017/18 through 2019/20
- The Access Fund will support local authorities to deliver sustainable transport projects that seek to grow the economy by boosting levels of cycling and walking, and by improving access to jobs, skills, training and education

Sound County Council

Norfolk



- Norfolk Cycling and Walking Action Plan focussing on modal shift and sustainable transport to reduce carbon footprint
- Appointed a Cycling and Walking Champion Cllr Hilary Cox
- Cycling and Walking Working Group with representation from Public Health, Economic Development, Environment, Highways and others such as Active Norfolk and Children's Services as needed.
- Successfully applied for funding from the DFT Sustainable Development Fund for the Pushing Ahead project amounting to £1,488,000 for the next three years - managed by a partnership lead by the Environment Team with Transport and Highways as well as Active Norfolk and Public Health

Norfolk County Council

Norfolk: Our vision is that by 2020

- More people walk and cycle to get to places of work and education, and for leisure;
- Walking and cycling are normal activities for most people, most of the time, and routes are direct, convenient and pleasant.
- Norfolk provides high quality facilities for active travellers, who will be welcomed as valuable customers for business, and as positive contributors to the community;
- Barriers to walking and cycling (such as concerns about safety and security) will have been addressed to ensure that residents and visitors are not put off from active travel;
- Norfolk delivers safe and attractive opportunities for cycling and walking for all types of user, including the elderly, those with chronic health conditions including physical and mental disabilities, people with visual impairment and young families;
- People can transfer between active travel modes to other public transport services easily due to well-designed interchanges and facilities.
 Norfolk County Council



Evidence Success

- Walking and cycling natural choices for shorter journeys
- Levels of cycling will double by 2025
- Percentage of children who usually walk or cycle to school will increase to 55% by 2025 in urban areas
- Cycling levels double by 2025 in Great Yarmouth and King's Lynn
- Cycling levels will double in Norwich between 2013 and 2023 as pledged in the cycle ambition applications

Norfolk County Council

Evidence Success

- Mode share of cycling and walking will increase to 10% of all journeys by 2025 and to 25% by 2050
- Needs of users with special access requirements audited and met where possible, eg better surfacing, access ramps, signage and interpretation
- Pool and co-ordinate cycle and walking spend to make best use of funding
- Over £10 per capita per year will be spent on the Norwich cycle network until 2019 and we aim to sustain this into the following decade
- Develop our progress and methods for measuring cycling and walking with government assistance
 Norfolk County Council





consultation

Public consultation of the first draft of this action plan

Norfolk County Council ran a public consultation between March and April 2016 to gauge public reaction to the plan.

- •90% of respondents agreed with the plan's vision
- •80% agreed with suggestions to improve cycle routes
- •85% agreed with our recommendations to improve cycle parking facilities

•83% agreed with our suggestion for improved design of public spaces to better accommodate cyclists

- •77% agreed with calls for improved signage
- •77% agreed that cycle routes should integrate better with public transport
- •70% agreed that cycle hire schemes would be beneficial

•83% agreed that it is important to "cycle proof' the strategic road network

•78% agreed that improvements to Norfolk's walking network should be done at a strategic, 'whole network' level

•85% agreed that new developments should be designed to encourage people to cycle and walk more

consultation

Conclusions:

•The public consultation on the Cycling and Walking Action Plan showed that there is a desire to see plan implemented.

•People are positive about walking and see it as a good way to stay fit, maintain good mental health, see people and get to places. However several areas of Norfolk were highlighted as being short on footpaths and provision must be made to maintain any new cycling and walking infrastructure into the future

•The survey showed that there is recognition of the role cycling plays in keeping people healthy and support for the need to encourage uptake in the number journeys undertaken by bike to reduce local pollution levels and congestion in town centres. Those who took part in the survey would like a better, more joined-up cycling network plus education on safer cycling and improvements to infrastructure across Norfolk to keep cyclists safe

•Strong leadership will be needed to take the strategy forward and make a real impact. This must include a drive to embed cycling and walking into new development strategies ; financial commitment to cycling and walking; a culture change whereby people view cycling and walking as viable alternatives to getting into the car.

Norfolk County Council

comments

Wherever resources are developed for cycling a huge take up follows; The Camel Trail, The Monsal Trail, The Tissington Trail and the High Peak Trail provide overwhelmina evidence.

The target to increase levels of cycling and to target a spend of £10 per capita per annum in Norfolk. This is excellent, and follows the recommendation of the APPG Get Britain Cycling report. The benefits are well researched and evidenced - what we need now is stronger leadership to push these benefits to the top of the various agendas that look at how the County develops in terms of health, economic, housing and transport strategies.

All new housing developments MUST have a travel plan which makes cycling and walking an easy choice. The benefits of cycling and walking are obvious... Better quality of life. Less traffic. Safer roads. Better health. Less pollution. More fun. Physical and environmentallyfriendly activity for people of all ages is of increasing importance in an era that has become too car-dominated.

Cycling and walking are the best and cheapest route to solving several of our urban and social problems, ie congestion, pollution, obesity and access to transport. Cycling as a mode of transportation needs to be promoted actively to be brought from a small tribal group to

normal mass usage for everyone.

Norfolk County Council





B4. The Financial Case - Project Costs

The total cost of the programme is £1,666,000. Table A below confirms that NCC will contribute £150,000 (9% of total) with a third party contribution of £28,000 (1.7% of total) with the remainder sought from the DfT. The Council's contribution is new funding, which has been agreed as match funding for this programme. Table B details the costs of the different package elements.

Table A: Funding profile

Source	2017/18 (£000s)	2018/19 (£000s)	2019/20 (£000s)	TOTAL (£000s)
DfT funding sought	496	495	497	1,488
Local Authority contribution	55	55	40	150
Third Party contribution	5	8	15	28
TOTAL	556	558	552	1,666

Table B: Cost breakdown by package element

Package element	2017/18 (£000s)	2018/19 (£000s)	2019/20 (£000s)	TOTAL (£000s)
Package 1 – Cycle and Walking				
Initiatives	206.5	190	187.5	584
Package 2 – Personalised Journey				
Planning (PJP) and Promotional Activity	52.5	50	62.5	165
Package 3 – Multi-Modal Activities	65	55	22	142
Package 4 – Overall Marketing and				
Brand Promotion	120	135	135	390
Package 5 – Independent evaluation	40	55	65	160
Delivery team	75	75	75	225
TOTAL	559	560	547	1,666



Pushing Ahead – Programme of Measures

- Package 1 Cycle and Walking initiatives
 - Led commuting cycle rides
 - Walking and cycling festivals
 - Delivery of a Social Network Walking Model
 - Cycle and walking 'Champions' within businesses and communities
 - Local cycles for job seekers
 - Try before you buy (folding bikes and electric bikes)
 - Parkride events
 - Cycle maintenance and training
 - Pedestrian and cycle user awareness campaigns
 - Social media to attract active travel

Sound Council

Key Measures

- Package 2 Personalised Journey Planning (PJP)
 - Focus on residential communities in areas of highest need
 - Builds on existing engagement activities
 - Works with hospitals to deliver personalised travel plans
 - 'Workplace challenge' initiative through Active Norfolk
 - 'Fun and Fit for all' with Active Norfolk
 - Parental awareness campaign to engage with 18,000 parents

Norfolk County Council

Key Measures

- Package 3 Multi modal activities
 - Norfolk Car Club to reduce private car ownership
 - Station Travel Plans with Abellio Greater Anglia
 - Holdall smartcard promotion aimed at those accessing jobs, training and interviews

Norfolk County Council

· ·	Partners	Role & Responsibility	
Partners	New Anglia LEP	Sponsor for capital investment via Local	-
	rion / night LE	Growth Fund	
	Suffolk County Council	Delivery Partner	
	Norwich City Council	Lead for Pedalways delivery	-
		Pushing Ahead Steering Group	
		AtoBetter Stakeholder Group	
		Member of Norfolk Cycling & Walking Action	
		Plan (NCWAP) Steering Group	
		Delivery Partner	
	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	Delivery Partner	-
	-	AtoBetter Stakeholder Group	
		Member of NCWAP Steering Group	
	Broads Authority	Delivery Partner	
		AtoBetter Stakeholder Group	
		Member of NCWAP Steering Group	
	South Norfolk Council	Delivery Partner	
		Member of NCWAP Steering Group	
	Broadland District Council	Member of NCWAP Steering Group	
	Mouchel	Highway Services Partner to NCC	
		Lead for AtoBetter delivery	
		Pushing Ahead Management & Delivery	-
	Active Norfolk	Lead on the evaluation of programme	
	Diriti	effectiveness	-
	Public Heath	Delivery Partner	-
	Liftshare	Delivery Partner	-
	Community Rail Norfolk	Delivery Partner	-
	Abellio Greater Anglia	Delivery Partner	-
	First Bus	Delivery Partner	-
	Greater Thetford Development Partnership	Delivery Partner	-
	Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital	Delivery Partner	_
	University of East Anglia	Delivery partner	
	Norwich Junior Cycling Club	Delivery Partner	Norfolk County Council
	Pedal Park CIC	Delivery Partner	We
	Bicycle Links CIC	Delivery Partner	

Pathmake

The Burgh Castle boardwalk project

Pathmakers - the NLAF's charitable arm can secure resources to make the countryside more accessible to benefit rural communities.

Connecting Norfolk countryside and communities

<u>(1)</u> ⊗ (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) <> (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << (3) << **Burgh Castle – location of boardwalk** church of SS mendere car park Boardwalk T'E BREYDON WA Site is owned reed beds by the Norfolk Archaeological 200m Trust FORT ast Gate former area of vicus (Roman civilian settlement) visitor walking route interpretation panel Site of Norman castle **()**

Pathmakers





Burgh Castle boardwalk

∅⊗⊗⊗⊘&

- Pathmakers is planning a launch event for an invited audience including disabled access groups and media to celebrate completion of the boardwalk
- \square The celebration will take place on 14th June 2017 at 2pm
- Our celebrity to open the boardwalk is Mark Cocker



Construction

10 4 6 4 6

Pathmakers

Launch – proposed agenda

1400 —	Start and welcome from Pathmakers – Martin Sullivan
(village hall)	
1405 - 1415 -	Short words from Caroline Davison (Norfolk Archaeological
Trust) and WR	EN
1415 – 1445 -	Presentation of certificates to Norwich City College Students
(village hall or	boardwalk)
1455 – 1510 -	Celebrity – Mark Cocker – opens the boardwalk (boardwalk)
1510 - 1540 -	Walk around boardwalk
1540 - 1600 -	Refreshments at the village hall
1600 -	Optional guided tours of Burgh Castle (NAT volunteers) - TBC



